

# (Non)measurability of $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin sets

joint work with Szymon Żeberski

Marcin Michalski

Wrocław University of Technology

Winter School in Abstract Analysis 2016,  
section Set Theory and Topology  
30.01 - 06.02.2016, Hejnice

We live in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and work with ZFC.

### Definition

For each  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we define:

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\},$$

$$x + A = \{x\} + A,$$

We live in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and work with ZFC.

### Definition

For each  $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we define:

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\},$$

$$x + A = \{x\} + A,$$

Let's denote a family of Borel sets by  $\mathcal{B}$ .

### Definition

We say that a  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ :

- is translation invariant if for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $A \in \mathcal{I}$  we have  $x + A \in \mathcal{I}$ ;
- has a Borel base if  $(\forall I \in \mathcal{I})(\exists B \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{I})(I \subseteq B)$

We shall consider proper, containing all countable sets  $\sigma$ -ideals with a Borel base only.

## Definition

We say that a set  $A$  is:

- $\mathcal{I}$ -residual if  $A$  is a complement of some set  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ ;
- $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set if  $A \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{I}$ ;
- $\mathcal{I}$ -nonmeasurable if  $A$  doesn't belong to the  $\sigma$ -field  $\sigma(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{I})$  generated by Borel sets and the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ ;
- completely  $\mathcal{I}$ -nonmeasurable if  $A \cap B$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -nonmeasurable for every  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set  $B$ .

## Definition

We say that a set  $A$  is:

- $\mathcal{I}$ -residual if  $A$  is a complement of some set  $I \in \mathcal{I}$ ;
- $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set if  $A \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{I}$ ;
- $\mathcal{I}$ -nonmeasurable if  $A$  doesn't belong to the  $\sigma$ -field  $\sigma(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{I})$  generated by Borel sets and the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ ;
- completely  $\mathcal{I}$ -nonmeasurable if  $A \cap B$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -nonmeasurable for every  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set  $B$ .

## Example

Bernstein sets are completely  $\mathcal{I}$ -nonmeasurable with respect to any reasonable  $\mathcal{I}$ .

## Definition

We say that a set  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set, if for each  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  we have  $|A \cap I| < |A|$ .

$A$  is called a super  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set, if  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set and for each  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set  $B$  we have  $|A \cap B| = |A|$ .

## Definition

We say that a set  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set, if for each  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  we have  $|A \cap I| < |A|$ .

$A$  is called a super  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set, if  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set and for each  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set  $B$  we have  $|A \cap B| = |A|$ .

## Example

For  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$   $\sigma$ -ideals of meager and null sets respectively we call a  $\mathcal{M}$ -Luzin set a generalized Luzin set and a  $\mathcal{N}$ -Luzin set a generalized Sierpiński set.

## Definition

$\mathcal{I}$  has a Weaker Smital Property, if there exists a countable dense set  $D$  such that for each  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set  $A$  a set  $A + D$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -residual. We say that the set  $D$  witnesses that  $\mathcal{I}$  has the Weaker Smital Property.

## Definition

$\mathcal{I}$  has a *Weaker Smital Property*, if there exists a countable dense set  $D$  such that for each  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set  $A$  a set  $A + D$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -residual. We say that the set  $D$  witnesses that  $\mathcal{I}$  has the *Weaker Smital Property*.

## Definition

$\mathcal{I}$  has a *Smital Property* if  $A + D$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -residual for each  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set  $A$  and each dense set  $D$ .

$\mathcal{I}$  has a *Steinhaus Property* if for every  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel sets  $A$  and  $B$  a set  $A + B$  has nonempty interior.

## Definition

$\mathcal{I}$  has a Weaker Smital Property, if there exists a countable dense set  $D$  such that for each  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set  $A$  a set  $A + D$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -residual. We say that the set  $D$  witnesses that  $\mathcal{I}$  has the Weaker Smital Property.

## Definition

$\mathcal{I}$  has a Smital Property if  $A + D$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -residual for each  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set  $A$  and each dense set  $D$ .

$\mathcal{I}$  has a Steinhaus Property if for every  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel sets  $A$  and  $B$  a set  $A + B$  has nonempty interior.

## Proposition

Steinhaus Property  $\Rightarrow$  Smital Property  $\Rightarrow$  Weaker Smital Property.

## Example

Classic examples of  $\sigma$ -ideals that have all of the stated properties are  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ . On the other hand a  $\sigma$ -ideal of meager null sets  $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}$  doesn't.

## Example

Classic examples of  $\sigma$ -ideals that have all of the stated properties are  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ . On the other hand a  $\sigma$ -ideal of meager null sets  $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}$  doesn't.

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a translation invariant  $\sigma$ -ideal possessing the Weaker Smital Property. Then every  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set is  $\mathcal{I}$  – nonmeasurable.*

## Theorem

*$\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin sets are  $\mathcal{I}$  – nonmeasurable  $\Leftrightarrow$  Every  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set  $B$  contains a perfect subset from  $\mathcal{I}$ .*

## Theorem

*$\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin sets are  $\mathcal{I}$  – nonmeasurable  $\Leftrightarrow$  Every  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set  $B$  contains a perfect subset from  $\mathcal{I}$ .*

## Proof.

$\Leftarrow$ : By contradiction. Suppose that we have an  $\mathcal{I}$ -measurable  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $X$ .

## Theorem

*$\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin sets are  $\mathcal{I}$  – nonmeasurable  $\Leftrightarrow$  Every  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set  $B$  contains a perfect subset from  $\mathcal{I}$ .*

## Proof.

$\Leftarrow$ : By contradiction. Suppose that we have an  $\mathcal{I}$ -measurable  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $X$ .

- $X = B \Delta I, B \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{I}, I \in \mathcal{I};$

## Theorem

*$\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin sets are  $\mathcal{I}$  – nonmeasurable  $\Leftrightarrow$  Every  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set  $B$  contains a perfect subset from  $\mathcal{I}$ .*

## Proof.

$\Leftarrow$ : By contradiction. Suppose that we have an  $\mathcal{I}$ -measurable  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $X$ .

- $X = B \Delta I, B \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{I}, I \in \mathcal{I}$ ;
- Borel base: take  $I \subseteq I' \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{I}$ , then  $B \setminus I' \subseteq X$ ;

## Theorem

*$\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin sets are  $\mathcal{I}$  – nonmeasurable  $\Leftrightarrow$  Every  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive Borel set  $B$  contains a perfect subset from  $\mathcal{I}$ .*

## Proof.

$\Leftarrow$ : By contradiction. Suppose that we have an  $\mathcal{I}$ -measurable  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $X$ .

- $X = B \Delta I, B \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{I}, I \in \mathcal{I}$ ;
- Borel base: take  $I \subseteq I' \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{I}$ , then  $B \setminus I' \subseteq X$ ;
- $B \setminus I'$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive, so it contains some perfect set from  $\mathcal{I}$  against the assumption that  $X$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set.

Proof ctnd.

$\Rightarrow$ : Also by contradiction. Suppose that we have a Borel  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive set  $B$  without the mentioned property. We claim that  $B$  is itself an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set.

## Proof ctnd.

$\Rightarrow$ : Also by contradiction. Suppose that we have a Borel  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive set  $B$  without the mentioned property. We claim that  $B$  is itself an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set.

- If it's not, then there is  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  for which  $|B \cap I| = \mathfrak{c}$ ;

## Proof ctnd.

$\Rightarrow$ : Also by contradiction. Suppose that we have a Borel  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive set  $B$  without the mentioned property. We claim that  $B$  is itself an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set.

- If it's not, then there is  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  for which  $|B \cap I| = \mathfrak{c}$ ;
- Borel base: we may assume that  $I$  is Borel and thus  $B \cap I$  is a Borel set from  $\mathcal{I}$ ;

## Proof ctnd.

$\Rightarrow$ : Also by contradiction. Suppose that we have a Borel  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive set  $B$  without the mentioned property. We claim that  $B$  is itself an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set.

- If it's not, then there is  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  for which  $|B \cap I| = \mathfrak{c}$ ;
- Borel base: we may assume that  $I$  is Borel and thus  $B \cap I$  is a Borel set from  $\mathcal{I}$ ;
- By the Perfect Set Property  $B \cap I$  (and so  $B$  alone) contains some perfect set  $P \in \mathcal{I}$ , against the assumptions;

## Proof ctnd.

$\Rightarrow$ : Also by contradiction. Suppose that we have a Borel  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive set  $B$  without the mentioned property. We claim that  $B$  is itself an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set.

- If it's not, then there is  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  for which  $|B \cap I| = \mathfrak{c}$ ;
- Borel base: we may assume that  $I$  is Borel and thus  $B \cap I$  is a Borel set from  $\mathcal{I}$ ;
- By the Perfect Set Property  $B \cap I$  (and so  $B$  alone) contains some perfect set  $P \in \mathcal{I}$ , against the assumptions;
- What means that  $B$  is a Borel  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set.

## Lemma

*If  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set exists then there exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin of regular cardinality.*

## Lemma

*If  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set exists then there exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin of regular cardinality.*

## Theorem

*Let's assume that  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  has the Weaker Smital Property. Then if  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set of regular cardinality then  $D + A$  is a super  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set ( $D$  witnesses the Weaker Smital Property).*

## Lemma

*If  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set exists then there exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin of regular cardinality.*

## Theorem

*Let's assume that  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  has the Weaker Smital Property. Then if  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set of regular cardinality then  $D + A$  is a super  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set ( $D$  witnesses the Weaker Smital Property).*

## Example

$\mathcal{N}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  have the Weaker Smital Property.  $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{M}$  doesn't have the Weaker Smital Property but still  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin sets are  $\mathcal{I}$ -nonmeasurable. For  $\sigma$ -ideal of countable sets  $[\mathbb{R}]^{\leq \omega}$  whole space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set.

## Question

*What conditions should a  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  meet to allow transformation of  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin sets into super  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin sets?*

## Theorem

*Assume that  $\text{add}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathfrak{c}$ . Then there exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $X$  such that  $X + X$  is a Bernstein set.*

## Theorem

*Assume that  $\text{add}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathfrak{c}$ . Then there exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $X$  such that  $X + X$  is a Bernstein set.*

## Corollary

*Under right assumptions there exists a generalized Luzin (Sierpiński) set  $X$  such that  $X + X$  is a Bernstein set.*

## Theorem

*Assume that  $\text{add}(\mathcal{I}) = \mathfrak{c}$ . Then there exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $X$  such that  $X + X$  is a Bernstein set.*

## Corollary

*Under right assumptions there exists a generalized Luzin (Sierpiński) set  $X$  such that  $X + X$  is a Bernstein set.*

What about  $L + S$ , where  $L$  is a Luzin set and  $S$  is a Sierpiński set?

## Theorem (Babinkostova, Scheepers, 2007)

*Let  $L$  be a classic Luzin set and  $S$  be a classic Sierpiński. Then  $L + S$  is not a Bernstein set since it's Menger.*

Theorem (Babinkostova, Scheepers, 2007)

*Let  $L$  be a classic Luzin set and  $S$  be a classic Sierpiński. Then  $L + S$  is not a Bernstein set since it's Menger.*

Theorem (M.M., Szymon Żeberski)

*Assume that  $\mathfrak{c}$  is a regular cardinal. Then  $L + S$ , where  $L$  is a generalized Luzin set and  $S$  is a generalized Sierpiński set, belongs to Marczewski ideal  $s_0$ .*

### Theorem (Babinkostova, Scheepers, 2007)

*Let  $L$  be a classic Luzin set and  $S$  be a classic Sierpiński. Then  $L + S$  is not a Bernstein set since it's Menger.*

### Theorem (M.M., Szymon Żeberski)

*Assume that  $\mathfrak{c}$  is a regular cardinal. Then  $L + S$ , where  $L$  is a generalized Luzin set and  $S$  is a generalized Sierpiński set, belongs to Marczewski ideal  $s_0$ .*

### Definition

*Recall that a set  $A \in s_0$  if*

$$(\forall P\text{-perfect}) (\exists Q\text{-perfect}) (Q \subseteq P \text{ and } Q \cap A = \emptyset)$$

## Lemma

*For every compact null set  $P$  there exists a comeager set  $G$  such that  $G + P$  is still null.*

## Proof of the Theorem.

If  $|L + S| < \mathfrak{c}$  then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise  $|L| = |S| = \mathfrak{c}$  by regularity of  $\mathfrak{c}$ . Let  $P$  be an arbitrary chosen perfect set  $P$  (wlog- meager, null and compact) and let  $G$  be as in the previous Lemma. Let's denote  $N = -G$  and  $M = -N^c$ . Then  $P \subseteq (M + N)^c$ . We will show that also  $(L + S)^c$  also contains some perfect set.

## Proof of the Theorem.

If  $|L + S| < \mathfrak{c}$  then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise  $|L| = |S| = \mathfrak{c}$  by regularity of  $\mathfrak{c}$ . Let  $P$  be an arbitrary chosen perfect set  $P$  (wlog- meager, null and compact) and let  $G$  be as in the previous Lemma. Let's denote  $N = -G$  and  $M = -N^c$ . Then  $P \subseteq (M + N)^c$ . We will show that also  $(L + S)^c$  also contains some perfect set.

$$L + S = ((L \cap N) + (S \cap M)) \cup ((L \cap N) + (S \cap M^c)) \cup ((L \cap N^c) + (S \cap M)) \cup ((L \cap N^c) + (S \cap M^c))$$

- $(L \cap N) + (S \cap M) \subseteq M + N$ ;
- $(L \cap N) + (S \cap M^c)$  is a Luzin set;
- $(L \cap N^c) + (S \cap M)$  is a Sierpiński set;
- $|(L \cap N^c) + (S \cap M^c)| < \mathfrak{c}$ .

## Proof of the Theorem.

If  $|L + S| < \mathfrak{c}$  then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise  $|L| = |S| = \mathfrak{c}$  by regularity of  $\mathfrak{c}$ . Let  $P$  be an arbitrary chosen perfect set  $P$  (wlog- meager, null and compact) and let  $G$  be as in the previous Lemma. Let's denote  $N = -G$  and  $M = -N^c$ . Then  $P \subseteq (M + N)^c$ . We will show that also  $(L + S)^c$  also contains some perfect set.

$$L + S = ((L \cap N) + (S \cap M)) \cup ((L \cap N) + (S \cap M^c)) \cup ((L \cap N^c) + (S \cap M)) \cup ((L \cap N^c) + (S \cap M^c))$$

- $(L \cap N) + (S \cap M) \subseteq M + N$ ;
- $(L \cap N) + (S \cap M^c)$  is a Luzin set;
- $(L \cap N^c) + (S \cap M)$  is a Sierpiński set;
- $|(L \cap N^c) + (S \cap M^c)| < \mathfrak{c}$ .

It follows that all of these sets have intersection with  $P$  of power lesser than  $\mathfrak{c}$ , so there exists perfect set  $P' \subseteq P$  such that  $P' \subseteq (L + S)^c$ . Thus  $L + S$  belongs to  $s_0$ .

## Remark

*The assumption on regularity of  $c$  cannot be omitted.*

Thank you for your attention!

## Bibliography

- Babinkostova L., Sheepers M. Products and selection principles, Topology Proceedings, Vol. 31 (2007), 431-443.
- Bartoszewicz A., Filipczak M., Natkaniec T., On Smital properties, Topology and its Applications (2011), Vol. 158, 2066-2075.
- Michalski M., Żeberski Sz., Some properties of  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin sets, Topology and its Applications (2015), Vol. 189, 122-135.