

C^* -algebras and B-names for Complex Numbers

Andrea Vaccaro

University of Pisa

Hejnice, Winter School 2016

Summary

- ① C^* -algebras
- ② C^* -algebras with extremely disconnected spectrum
- ③ Boolean Valued Models
- ④ B-names for Complex Numbers

C^* -algebras

Definition

A **C^* -algebra** $\langle \mathcal{A}, +, \cdot, \|\cdot\|, * \rangle$ is a \mathbb{C} -algebra such that:

C^* -algebras

Definition

A C^* -algebra $\langle \mathcal{A}, +, \cdot, \|\cdot\|, * \rangle$ is a \mathbb{C} -algebra such that:

- 1 $\langle \mathcal{A}, +, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ is a Banach space
- 2 $\|xz\| \leq \|x\|\|z\|$
- 3 $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$
- 4 $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$
- 5 $(\lambda x)^* = \bar{\lambda}x^*$
- 6 $x^{**} = x$
- 7 $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$

C^* -algebras

Definition

A C^* -algebra $\langle \mathcal{A}, +, \cdot, \|\cdot\|, * \rangle$ is a \mathbb{C} -algebra such that:

- 1 $\langle \mathcal{A}, +, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ is a Banach space
- 2 $\|xz\| \leq \|x\|\|z\|$
- 3 $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$
- 4 $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$
- 5 $(\lambda x)^* = \bar{\lambda}x^*$
- 6 $x^{**} = x$
- 7 $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$

Example

- Commutative: $L^\infty([0, 1])$, $\mathcal{C}(X)$ for X compact Hausdorff

C^* -algebras

Definition

A C^* -algebra $\langle \mathcal{A}, +, \cdot, \|\cdot\|, * \rangle$ is a \mathbb{C} -algebra such that:

- 1 $\langle \mathcal{A}, +, \|\cdot\| \rangle$ is a Banach space
- 2 $\|xz\| \leq \|x\|\|z\|$
- 3 $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$
- 4 $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$
- 5 $(\lambda x)^* = \bar{\lambda}x^*$
- 6 $x^{**} = x$
- 7 $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$

Example

- Commutative: $L^\infty([0, 1])$, $C(X)$ for X compact Hausdorff
- Non-commutative: $\mathcal{B}(H)$ for H Hilbert space

The Gelfand-Naimark Theorem

Definition (Spectrum)

The **spectrum** of \mathcal{A} is the set

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \{h \in \mathcal{A}^* : h(e) = 1 \wedge h(xy) = h(x)h(y)\}$$

The Gelfand-Naimark Theorem

Definition (Spectrum)

The **spectrum** of \mathcal{A} is the set

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \{h \in \mathcal{A}^* : h(e) = 1 \wedge h(xy) = h(x)h(y)\}$$

Proposition

The spectrum of a commutative unital C^ -algebra is an Hausdorff compact subspace of \mathcal{A}^* in the weak* topology.*

The Gelfand-Naimark Theorem

Definition (Spectrum)

The **spectrum** of \mathcal{A} is the set

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \{h \in \mathcal{A}^* : h(e) = 1 \wedge h(xy) = h(x)h(y)\}$$

Proposition

The spectrum of a commutative unital C^ -algebra is an Hausdorff compact subspace of \mathcal{A}^* in the weak* topology.*

Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark)

Assume \mathcal{A} is a commutative and unital C^ -algebra. Then*

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{C}(\sigma(\mathcal{A}))$$

The Space $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$

The Space $\mathcal{C}(\text{St}(B))$

Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative unital C^ -algebra, $X = \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ its spectrum and $B = \text{RO}(X)$. Assume furthermore that X is extremely disconnected ($\text{RO}(X) = \text{CL}(X)$). Then X is homeomorphic to $\text{St}(B)$ and there exists an isometric $*$ -isomorphism of C^* -algebras between $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\text{St}(B))$.*

The Space $\mathcal{C}(\text{St}(B))$

Theorem

Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative unital C^ -algebra, $X = \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ its spectrum and $B = \text{RO}(X)$. Assume furthermore that X is extremely disconnected ($\text{RO}(X) = \text{CL}(X)$). Then X is homeomorphic to $\text{St}(B)$ and there exists an isometric $*$ -isomorphism of C^* -algebras between $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\text{St}(B))$.*

Remark

The previous result and the Gelfand-Naimark Theorem tell us that:

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{C}(X) \cong \mathcal{C}(\text{St}(B))$$

for $B = \text{RO}(X) = \text{CL}(X)$.

Is $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ an exotic space?

Is $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ an exotic space?

There are well understood examples of such spaces.

Is $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ an exotic space?

There are well understood examples of such spaces.

Let

$$B = \text{MALG}$$

Is $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ an exotic space?

There are well understood examples of such spaces.

Let

$$B = \text{MALG} = \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N}$$

Is $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ an exotic space?

There are well understood examples of such spaces.

Let

$$B = \text{MALG} = \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N}$$

This is a complete boolean algebra.

Is $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ an exotic space?

There are well understood examples of such spaces.

Let

$$B = \text{MALG} = \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N}$$

This is a complete boolean algebra.

Using Gelfand Transform it can be shown that:

Proposition

$$\mathcal{C}(St(\text{MALG})) \cong L^\infty([0, 1])$$

$\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ is not enough

$\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ is not enough

Definition

$\mathcal{C}^+(St(B))$ is the set of continuous functions f from $St(B)$ to the one point compactification of the complex field $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \cong \mathcal{S}^2$ such that $f^{-1}(\{\infty\})$ is nowhere dense.

$\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ is not enough

Definition

$\mathcal{C}^+(St(B))$ is the set of continuous functions f from $St(B)$ to the one point compactification of the complex field $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} \cong \mathcal{S}^2$ such that $f^{-1}(\{\infty\})$ is nowhere dense.

Example

Let $B = \text{MALG}$. We know that $L^\infty([0, 1]) \cong \mathcal{C}(St(\text{MALG}))$. The space $\mathcal{C}^+(St(\text{MALG})) \cong L^{\infty+}([0, 1])$ has $1/x$ (modulo isomorphism) among its elements.

Spoiler Alert

Spoiler Alert

Theorem

Consider the complete boolean algebra MALG and fix G a ultrafilter on it.

Spoiler Alert

Theorem

Consider the complete boolean algebra MALG and fix G a ultrafilter on it. Assume R_1, \dots, R_n are Borel relations and F_1, \dots, F_m Borel functions on \mathbb{C} .

Spoiler Alert

Theorem

Consider the complete boolean algebra MALG and fix G a ultrafilter on it. Assume R_1, \dots, R_n are Borel relations and F_1, \dots, F_m Borel functions on \mathbb{C} . Then

$$\langle \mathbb{C}, R_1, \dots, F_m \rangle \prec_{\Sigma_2} \langle L^{\infty+}([0, 1])/G, R_1/G, \dots, F_m/G \rangle$$

Spoiler Alert

Theorem

Consider the complete boolean algebra MALG and fix G a ultrafilter on it. Assume R_1, \dots, R_n are Borel relations and F_1, \dots, F_m Borel functions on \mathbb{C} . Then

$$\langle \mathbb{C}, R_1, \dots, F_m \rangle \prec_{\Sigma_2} \langle L^{\infty+}([0, 1])/G, R_1/G, \dots, F_m/G \rangle$$

Remark

- $L^{\infty+}([0, 1])/G \cong \mathcal{C}^+(\text{St}(\text{MALG}))/G$ is the ring of germs functions in $\mathcal{C}^+(\text{St}(\text{MALG}))$ at the point G :

$$[f]_G = [g]_G \Leftrightarrow \exists a \in G \text{ such that } f \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{O}_a} = g \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{O}_a}$$

Spoiler Alert

Theorem

Consider the complete boolean algebra MALG and fix G a ultrafilter on it. Assume R_1, \dots, R_n are Borel relations and F_1, \dots, F_m Borel functions on \mathbb{C} . Then

$$\langle \mathbb{C}, R_1, \dots, F_m \rangle \prec_{\Sigma_2} \langle L^{\infty+}([0, 1])/G, R_1/G, \dots, F_m/G \rangle$$

Remark

- $L^{\infty+}([0, 1])/G \cong \mathcal{C}^+(\text{St}(\text{MALG}))/G$ is the ring of germs functions in $\mathcal{C}^+(\text{St}(\text{MALG}))$ at the point G :

$$[f]_G = [g]_G \Leftrightarrow \exists a \in G \text{ such that } f \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{O}_a} = g \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{O}_a}$$

- This is an algebraically closed field which extends \mathbb{C} and which preserves the truth value of Σ_2 formulae of \mathbb{C} .

Spoiler Alert

Theorem

Consider the complete boolean algebra MALG and fix G a ultrafilter on it. Assume R_1, \dots, R_n are Borel relations and F_1, \dots, F_m Borel functions on \mathbb{C} . Then

$$\langle \mathbb{C}, R_1, \dots, F_m \rangle \prec_{\Sigma_2} \langle L^{\infty+}([0, 1])/G, R_1/G, \dots, F_m/G \rangle$$

Remark

- $L^{\infty+}([0, 1])/G \cong \mathcal{C}^+(St(MALG))/G$ is the ring of germs functions in $\mathcal{C}^+(St(MALG))$ at the point G :

$$[f]_G = [g]_G \Leftrightarrow \exists a \in G \text{ such that } f \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{O}_a} = g \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{O}_a}$$

- This is an algebraically closed field which extends \mathbb{C} and which preserves the truth value of Σ_2 formulae of \mathbb{C} .
- This is not the case for $L^{\infty}([0, 1])/G$.

First Order Logic

Fix a language

$$\mathcal{L} = \{R_i : i \in I\} \cup \{f_j : j \in J\} \cup \{c_k : k \in K\}$$

First Order Logic

Fix a language

$$\mathcal{L} = \{R_i : i \in I\} \cup \{f_j : j \in J\} \cup \{c_k : k \in K\}$$

An \mathcal{L} -**structure** is a tuple

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, R_i^{\mathcal{M}} : i \in I, f_j^{\mathcal{M}} : j \in J, c_k^{\mathcal{M}} : k \in K \rangle$$

First Order Logic

Fix a language

$$\mathcal{L} = \{R_i : i \in I\} \cup \{f_j : j \in J\} \cup \{c_k : k \in K\}$$

An \mathcal{L} -**structure** is a tuple

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, R_i^{\mathcal{M}} : i \in I, f_j^{\mathcal{M}} : j \in J, c_k^{\mathcal{M}} : k \in K \rangle$$

where:

- M is a non-empty set;
- $R_i^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a subset of M^{n_i} ;
- $f_j^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a function from M^{m_j} to M ;
- $c_k^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a element of M .

Boolean Valued Models

Let B be a complete boolean algebra and fix a language

$$\mathcal{L} = \{R_i : i \in I\} \cup \{f_j : j \in J\} \cup \{c_k : k \in K\}$$

Boolean Valued Models

Let B be a complete boolean algebra and fix a language

$$\mathcal{L} = \{R_i : i \in I\} \cup \{f_j : j \in J\} \cup \{c_k : k \in K\}$$

A B -valued model is a tuple

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, =^{\mathcal{M}}, R_i^{\mathcal{M}} : i \in I, f_j^{\mathcal{M}} : j \in J, c_k^{\mathcal{M}} : k \in K \rangle$$

Boolean Valued Models

Let B be a complete boolean algebra and fix a language

$$\mathcal{L} = \{R_i : i \in I\} \cup \{f_j : j \in J\} \cup \{c_k : k \in K\}$$

A B -valued model is a tuple

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, =^{\mathcal{M}}, R_i^{\mathcal{M}} : i \in I, f_j^{\mathcal{M}} : j \in J, c_k^{\mathcal{M}} : k \in K \rangle$$

where:

- M is a non-empty set;
- $R_i^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a function:

$$R_i^{\mathcal{M}} : M^{n_i} \rightarrow B$$

$$(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n_i}) \mapsto \llbracket R_i(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n_i}) \rrbracket_B^{\mathcal{M}}$$

- $f_j^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a function:

$$f_j^{\mathcal{M}} : M^{m_j+1} \rightarrow B$$

$$(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m_j}, \sigma) \mapsto \llbracket f_j(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{m_j}) = \sigma \rrbracket_B^{\mathcal{M}}$$

- $c_k^{\mathcal{M}}$ is an element of M .

Starting from the interpretation of the symbols in \mathcal{L} , we define the boolean value of each formula ϕ inductively:

Starting from the interpretation of the symbols in \mathcal{L} , we define the boolean value of each formula ϕ inductively:

- $\llbracket \phi \wedge \psi \rrbracket_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{M}} = \llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{M}} \wedge \llbracket \psi \rrbracket_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{M}}$
- $\llbracket \neg \phi \rrbracket_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{M}} = \neg \llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{M}}$
- $\llbracket \exists x \phi(x) \rrbracket_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{M}} = \bigvee_{\tau \in M} \llbracket \phi(\tau) \rrbracket_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{M}}$

Starting from the interpretation of the symbols in \mathcal{L} , we define the boolean value of each formula ϕ inductively:

- $\llbracket \phi \wedge \psi \rrbracket_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{M}} = \llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{M}} \wedge \llbracket \psi \rrbracket_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{M}}$
- $\llbracket \neg \phi \rrbracket_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{M}} = \neg \llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{M}}$
- $\llbracket \exists x \phi(x) \rrbracket_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{M}} = \bigvee_{\tau \in M} \llbracket \phi(\tau) \rrbracket_{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathcal{M}}$

Remark

A *B-valued model* \mathcal{M} associates to each formula ϕ a value in \mathbf{B} .
First order models are B-valued model for $\mathbf{B} = \{0, 1\}$.

Assume \mathcal{M} is a B-valued model and $G \in St(\mathbf{B})$. The following:

$$\tau \equiv_G \sigma \Leftrightarrow \llbracket \tau = \sigma \rrbracket \in G$$

is an equivalence relation. The quotient \mathcal{M}/G has a natural structure of first order model.

Assume \mathcal{M} is a B-valued model and $G \in St(\mathbb{B})$. The following:

$$\tau \equiv_G \sigma \Leftrightarrow \llbracket \tau = \sigma \rrbracket \in G$$

is an equivalence relation. The quotient \mathcal{M}/G has a natural structure of first order model.

Definition

A B-valued model \mathcal{M} is **full** if for any formula $\phi(x)$ there exists $\tau \in M$ such that:

$$\llbracket \exists x \phi(x) \rrbracket = \llbracket \phi(\tau) \rrbracket$$

Assume \mathcal{M} is a B-valued model and $G \in St(\mathbb{B})$. The following:

$$\tau \equiv_G \sigma \Leftrightarrow \llbracket \tau = \sigma \rrbracket \in G$$

is an equivalence relation. The quotient \mathcal{M}/G has a natural structure of first order model.

Definition

A B-valued model \mathcal{M} is **full** if for any formula $\phi(x)$ there exists $\tau \in M$ such that:

$$\llbracket \exists x \phi(x) \rrbracket = \llbracket \phi(\tau) \rrbracket$$

Theorem (Boolean Valued Models Łoś's Theorem)

Assume \mathcal{M} is a full B-valued model for the language \mathcal{L} . Let $G \in St(\mathbb{B})$. Then \mathcal{M}/G is a first order model for \mathcal{L} and for every formula $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in \mathcal{L} and $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \in M^n$:

$$\mathcal{M}/G \models \phi([\tau_1]_G, \dots, [\tau_n]_G) \Leftrightarrow \llbracket \phi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rrbracket \in G$$

A Boolean Valued Extension of \mathbb{C}

Let B be a complete boolean algebra and $R \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ a binary Borel relation on \mathbb{C} .

A Boolean Valued Extension of \mathbb{C}

Let B be a complete boolean algebra and $R \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ a binary Borel relation on \mathbb{C} . Consider $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(St(B))$.

A Boolean Valued Extension of \mathbb{C}

Let B be a complete boolean algebra and $R \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ a binary Borel relation on \mathbb{C} . Consider $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(St(B))$. We define

$$\llbracket R(f, g) \rrbracket = \overline{\{G \in St(B) : f(G)Rg(G)\}} = \overline{(f \times g)^{-1}[R]}$$

A Boolean Valued Extension of \mathbb{C}

Let B be a complete boolean algebra and $R \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ a binary Borel relation on \mathbb{C} . Consider $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(St(B))$. We define

$$\llbracket R(f, g) \rrbracket = \overline{\{G \in St(B) : f(G)Rg(G)\}} = \overline{(f \times g)^{-1}[R]}$$

This set univocally determines an element of B ($B \cong RO(St(B))$).

A Boolean Valued Extension of \mathbb{C}

Let B be a complete boolean algebra and $R \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ a binary Borel relation on \mathbb{C} . Consider $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(St(B))$. We define

$$\llbracket R(f, g) \rrbracket = \overline{\{G \in St(B) : f(G)Rg(G)\}} = \overline{(f \times g)^{-1}[R]}$$

This set univocally determines an element of B ($B \cong RO(St(B))$).

- $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ is a B -valued model for $\mathcal{L} = \{R\}$.

A Boolean Valued Extension of \mathbb{C}

Let B be a complete boolean algebra and $R \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ a binary Borel relation on \mathbb{C} . Consider $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(St(B))$. We define

$$\llbracket R(f, g) \rrbracket = \overline{\{G \in St(B) : f(G)Rg(G)\}} = \overline{(f \times g)^{-1}[R]}$$

This set univocally determines an element of B ($B \cong RO(St(B))$).

- $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ is a B -valued model for $\mathcal{L} = \{R\}$.
- The set $\{c_x : x \in \mathbb{C}\}$, where c_x is the constant function with value x , is a copy of \mathbb{C} in $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$.

A Boolean Valued Extension of \mathbb{C}

Let B be a complete boolean algebra and $R \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ a binary Borel relation on \mathbb{C} . Consider $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(St(B))$. We define

$$\llbracket R(f, g) \rrbracket = \overline{\{G \in St(B) : f(G)Rg(G)\}} = \overline{(f \times g)^{-1}[R]}$$

This set univocally determines an element of B ($B \cong RO(St(B))$).

- $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ is a B -valued model for $\mathcal{L} = \{R\}$.
- The set $\{c_x : x \in \mathbb{C}\}$, where c_x is the constant function with value x , is a copy of \mathbb{C} in $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$.

Proposition

$\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ is a B -valued extension of \mathbb{C} .

A Boolean Valued Extension of \mathbb{C}

Let B be a complete boolean algebra and $R \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ a binary Borel relation on \mathbb{C} . Consider $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(St(B))$. We define

$$\llbracket R(f, g) \rrbracket = \overline{\{G \in St(B) : f(G)Rg(G)\}} = \overline{(f \times g)^{-1}[R]}$$

This set univocally determines an element of B ($B \cong RO(St(B))$).

- $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ is a B -valued model for $\mathcal{L} = \{R\}$.
- The set $\{c_x : x \in \mathbb{C}\}$, where c_x is the constant function with value x , is a copy of \mathbb{C} in $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$.

Proposition

$\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ is a B -valued extension of \mathbb{C} . In particular, $L^\infty([0, 1])$ is a MALG-valued extension of \mathbb{C} .

A Boolean Valued Extension of \mathbb{C}

Let B be a complete boolean algebra and $R \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ a binary Borel relation on \mathbb{C} . Consider $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(St(B))$. We define

$$\llbracket R(f, g) \rrbracket = \overline{\{G \in St(B) : f(G)Rg(G)\}} = \overline{(f \times g)^{-1}[R]}$$

This set univocally determines an element of B ($B \cong RO(St(B))$).

- $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ is a B -valued model for $\mathcal{L} = \{R\}$.
- The set $\{c_x : x \in \mathbb{C}\}$, where c_x is the constant function with value x , is a copy of \mathbb{C} in $\mathcal{C}(St(B))$.

Proposition

$\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ is a B -valued extension of \mathbb{C} . In particular, $L^\infty([0, 1])$ is a MALG-valued extension of \mathbb{C} .

Remark

$\mathcal{C}(St(B))$ is not full. $\mathcal{C}^+(St(B))$ is full.

Boolean Valued Universe

Let $B \in V$ a complete boolean algebra. We construct V^B generalizing the construction of V .

Boolean Valued Universe

Let $B \in V$ a complete boolean algebra. We construct V^B generalizing the construction of V .

$\mathcal{P}(X) \equiv 2^X$ identifying a subset with its characteristic function.

Boolean Valued Universe

Let $B \in V$ a complete boolean algebra. We construct V^B generalizing the construction of V .

$\mathcal{P}(X) \equiv 2^X$ identifying a subset with its characteristic function.

$\mathcal{P}_B(X) \equiv B^X$ where for $f : X \rightarrow B$, $f(a)$ is the boolean value of the concept a *belongs to* X .

Boolean Valued Universe

Let $B \in V$ a complete boolean algebra. We construct V^B generalizing the construction of V .

$\mathcal{P}(X) \equiv 2^X$ identifying a subset with its characteristic function.

$\mathcal{P}_B(X) \equiv B^X$ where for $f : X \rightarrow B$, $f(a)$ is the boolean value of the concept a belongs to X .

Therefore:

$$V_0^B = \emptyset$$

$$V_{\alpha+1}^B = \{f : X \rightarrow B \mid X \subset V_\alpha^B\}$$

$$V_\beta^B = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} V_\alpha^B \text{ if } \beta \text{ is a limit ordinal}$$

$$V^B = \bigcup_{\alpha \in ON} V_\alpha^B$$

Cohen's Forcing Theorem

Theorem (Cohen's Forcing Theorem)

Assume $B \in V$ is a complete boolean algebra and $G \in St(B)$.

Then

$$\langle V^B / G, \in_G \rangle \models \text{ZFC}$$

Moreover

$$\langle V^B / G, \in_G \rangle \models \phi([\tau_1]_G, \dots, [\tau_n]_G) \Leftrightarrow \llbracket \phi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rrbracket \in G$$

Cohen's Forcing Theorem

Theorem (Cohen's Forcing Theorem)

Assume $B \in V$ is a complete boolean algebra and $G \in St(B)$.

Then

$$\langle V^B / G, \in_G \rangle \models \text{ZFC}$$

Moreover

$$\langle V^B / G, \in_G \rangle \models \phi([\tau_1]_G, \dots, [\tau_n]_G) \Leftrightarrow \llbracket \phi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \rrbracket \in G$$

Remark

Forcing is a machine which produces first order models of ZFC. The truth value of undecidable formulae in these models depends on the combinatorial properties of B and on the choice of G .

V^B/G is generally a model which extends properly V .

V^B/G is generally a model which extends properly V .

This means that:

$$\mathbb{C}^V \subsetneq \mathbb{C}^{V^B/G}$$

V^B/G is generally a model which extends properly V .

This means that:

$$\mathbb{C}^V \subsetneq \mathbb{C}^{V^B/G}$$

We are interested in those B-names in V^B which become complex numbers after we take the quotient of V^B by a ultrafilter.

B-names for Complex Numbers

Definition

$\sigma \in V^B$ is a **B-name for a complex number** if

$$\llbracket \sigma \text{ is a complex number} \rrbracket = 1_B$$

We denote with \mathbb{C}^B the set of all B-names for complex numbers.

B-names for Complex Numbers

Definition

$\sigma \in V^B$ is a **B-name for a complex number** if

$$\llbracket \sigma \text{ is a complex number} \rrbracket = 1_B$$

We denote with \mathbb{C}^B the set of all B-names for complex numbers.

$\mathcal{C}^+(St(B))$ is a
B-valued extension of
 \mathbb{C}

B-names for Complex Numbers

Definition

$\sigma \in V^B$ is a **B-name for a complex number** if

$$\llbracket \sigma \text{ is a complex number} \rrbracket = 1_B$$

We denote with \mathbb{C}^B the set of all B-names for complex numbers.

$\mathcal{C}^+(St(B))$ is a
B-valued extension of
 \mathbb{C}

\longleftrightarrow

\mathbb{C}^B is a B-valued
extension of \mathbb{C}

$$\mathcal{C}^+(\text{St}(\mathbb{B})) \cong \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{B}}$$

Theorem

Fix a set

$$\mathcal{L} = \{R_i : i \in I\} \cup \{F_j : j \in J\}$$

where:

- for $i \in I$, R_i is a Borel subset of \mathbb{C}^{n_i} ;
- for $j \in J$, F_j is a Borel function from \mathbb{C}^{m_j} to \mathbb{C} .

Then

$$\mathcal{C}^+(\text{St}(\mathbb{B})) \cong \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{B}}$$

as \mathbb{B} -valued models in the language \mathcal{L} .

Generic Absoluteness

Generic Absoluteness

Theorem

Let B a complete boolean algebra and $G \in St(B)$.

Generic Absoluteness

Theorem

Let B a complete boolean algebra and $G \in St(B)$. Assume R_1, \dots, R_n are Borel relations on \mathbb{C} and F_1, \dots, F_m are Borel functions on \mathbb{C} .

Generic Absoluteness

Theorem

Let B a complete boolean algebra and $G \in St(B)$. Assume R_1, \dots, R_n are Borel relations on \mathbb{C} and F_1, \dots, F_m are Borel functions on \mathbb{C} . Then:

$$\langle \mathbb{C}, R_1, \dots, F_m \rangle \prec_{\Sigma_2} \langle \mathbb{C}^B / G, R_1 / G, \dots, F_m / G \rangle$$

Generic Absoluteness

Theorem

Let B a complete boolean algebra and $G \in St(B)$. Assume R_1, \dots, R_n are Borel relations on \mathbb{C} and F_1, \dots, F_m are Borel functions on \mathbb{C} . Then:

$$\langle \mathbb{C}, R_1, \dots, F_m \rangle \prec_{\Sigma_2} \langle \mathbb{C}^B / G, R_1 / G, \dots, F_m / G \rangle$$

Therefore:

$$\langle \mathbb{C}, R_1, \dots, F_m \rangle \prec_{\Sigma_2} \langle C^+(St(B)) / G, R_1 / G, \dots, F_m / G \rangle$$

Generic Absoluteness

Theorem

Let B a complete boolean algebra and $G \in St(B)$. Assume R_1, \dots, R_n are Borel relations on \mathbb{C} and F_1, \dots, F_m are Borel functions on \mathbb{C} . Then:

$$\langle \mathbb{C}, R_1, \dots, F_m \rangle \prec_{\Sigma_2} \langle \mathbb{C}^B / G, R_1 / G, \dots, F_m / G \rangle$$

Therefore:

$$\langle \mathbb{C}, R_1, \dots, F_m \rangle \prec_{\Sigma_2} \langle \mathcal{C}^+(St(B)) / G, R_1 / G, \dots, F_m / G \rangle$$

Remark

This means that we can use forcing as a mean to prove theorems within ZFC. To prove that a Σ_2^1 -formula ϕ is true in ZFC, it is not necessary to show that it holds in every model of ZFC. It is enough to find one model of a certain form in which ϕ holds.

Back to C^* -algebras

Definition

Consider B a complete boolean algebra and let $B^+ = B \setminus \{0_B\}$.
 $D \subseteq B^+$ is **dense** if for each $b \in B^+$ there exists $d \in D$ such that $d \leq b$.

$G \subseteq B^+$ is **generic** over a class C (or **C -generic**) if:

- G is a filter;
- if $D \subseteq B^+$ is dense and $D \in C$, then $G \cap D \neq \emptyset$.

Back to C^* -algebras

Definition

Consider B a complete boolean algebra and let $B^+ = B \setminus \{0_B\}$.
 $D \subseteq B^+$ is **dense** if for each $b \in B^+$ there exists $d \in D$ such that $d \leq b$.

$G \subseteq B^+$ is **generic** over a class C (or **C -generic**) if:

- G is a filter;
- if $D \subseteq B^+$ is dense and $D \in C$, then $G \cap D \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition

Assume G is a V -generic filter on B . Then

$$\mathcal{C}^+(St(B))/G \cong \mathcal{C}(St(B))/G$$

$\mathcal{C}(\text{St}(B))$ is enough!

Theorem

Let V be a transitive model of ZFC, $B \in V$ which V models to be a complete boolean algebra, and G a V -generic filter in B . Assume R_1, \dots, R_n are Borel relations and F_1, \dots, F_m Borel functions on \mathbb{C} . Then

$$\langle \mathbb{C}, R_1, \dots, F_m \rangle \prec_{\Sigma_2} \langle \mathcal{C}(\text{St}(B))/G, R_1/G, \dots, F_m/G \rangle$$

Thanks for your attention!

Essential bibliography

- [1] Andrea Vaccaro and Matteo Viale, *C*-algebras and B-names for complex numbers* (2015). Preprint, <https://www.newton.ac.uk/files/preprints/ni15089.pdf>.
- [2] Matteo Viale, *Martin's maximum revisited* (2012). (<http://arxiv.org/abs/1110.1181>).
- [3] ———, *Forcing the truth of a weak form of Schanuel's conjecture* (2015). Preprint, <https://www.newton.ac.uk/files/preprints/ni15087.pdf>.