

Reflection numbers under large continuum

Sakaé Fuchino (渕野 昌)

Graduate School of System Informatics
Kobe University

(神戸大学大学院 システム情報学研究科)

<http://fuchino.ddo.jp/index-j.html>

Winter School in Abstract Analysis 2016 section Set Theory and Topology

(6. Februar 2016 (13:03 JST) version)

5. February 2016, (於 Hejnice)

This presentation is typeset by p^LA_TE_X with beamer class.

The printer version of these slides is downloadable as

<http://fuchino.ddo.jp/slides/winterschool2016-pf.pdf>

- ▶ For a class \mathcal{C} of structures with a notion $\sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{C}}$ of substructures, $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and a cardinal $\kappa \leq |A|$, let

$$S_{<\kappa}^{\mathcal{C}}(A) = \{B \in \mathcal{C} : B \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{C}} A, |B| < \kappa\}.$$

We identify elements of \mathcal{C} with their underlying sets and consider $S_{<\kappa}^{\mathcal{C}}(A) \subseteq [A]^{<\kappa}$.

- ▷ We assume that $S_{<\kappa}^{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ contains a club $\subseteq [A]^{<\kappa}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{C}$.
- ▶ For \mathcal{C} as above and a property P , the **reflection number of P in \mathcal{C}** is defined as:

$$\mathfrak{Rfl}(\mathcal{C}, P) = \begin{cases} \min\{\kappa \in \text{Card} : \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{C} \text{ if } A \not\models P \text{ then} \\ \text{there is club many } B \in S_{<\kappa}^{\mathcal{C}}(A) \\ \text{with } B \not\models P\}, \\ \text{if } \{\kappa \in \text{Card} : \dots\} \neq \emptyset; \\ \infty, \\ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- **If the property P is hereditary**, i.e. if $A \sqsubseteq_{\mathcal{C}} B$ and $B \models P$ always implies $A \models P$ then the reflection number can be more simply represented as:

$$\mathfrak{Rfl}(\mathcal{C}, P) = \begin{cases} \min\{\kappa \in \text{Card} : \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{C} \text{ if } A \not\models P \text{ then} \\ \text{there is } B \in S_{<\kappa}^{\mathcal{C}}(A) \\ \text{with } B \not\models P\}, \\ \text{if } \{\kappa \in \text{Card} : \dots\} \neq \emptyset; \\ \infty, \\ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ For $\mathcal{C} = \text{trees}$ and $P \Leftrightarrow \text{being special}$
- ▶ The assertion $\mathfrak{Rfl}(\mathcal{C}, P) = \aleph_2$ is known as **Rado's Conjecture**.
- ▶ We shall denote this reflection number with $\mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Rado}}$.
- ▶ $\aleph_1 < \mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Rado}} \leq \infty$. $V = L (\square_\kappa \text{ for class many } \kappa) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Rado}} = \infty$.
- ▶ $\mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Rado}} = \aleph_2$ can be forced starting from a strongly compact cardinal (Todorćević 1983).
- ▶ $\mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Rado}} = \aleph_2$ implies strong forms of Chang's Conjecture (Todorćević 1993, Doebler 2013, S.F.-Sakai-Torres-Usuba).
- ▶ $\mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Rado}} = \aleph_2$ implies $2^{\aleph_0} \leq \aleph_2$ (Todorćević 1993).
- ▶ $\mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Rado}} = \aleph_2$ implies the Fodor-type Reflection Principle (**FRP**) and hence all consequences of **FRP** like **SCH** (S.F.-Rinot 2011), stationarity reflection (of sets of ordinals of countable cofinality) etc. (S.F.-Sakai-Torres-Usuba).

- ▶ For $\mathcal{C} =$ partial orderings and
 $P \Leftrightarrow$ union of countably many chains (w.r.t. the partial ordering)
- ▶ The assertion $\mathfrak{Rfl}(\mathcal{C}, P) = \aleph_2$ for these \mathcal{C} and P is known as **Galvin's Conjecture**.
- ▶ It is still **open** if Galvin's Conjecture is consistent.
- ▶ We shall denote this reflection number with $\mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Galvin}}$.
- ▶ For $\mathcal{C} =$ graphs and
 $P \Leftrightarrow$ of countable chromatic number
- ▶ We shall denote the reflection number $\mathfrak{Rfl}(\mathcal{C}, P)$ for these \mathcal{C} and P with $\mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{chr}}$
- ▶ $\beth_\omega < \mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{chr}}$ (Erdős and Hajnal 1966).
- ▶ We have

$$\mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Rado}} \leq \mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Galvin}} \leq \mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{chr}} \leq \omega_1\text{-strongly compact cardinal.}$$

Examples of reflection numbers (3/3)

reflection numbers (6/14)

$\text{Refl}_{\text{Rado}} \leq \text{Refl}_{\text{Galvin}} \leq \text{Refl}_{\text{chr}} \leq \omega_1\text{-strongly compact cardinal.}$

- ▶ κ is called the **ω_1 -strongly compact cardinal** if it is the smallest cardinal κ with the property that for any $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_1, \omega}$ theory T , whenever all subtheories of T of size $< \kappa$ are satisfiable ($< \kappa$ -satisfiable) then T itself is also satisfiable.
- ▶ For $\mathcal{C} =$ Boolean algebras and $P \Leftrightarrow$ free
- ▶ We denote the reflection number $\text{Refl}(\mathcal{C}, P)$ for these \mathcal{C} and P by $\text{Refl}_{\text{free}}^{\text{Ba}}$. Similarly $\text{Refl}_{\text{free}}^{\text{gp}}$ and $\text{Refl}_{\text{free}}^{\text{agp}}$ for groups and abelian groups.
- ▶ $\aleph_1 < \text{Refl}_{\text{free}}^{\text{Ba}}, \text{Refl}_{\text{free}}^{\text{gp}}, \text{Refl}_{\text{free}}^{\text{agp}} \leq \infty$
- ▶ **Open.** Can $\text{Refl}_{\text{free}}^{\text{Ba}}, \text{Refl}_{\text{free}}^{\text{gp}}, \text{Refl}_{\text{free}}^{\text{agp}}$ be different?
- ▶ $\text{Refl}_{\text{free}}^{\text{gp}}, \text{Refl}_{\text{free}}^{\text{agp}} \leq \omega_1\text{-strongly compact cardinal.}$
- ▶ **Open?** $\text{Refl}_{\text{free}}^{\text{Ba}} \leq \omega_1\text{-strongly compact cardinal ?}$

- ▶ 2^{\aleph_0} can be consistently “large” in the following sense:

2^{\aleph_0} is weakly inaccessible.

There are stationarily many weakly inaccessible below 2^{\aleph_0} .

There is an inner model M with the same cardinals s.t. 2^{\aleph_0} is a large cardinal in M .

etc. etc.

Sketch of a consistency proof of Rado's Conjecture reflection numbers (8/14)

- ▶ Suppose that κ is strongly compact and $\mathbb{P} = \text{Col}(\kappa, \omega_1)$. We show that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \text{“Refl}_{\text{Rado}} = \aleph_2\text{”}$.
- ▶ Let G be (\mathbb{P}, V) -generic and $T \in V[G]$ a tree s.t.
(*) $V[G] \models \forall T' \in [T]^{<\aleph_2}$ is special. Note that $(\aleph_2)^{V[G]} = \kappa$.
- ▷ We have to show: $V[G] \models T$ is special.
- ▶ In $V[G]$, let $\lambda = |T|$. Let $j : V \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ be the strongly compact embedding with $j(\kappa) > \lambda$. Let $\mathbb{P}^* = j(\mathbb{P})$ and let G^* be a (\mathbb{P}^*, V) -generic set with $G \subseteq G^*$.
- ▷ Let $j^* : V[G] \xrightarrow{\sim} M[G^*]$; $[a]_G \mapsto [j(a)]_{G^*}$. Let $T^* = j^*(T)$ and let T' be s.t. $j^{**}T \subseteq T'$ and $T' \in [T^*]^{\aleph_1} \cap M[G^*]$. Thus $M[G^*] \models T' \in [T^*]^{<\aleph_2}$. By elementarity of j^* and (*), $M[G^*] \models T'$ is special. Hence $V[G^*] \models j^{**}T \cong T$ is special.
- ▶ By the following Lemma, T is special even in $V[G]$:

Lemma 1 (Todorćević 1983). For any tree T and σ -closed p.o. \mathbb{Q} if $\Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{“} T \text{ is special”}$ then T is special.

Lemma 1 (Todorčević 1983). For any tree T and σ -closed p.o. \mathbb{Q} if $\Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}}$ “ T is special” then T is special.

Proposition 2. For any tree T and $\mathbb{P} = \text{Fn}(\kappa, 2)$ for any κ if $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}$ “ T is special” then T is special.

Proof.

- ▶ If $\kappa \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ then $\mathbb{P} = \text{Fn}(\kappa, 2)$ is σ -centered and $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}$ “ T is special” clearly implies that T is special.
- ▶ For $\kappa > 2^{\aleph_0}$, suppose that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}$ “ T is special”. Let $\mathbb{Q} = \text{Col}(\kappa^+, \omega_1)$.

We have $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P} * \mathbb{Q}}$ “ T is special” where \mathbb{Q} is s.t. $\mathbb{Q} * \mathbb{P} \cong \mathbb{P} * \mathbb{Q}$.

Since $\Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}}$ “ \mathbb{P} is σ -centered” it follows that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{Q}}$ “ T is special”.

Thus, by Todorčević’s Lemma 1, T is special. □

Proposition 2. For any tree T and $\mathbb{P} = \text{Fn}(\kappa, 2)$ for any κ if $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}$ “ T is special” then T is special.

- ▶ Similarly to the consistency proof of Rado's conjecture, Proposition 2 above can be used to prove:

Theorem 3. If κ is a strongly compact cardinal then, letting $\mathbb{P} = \text{Fn}(\lambda, 2)$ for some $\lambda \geq \kappa$, we have $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}$ “ $\mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Rado}} = 2^{\aleph_0}$ ”. In particular, assertions

“ $\mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Rado}} \leq 2^{\aleph_0} + \text{the continuum is very large}$ ” and

“ $\mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Rado}} < 2^{\aleph_0}$ ”

are consistent.

- ▶ Remember $\mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Rado}} = \aleph_2 \Rightarrow 2^{\aleph_0} \leq \aleph_2$ (Todorćević).

Question. (M. Viale) $\mathfrak{Rfl}_{\text{Rado}} = \aleph_3 \Rightarrow 2^{\aleph_0} \leq \aleph_3$?

Indestructible reflection numbers

- ▶ For a class \mathcal{C} of structures and a property P , let us say that $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is **indestructibly** $\neg P$ if $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} "A \models \neg P"$ for any σ -closed p.o. \mathbb{P} .
- ▶ For a class \mathcal{C} of structures and a property P , the **indestructible reflection number of P in \mathcal{C}** is defined as:

$$\text{Refl}^*(\mathcal{C}, P) = \begin{cases} \min\{\kappa \in \text{Card} : \text{if } A \in \mathcal{C} \text{ is indestructibly } \neg P \text{ then there is} \\ \text{club many } B \in S_{<\kappa}^{\mathcal{C}}(A) \\ \text{with } B \not\models P\}, \\ \text{if } \{\kappa \in \text{Card} : \dots\} \neq \emptyset; \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Let $\text{Refl}_{\text{Galvin}}^*$ and $\text{Refl}_{\text{chr}}^*$ be Refl^* variations of $\text{Refl}_{\text{Galvin}}$ and Refl_{chr} .

$$\text{Refl}_{\text{Galvin}} \leq \text{Refl}_{\text{chr}}$$

$$\triangleright \text{Refl}_{\text{Rado}} \leq \text{Refl}_{\text{Galvin}}^* \leq \text{Refl}_{\text{chr}}^*.$$

Further consistency results

$$\text{Refl}_{\text{Galvin}} \leq \text{Refl}_{\text{chr}}$$

reflection numbers (12/14)



$$\text{Refl}_{\text{Rado}} \leq \text{Refl}_{\text{Galvin}}^* \leq \text{Refl}_{\text{chr}}^*$$

- Arguments similar to that of Theorem 3. amounts to the following theorems:

Theorem 4. For a strongly compact cardinal κ and $\mathbb{P} = \text{Col}(\kappa, \omega_1)$, we have $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \text{Refl}_{\text{chr}}^* = \aleph_2$.

Theorem 5. For a strongly compact cardinal κ and $\mathbb{P} = \text{Fn}(\lambda, 2)$ for $\lambda \geq \kappa$, we have $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \text{Refl}_{\text{chr}}^* \leq \kappa \leq \lambda = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Theorem 6. For a measurable cardinal κ and $\mathbb{P} = \text{Fn}(\kappa, 2)$, we have

$\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}}$ “for any graph Γ of size continuum and uncountable chromatic number there exists a subgraph of size $<$ continuum with uncountable chromatic number”.

- ▶ Let \mathfrak{ma} be the first number of dense sets for which Martin's Axiom fails. Thus $\omega_1 \leq \mathfrak{ma} \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Theorem 7. (Baumgartner-Malitz-Reihardt, 1970) Any tree of size $< \mathfrak{ma}$ without uncountable chain is special. \square

- ▶ Let $T_{\mathbb{R}} = \{t : t \text{ is a strictly increasing sequences in } \mathbb{R} \text{ of successor length } < \omega_1\}$ be considered as a tree with endextension.

Theorem 8. (Todorcevic, 1983) $T_{\mathbb{R}}$ is not special.

Corollary 9. $\mathfrak{ma} < \mathfrak{Refl}_{\text{Rado}}$.

Theorem 10. (Folklore, (S.F., 1992)) If \mathbb{P} has the c.c.c. then, for any A in an universal algebra \mathcal{C} , if $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \text{“} A \text{ is free”}$ then A is free.

Corollary 11. If κ is a supercompact cardinal then for the canonical c.c.c. p.o. \mathbb{P} forcing $\kappa = 2^{\aleph_0}$ and $\mathfrak{ma} = 2^{\aleph_0}$ (i.e. MA), $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \text{“} \mathfrak{Refl}_{\text{free}}^{\mathcal{C}} \leq 2^{\aleph_0} \text{”}$ for any universal algebra \mathcal{C} . In particular $\mathfrak{Refl}_{\text{free}}^{\mathcal{C}} < \mathfrak{Refl}_{\text{Rado}}$ is consistent.

Děkuji vám za pozornost !