

Introduction to Haar-small sets

Jarosław Swaczyna

Łódź University of Technology

joint work with Szymon Głąb, Eliza Jabłońska and Taras Banakh (in progress)

$(G, +)$ - abelian Polish group

G is locally compact iff there exists regular invariant Borel measure (so-called Haar measure) which is unique up to multiplying by constant.

Hence in locally compact Polish groups we obtain natural notion of null sets.

If considered group is not locally compact, analogous notion (so-called Haar null sets) were introduced by Christensen in 1972. His notion was rediscovered by Hunt, Sauer and Yorke in 1992 and since that time it was deeply investigated.

$(G, +)$ - abelian Polish group

G is locally compact iff there exists regular invariant Borel measure (so-called Haar measure) which is unique up to multiplying by constant.

Hence in locally compact Polish groups we obtain natural notion of null sets.

If considered group is not locally compact, analogous notion (so-called Haar null sets) were introduced by Christensen in 1972. His notion was rediscovered by Hunt, Sauer and Yorke in 1992 and since that time it was deeply investigated.

$(G, +)$ - abelian Polish group

G is locally compact iff there exists regular invariant Borel measure (so-called Haar measure) which is unique up to multiplying by constant.

Hence in locally compact Polish groups we obtain natural notion of null sets.

If considered group is not locally compact, analogous notion (so-called Haar null sets) were introduced by Christensen in 1972. His notion was rediscovered by Hunt, Sauer and Yorke in 1992 and since that time it was deeply investigated.

$(G, +)$ - abelian Polish group

G is locally compact iff there exists regular invariant Borel measure (so-called Haar measure) which is unique up to multiplying by constant.

Hence in locally compact Polish groups we obtain natural notion of null sets.

If considered group is not locally compact, analogous notion (so-called Haar null sets) were introduced by Christensen in 1972. His notion was rediscovered by Hunt, Sauer and Yorke in 1992 and since that time it was deeply investigated.

$(G, +)$ - abelian Polish group

G is locally compact iff there exists regular invariant Borel measure (so-called Haar measure) which is unique up to multiplying by constant.

Hence in locally compact Polish groups we obtain natural notion of null sets.

If considered group is not locally compact, analogous notion (so-called Haar null sets) were introduced by Christensen in 1972.

His notion was rediscovered by Hunt, Sauer and Yorke in 1992 and since that time it was deeply investigated.

$(G, +)$ - abelian Polish group

G is locally compact iff there exists regular invariant Borel measure (so-called Haar measure) which is unique up to multiplying by constant.

Hence in locally compact Polish groups we obtain natural notion of null sets.

If considered group is not locally compact, analogous notion (so-called Haar null sets) were introduced by Christensen in 1972. His notion was rediscovered by Hunt, Sauer and Yorke in 1992 and since that time it was deeply investigated.

We say that set $A \subset G$ is *Haar-null*, or $A \in \mathcal{HN}(G)$, if there exists Borel hull $B \supset A$ and a Borel probalistic measure μ on G such that for any $g \in G$ we have $\mu(B + g) = 0$. We say that μ witnesses the fact that A is Haar-null.

Theorem (Christensen)

Haar-null sets forms a proper σ -ideal. If G is locally compact, they coincide with Haar-measure null sets.

Observation

For any $A \in \mathcal{HN}$:

- measure which witnesses this fact is continuous,
- A has empty interior,
- there exist compactly (or even Cantorly) supported measure which witnesses this fact.

We say that set $A \subset G$ is *Haar-null*, or $A \in \mathcal{HN}(G)$, if there exists Borel hull $B \supset A$ and a Borel probalistic measure μ on G such that for any $g \in G$ we have $\mu(B + g) = 0$. We say that μ witnesses the fact that A is Haar-null.

Theorem (Christensen)

Haar-null sets forms a proper σ -ideal. If G is locally compact, they coincide with Haar-measure null sets.

Observation

For any $A \in \mathcal{HN}$:

- measure which witnesses this fact is continuous,
- A has empty interior,
- there exist compactly (or even Cantorly) supported measure which witnesses this fact.

We say that set $A \subset G$ is *Haar-null*, or $A \in \mathcal{HN}(G)$, if there exists Borel hull $B \supset A$ and a Borel probabilistic measure μ on G such that for any $g \in G$ we have $\mu(B + g) = 0$. We say that μ witnesses the fact that A is Haar-null.

Theorem (Christensen)

Haar-null sets forms a proper σ -ideal. If G is locally compact, they coincide with Haar-measure null sets.

Observation

For any $A \in \mathcal{HN}$:

- measure which witnesses this fact is continuous,
- A has empty interior,
- there exist compactly (or even Cantorly) supported measure which witnesses this fact.

We say that set $A \subset G$ is *Haar-null*, or $A \in \mathcal{HN}(G)$, if there exists Borel hull $B \supset A$ and a Borel probabilistic measure μ on G such that for any $g \in G$ we have $\mu(B + g) = 0$. We say that μ witnesses the fact that A is Haar-null.

Theorem (Christensen)

Haar-null sets forms a proper σ -ideal. If G is locally compact, they coincide with Haar-measure null sets.

Observation

For any $A \in \mathcal{HN}$:

- measure which witnesses this fact is continuous,
- A has empty interior,
- there exist compactly (or even Cantorly) supported measure which witnesses this fact.

We say that set $A \subset G$ is *Haar-null*, or $A \in \mathcal{HN}(G)$, if there exists Borel hull $B \supset A$ and a Borel probabilistic measure μ on G such that for any $g \in G$ we have $\mu(B + g) = 0$. We say that μ witnesses the fact that A is Haar-null.

Theorem (Christensen)

Haar-null sets forms a proper σ -ideal. If G is locally compact, they coincide with Haar-measure null sets.

Observation

For any $A \in \mathcal{HN}$:

- measure which witnesses this fact is continuous,
- A has empty interior,
- there exist compactly (or even Cantorly) supported measure which witnesses this fact.

Darji, 2013

We say that set $A \subset G$ is *Haar-meager*, or $A \in \mathcal{HM}(G)$, if there exists Borel hull $B \supset A$ and a continuous function $h : 2^\omega \rightarrow G$ such that for any $g \in G$ we have $h^{-1}(B + g) \in \mathcal{M}_{2^\omega}$. We say that h witnesses the fact that A is Haar-meager.

Theorem (Darji)

Haar-meager sets forms a σ -subideal of meager sets. Those notions coincides iff G is locally compact.

We say that set $A \subset G$ is *Darji-Haar-null*, or $A \in \mathcal{DHN}(G)$, if there exists Borel hull $B \supset A$ and a continuous function $h : 2^\omega \rightarrow G$ such that for any $x \in G$ we have $h^{-1}(B + g) \in \mathcal{N}_{2^\omega}$. We say that h witnesses the fact that A is Darji-Haar-meager.

Darji, 2013

We say that set $A \subset G$ is *Haar-meager*, or $A \in \mathcal{HM}(G)$, if there exists Borel hull $B \supset A$ and a continuous function $h : 2^\omega \rightarrow G$ such that for any $g \in G$ we have $h^{-1}(B + g) \in \mathcal{M}_{2^\omega}$. We say that h witnesses the fact that A is Haar-meager.

Theorem (Darji)

Haar-meager sets forms a σ -subideal of meager sets. Those notions coincides iff G is locally compact.

We say that set $A \subset G$ is *Darji-Haar-null*, or $A \in \mathcal{DHN}(G)$, if there exists Borel hull $B \supset A$ and a continuous function $h : 2^\omega \rightarrow G$ such that for any $x \in G$ we have $h^{-1}(B + g) \in \mathcal{N}_{2^\omega}$. We say that h witnesses the fact that A is Darji-Haar-meager.

Darji, 2013

We say that set $A \subset G$ is *Haar-meager*, or $A \in \mathcal{HM}(G)$, if there exists Borel hull $B \supset A$ and a continuous function $h : 2^\omega \rightarrow G$ such that for any $g \in G$ we have $h^{-1}(B + g) \in \mathcal{M}_{2^\omega}$. We say that h witnesses the fact that A is Haar-meager.

Theorem (Darji)

Haar-meager sets forms a σ -subideal of meager sets. Those notions coincides iff G is locally compact.

We say that set $A \subset G$ is *Darji-Haar-null*, or $A \in \mathcal{DHN}(G)$, if there exists Borel hull $B \supset A$ and a continuous function $h : 2^\omega \rightarrow G$ such that for any $x \in G$ we have $h^{-1}(B + g) \in \mathcal{N}_{2^\omega}$. We say that h witnesses the fact that A is Darji-Haar-meager.

Theorem

$$\mathcal{DH}\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{HN}$$

Sketch of the proof.

Now it seems reasonable to consider following definition:

Definition

Let \mathcal{I} be (σ -)ideal on 2^ω . We will say that $A \subset G$ is Haar- \mathcal{I} -small ($A \in \mathcal{HI}$) if there exists Borel? hull $B \supset A$ and continuous $f : 2^\omega \rightarrow G$ such that $f^{-1}(B + g) \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $g \in G$.

Theorem

$$\mathcal{DHN} = \mathcal{HN}$$

Sketch of the proof.

Now it seems reasonable to consider following definition:

Definition

Let \mathcal{I} be (σ -)ideal on 2^ω . We will say that $A \subset G$ is Haar- \mathcal{I} -small ($A \in \mathcal{HI}$) if there exists Borel? hull $B \supset A$ and continuous $f : 2^\omega \rightarrow G$ such that $f^{-1}(B + g) \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $g \in G$.

Theorem

$$\mathcal{DHN} = \mathcal{HN}$$

Sketch of the proof.

Now it seems reasonable to consider following definition:

Definition

Let \mathcal{I} be (σ -)ideal on 2^ω . We will say that $A \subset G$ is Haar- \mathcal{I} -small ($A \in \mathcal{HI}$) if there exists Borel? hull $B \supset A$ and continuous $f : 2^\omega \rightarrow G$ such that $f^{-1}(B + g) \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $g \in G$.

Theorem

$$\mathcal{DHN} = \mathcal{HN}$$

Sketch of the proof.

Now it seems reasonable to consider following definition:

Definition

Let \mathcal{I} be (σ -)ideal on 2^ω . We will say that $A \subset G$ is Haar- \mathcal{I} -small ($A \in \mathcal{HI}$) if there exists Borel? hull $B \supset A$ and continuous $f : 2^\omega \rightarrow G$ such that $f^{-1}(B + g) \in \mathcal{I}$ for all $g \in G$.

Theorem

Let ν be a continuous, fully supported Borel probabilistic measure on 2^ω . There exists order-preserving continuous function $f : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ for which $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_\nu) \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda$.

Sketch of the proof.

Corollary

If μ is σ -finite Borel measure on 2^ω , then $\mathcal{HN}'_\mu = \mathcal{HN}$.

Theorem

Let ν be a continuous, fully supported Borel probabilistic measure on 2^ω . There exists order-preserving continuous function $f : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ for which $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_\nu) \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda$.

Sketch of the proof.

Corollary

If μ is σ -finite Borel measure on 2^ω , then $\mathcal{HN}'_\mu = \mathcal{HN}$.

Theorem

Let ν be a continuous, fully supported Borel probabilistic measure on 2^ω . There exists order-preserving continuous function $f : 2^\omega \rightarrow 2^\omega$ for which $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_\nu) \subset \mathcal{N}_\lambda$.

Sketch of the proof.

Corollary

If μ is σ -finite Borel measure on 2^ω , then $\mathcal{HN}_\mu = \mathcal{HN}$.

Theorem Type 1

If \mathcal{I} has property $P1$, then \mathcal{HI} has property $P2$.

Conjecture

Assume that for any injection $j : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ and $A \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $\{x \in 2^\omega : x \circ j \in A\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Then \mathcal{HI} is an ideal. If moreover $\mathcal{I}|_{\langle s \rangle} \cong \mathcal{I}$ for each $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ we may obtain a σ -ideal.

Theorem Type 1

If \mathcal{I} has property $P1$, then \mathcal{HI} has property $P2$.

Conjecture

Assume that for any injection $j : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ and $A \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $\{x \in 2^\omega : x \circ j \in A\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Then \mathcal{HI} is an ideal. If moreover $\mathcal{I}|_{\langle s \rangle} \cong \mathcal{I}$ for each $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ we may obtain a σ -ideal.

Theorem Type 1

If \mathcal{I} has property $P1$, then \mathcal{HI} has property $P2$.

Conjecture

Assume that for any injection $j : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ and $A \in \mathcal{I}$ we have $\{x \in 2^\omega : x \circ j \in A\} \in \mathcal{I}$. Then \mathcal{HI} is an ideal. If moreover $\mathcal{I}|_{\langle s \rangle} \cong \mathcal{I}$ for each $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ we may obtain a σ -ideal.

Theorem Type 2

If \mathcal{I} has natural description (like \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{N} , porous or microscopic sets), then \mathcal{HI} coincides at least in case of G being locally compact.

Example

Type 2 may fail. Set $G = (\mathbb{R}, +)$ and $\mathcal{I} := \text{Fin}_{2^\omega}$. Then \mathcal{HI} contains Cantor sets of arbitrarily large Hausdorff dimension < 1 .

Theorem Type 2

If \mathcal{I} has natural description (like \mathcal{M} , \mathcal{N} , porous or microscopic sets), then \mathcal{HI} coincides at least in case of G being locally compact.

Example

Type 2 may fail. Set $G = (\mathbb{R}, +)$ and $\mathcal{I} := \text{Fin}_{2^\omega}$. Then \mathcal{HI} contains Cantor sets of arbitrarily large Hausdorff dimension < 1 .

Thank you for your attention!