

An example of a capacity for which all positive Borel sets are thick

Michał Morayne, Szymon Żeberski
Wrocław University of Technology

Winter School in Abstract Analysis 2016
section Set Theory & Topology
Jan 3 - Feb 6, 2016

Definition of Choquet's \mathcal{E} -capacity on E

Let $\mathcal{E} \subseteq P(E)$ be any lattice, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$. **Choquet's \mathcal{E} -capacity** on E is any function $c : P(E) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ such that:

- i. $A \subseteq B \subseteq E$ implies $c(A) \leq c(B)$;
- ii. if $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$ is any ascending sequence of subsets of E , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(A_n) = c(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)$;
- iii. if $E_1 \supseteq E_2 \supseteq \dots$ is any descending sequence of subsets from \mathcal{E} , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(E_n) = c(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n)$.

Choquet's capacitability theorem

For any set B from the σ - δ -lattice $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ (a family closed under countable unions and countable intersections) generated by the family \mathcal{E}

the capacity of B can be approximated from below by capacities of subsets of B which are elements of \mathcal{E} .

Choquet's capacitability theorem

For any set B from the σ - δ -lattice $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ (a family closed under countable unions and countable intersections) generated by the family \mathcal{E}

the capacity of B can be approximated from below by capacities of subsets of B which are elements of \mathcal{E} .

Definition of a thick set

$B \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{E}}$ is called **thick** (with respect to a capacity c) if it contains uncountably many pairwise disjoint elements from $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ of positive capacity c

Examples of capacity

- ▶ $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda^* : P(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$

Examples of capacity

- ▶ $X = \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda^* : P(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$
- ▶ $X = [0, 1] \times \omega_1$, $c : P(X) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$,

$c(A) = 1 \leftrightarrow \{\alpha < \omega_1 : A \cap [0, 1] \times \{\alpha\} \neq \emptyset\}$ is uncountable

X is a Cantor cube,
 $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is the family of all compact subsets of X ,
If $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{K}(X)$ then $\hat{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{B}(X)$ is the family of all Borel subsets of X .

X is a Cantor cube,
 $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is the family of all compact subsets of X ,
If $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{K}(X)$ then $\hat{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{B}(X)$ is the family of all Borel subsets of X .

We construct an example of a $\mathcal{K}(X)$ -capacity such that all Borel sets of positive capacity are thick.

Construction of a capacity

μ - the standard probabilistic product measure on X

$\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \dots$ - a sequence of pairwise disjoint infinite subsets of \mathbb{N} .

$\Lambda_i = \{n_{i,j} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $j < k$ implies $n_{i,j} < n_{i,k}$.

Construction of a capacity

μ - the standard probabilistic product measure on X

$\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \dots$ - a sequence of pairwise disjoint infinite subsets of \mathbb{N} .

$\Lambda_i = \{n_{i,j} : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $j < k$ implies $n_{i,j} < n_{i,k}$.

We will now define a family of perfect subsets of X ,

$\{C(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}) : \xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Let $C(\emptyset) = X$. For $\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ we define

$C(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)})$ as

$$C(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} D_k,$$

where $D_k = \{\xi_j^{(i)}\}$ if $k = n_{i,j} \in \Lambda_i$, $i \leq n$, and $D_k = \{0, 1\}$ if $k \notin \bigcup_{i \leq n} \Lambda_i$.

Let

$$\nu_{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}} = \prod_{k \notin \bigcup_{i \leq n} \Lambda_i} \eta.$$

Let $A \subseteq C(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)})$. Then A is of the form

$$A = \prod_{i \leq n, j \in \mathbb{N}} \{\xi_j^{(i)}\} \times \pi_{X_n}(A),$$

where

$$X_n = \prod_{k \notin \bigcup_{i \leq n} \Lambda_i} \{0, 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{X_n} : X \rightarrow X_n \text{ is a projection.}$$

Let $A \subseteq C(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)})$ be a Borel set. Let

$$\mu_{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}}(A) = \nu_{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}}(\pi_{X_n}(A)).$$

$\mu_{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}}$ is a Borel measure on $C(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)})$. For $A \subseteq X$ let

$$c(A) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \mu_{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}}^*(A \cap C(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)})) : \right.$$
$$\left. \xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

$$c(A) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \mu_{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}}^*(A \cap C(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)})) : \right. \\ \left. \xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Main Theorem

The function $c : P(X) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is non-negative Choquet's $\mathcal{K}(X)$ -capacity and if $c(B) > 0$, for a Borel subset B of X , then B contains continuum many pairwise disjoint Borel subsets of positive capacity.

Proof. c is $\mathcal{K}(X)$ -Choquet's capacity.

- ▶ $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ implies $c(A) \leq c(B)$.

Proof. c is $\mathcal{K}(X)$ -Choquet's capacity.

- ▶ $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ implies $c(A) \leq c(B)$.
- ▶ For $K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \dots$ a sequence of compact subsets of X

$$c\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n\right) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(K_n).$$

Proof. c is $\mathcal{K}(X)$ -Choquet's capacity.

- ▶ $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ implies $c(A) \leq c(B)$.
- ▶ For $K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \dots$ a sequence of compact subsets of X

$$c\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n\right) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(K_n).$$

- ▶ If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(K_n) = 0$ we have $c\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(K_n)$.

Proof. c is $\mathcal{K}(X)$ -Choquet's capacity.

- ▶ $A \subseteq B \subseteq X$ implies $c(A) \leq c(B)$.
- ▶ For $K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \dots$ a sequence of compact subsets of X

$$c\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n\right) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(K_n).$$

- ▶ If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(K_n) = 0$ we have $c(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(K_n)$.
- ▶ If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(K_n) > 0$, then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \mu_{\xi^{(1,n)}, \dots, \xi^{(m,n)}}(K_n \cap C(\xi^{(1,n)}, \dots, \xi^{(m,n)})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(K_n),$$

for some $\xi^{(1,n)}, \dots, \xi^{(m,n)} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof. c is $\mathcal{K}(X)$ -Choquet's capacity...

- ▶ If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(K_n) > 0$, then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \mu_{\xi^{(1,n)}, \dots, \xi^{(m,n)}}(K_n \cap C(\xi^{(1,n)}, \dots, \xi^{(m,n)})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(K_n),$$

for some $\xi^{(1,n)}, \dots, \xi^{(m,n)} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

- ▶ Passing, if necessary, to a subsequence, we can assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\xi^{(1,n)}, \dots, \xi^{(m,n)}) = (\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}).$$

Proof. c is $\mathcal{K}(X)$ -Choquet's capacity...

- ▶ If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(K_n) > 0$, then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \mu_{\xi^{(1,n)}, \dots, \xi^{(m,n)}}(K_n \cap C(\xi^{(1,n)}, \dots, \xi^{(m,n)})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(K_n),$$

for some $\xi^{(1,n)}, \dots, \xi^{(m,n)} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

- ▶ Passing, if necessary, to a subsequence, we can assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\xi^{(1,n)}, \dots, \xi^{(m,n)}) = (\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(m)}).$$

- ▶ For $A_1 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq \dots$ any subsets of X

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c(A_n) = c\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right).$$

Proof. Borel positive sets are thick.

Now let B be any Borel subset of X such that $c(B) > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}}(B \cap C(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)})) &= \\ &= \nu_{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}}(\pi_{X_n}(B \cap C(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}))) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X_n = \prod_{k \notin \bigcup_{i \leq n} \Lambda_i} \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

for some $\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\nu_{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}} = \nu_{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}} \times \prod_{k \in \Lambda_{n+1}} \eta.$$

Proof. Borel positive sets are thick...

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}, \xi}(B \cap C(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}, \xi)) = \\ &= \int_{\prod_{k \in \Lambda_{n+1}} \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}} \nu_{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}, \xi}(\pi_{X_{n+1}}(B \cap C(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}, \xi))) d \left(\prod_{k \in \Lambda_{n+1}} \eta \right) (\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the set of those $\xi \in \prod_{k \in \Lambda_{n+1}} \{0, 1\}$ for which

$$\nu_{\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}, \xi}(\pi_{X_{n+1}}(B \cap C(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}, \xi))) > 0$$

has positive measure and hence also

$$c(B \cap C(\xi^{(1)}, \dots, \xi^{(n)}, \xi)) > 0,$$

must have positive measure and thus it must have cardinality c .



Thank You for Your Attention!