

# Open-open game of uncountable length

Andrzej Kucharski

Institute of Mathematics, University of Silesia  
ul. Bankowa 14, 40-007 Katowice

Winter School in abstract Analysis section Topology, Hejnice  
2010

The following game was introduced by

P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou

*On the open-open game*, Fund. Math. 145 (1994), no. 3, 205 - 220.

Two players playing on a topological space  $X$ .

- Player I choosing a non-empty open set  $U \subseteq X$ .
- Player II should choosing a non-empty open set  $V \subseteq U$
- Player I wins if the union of all open sets which have been chosen by Player II is dense in  $X$

The following game was introduced by

P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou

*On the open-open game*, Fund. Math. 145 (1994), no. 3, 205 - 220.

Two players playing on a topological space  $X$ .

- Player I choosing a non-empty open set  $U \subseteq X$ .
- Player II should choosing a non-empty open set  $V \subseteq U$
- Player I wins if the union of all open sets which have been chosen by Player II is dense in  $X$

The following game was introduced by

P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou

*On the open-open game*, Fund. Math. 145 (1994), no. 3, 205 - 220.

Two players playing on a topological space  $X$ .

- Player I choosing a non-empty open set  $U \subseteq X$ .
- Player II should choosing a non-empty open set  $V \subseteq U$
- Player I wins if the union of all open sets which have been chosen by Player II is dense in  $X$

The following game was introduced by

P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou

*On the open-open game*, Fund. Math. 145 (1994), no. 3, 205 - 220.

Two players playing on a topological space  $X$ .

- Player I choosing a non-empty open set  $U \subseteq X$ .
- Player II should choosing a non-empty open set  $V \subseteq U$
- Player I wins if the union of all open sets which have been chosen by Player II is dense in  $X$

The following game was introduced by

P. Daniels, K. Kunen and H. Zhou

*On the open-open game*, Fund. Math. 145 (1994), no. 3, 205 - 220.

Two players playing on a topological space  $X$ .

- Player I choosing a non-empty open set  $U \subseteq X$ .
- Player II should choosing a non-empty open set  $V \subseteq U$
- Player I wins if the union of all open sets which have been chosen by Player II is dense in  $X$

Authors assume that the length of the game is  $\omega$  and called this game the *open-open game*.

We consider similar game but with one difference, the length of the game may be **uncountable**.

Authors assume that the length of the game is  $\omega$  and called this game the *open-open game*.

We consider similar game but with one difference, the length of the game may be **uncountable**.

Let  $X$  be a topological space equipped with a topology  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The space  $X$  is called  $\kappa$ -*favorable* whenever there exists a function

$$\sigma : \bigcup \{ \mathcal{T}^\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa \} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

such that for each sequence  $\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  consisting of elements of  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $B_0 \subseteq \sigma(\emptyset)$  and

$$B_\alpha \subseteq \sigma(\{B_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}) \text{ for each } \alpha \in \kappa,$$

the union  $\bigcup \{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  is dense in  $X$ .

The function  $\sigma$  is called a  $\kappa$ -*winning strategy*.

Let  $X$  be a topological space equipped with a topology  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The space  $X$  is called  $\kappa$ -*l-favorable* whenever there exists a function

$$\sigma : \bigcup \{ \mathcal{T}^\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa \} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

such that for each sequence  $\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  consisting of elements of  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $B_0 \subseteq \sigma(\emptyset)$  and

$$B_\alpha \subseteq \sigma(\{B_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}) \text{ for each } \alpha \in \kappa,$$

the union  $\bigcup \{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  is dense in  $X$ .

The function  $\sigma$  is called a  $\kappa$ -*winning strategy*.

Let  $X$  be a topological space equipped with a topology  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The space  $X$  is called  $\kappa$ -l-favorable whenever there exists a function

$$\sigma : \bigcup \{ \mathcal{T}^\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa \} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

such that for each sequence  $\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  consisting of elements of  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $B_0 \subseteq \sigma(\emptyset)$  and

$$B_\alpha \subseteq \sigma(\{B_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}) \text{ for each } \alpha \in \kappa,$$

the union  $\bigcup \{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  is dense in  $X$ .

The function  $\sigma$  is called a  $\kappa$ -winning strategy.

Let  $X$  be a topological space equipped with a topology  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The space  $X$  is called  $\kappa$ -*l-favorable* whenever there exists a function

$$\sigma : \bigcup \{ \mathcal{T}^\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa \} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

such that for each sequence  $\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  consisting of elements of  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $B_0 \subseteq \sigma(\emptyset)$  and

$$B_\alpha \subseteq \sigma(\{B_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}) \text{ for each } \alpha \in \kappa,$$

the union  $\bigcup \{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  is dense in  $X$ .

The function  $\sigma$  is called a  $\kappa$ -*winning strategy*.

Let  $X$  be a topological space equipped with a topology  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The space  $X$  is called  $\kappa$ -*l-favorable* whenever there exists a function

$$\sigma : \bigcup \{ \mathcal{T}^\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa \} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

such that for each sequence  $\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  consisting of elements of  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $B_0 \subseteq \sigma(\emptyset)$  and

$$B_\alpha \subseteq \sigma(\{B_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}) \text{ for each } \alpha \in \kappa,$$

the union  $\bigcup \{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  is dense in  $X$ .

The function  $\sigma$  is called a  $\kappa$ -*winning strategy*.

Let  $X$  be a topological space equipped with a topology  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The space  $X$  is called  $\kappa$ -l-favorable whenever there exists a function

$$\sigma : \bigcup \{ \mathcal{T}^\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa \} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

such that for each sequence  $\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  consisting of elements of  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $B_0 \subseteq \sigma(\emptyset)$  and

$$B_\alpha \subseteq \sigma(\{B_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}) \text{ for each } \alpha \in \kappa,$$

the union  $\bigcup \{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  is dense in  $X$ .

The function  $\sigma$  is called a  $\kappa$ -winning strategy.

Let  $X$  be a topological space equipped with a topology  $\mathcal{T}$ .

The space  $X$  is called  $\kappa$ -*l-favorable* whenever there exists a function

$$\sigma : \bigcup \{ \mathcal{T}^\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa \} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$$

such that for each sequence  $\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  consisting of elements of  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $B_0 \subseteq \sigma(\emptyset)$  and

$$B_\alpha \subseteq \sigma(\{B_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}) \text{ for each } \alpha \in \kappa,$$

the union  $\bigcup \{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\}$  is dense in  $X$ .

The function  $\sigma$  is called a  $\kappa$ -*winning strategy*.

Let  $X$  be topological space. Then

$$\mu(X) = \min\{\kappa \in \text{Card} : X \text{ is } \kappa\text{-l-favorable}\}.$$

and

$\text{sat}(X) = \min\{\tau : |\mathcal{B}| < \tau \text{ for each family } \mathcal{B} \text{ of pairwise disjoint open set}\}$

are the length of minimal winning strategy and the *saturation* of  $X$ .

Let  $X$  be topological space. Then

$$\mu(X) = \min\{\kappa \in \text{Card} : X \text{ is } \kappa\text{-l-favorable}\}.$$

and

$\text{sat}(X) = \min\{\tau : |\mathcal{B}| < \tau \text{ for each family } \mathcal{B} \text{ of pairwise disjoint open set}\}$

are the length of minimal winning strategy and the *saturation* of  $X$ .

Let  $X$  be topological space. Then

$$\mu(X) = \min\{\kappa \in \text{Card} : X \text{ is } \kappa\text{-l-favorable}\}.$$

and

$\text{sat}(X) = \min\{\tau : |\mathcal{B}| < \tau \text{ for each family } \mathcal{B} \text{ of pairwise disjoint open set}\}$

are the length of minimal winning strategy and the *saturation* of  $X$ .

Let  $X$  be topological space. Then

$$\mu(X) = \min\{\kappa \in \text{Card} : X \text{ is } \kappa\text{-l-favorable}\}.$$

and

$\text{sat}(X) = \min\{\tau : |\mathcal{B}| < \tau \text{ for each family } \mathcal{B} \text{ of pairwise disjoint open set}\}$

are the length of minimal winning strategy and the *saturation* of  $X$ .

The next theorem is analog of theorem 1 from A. Błaszczyk, *Souslin number and inverse limits*, Topology and measure III, Proc. Conf., Vitte/Hiddensee 1980, Part 1, 21 – 26 (1982).

A directed set  $\Sigma$  is said to be  $\kappa$ -complete if any chain of length  $\kappa$  consisting of its elements has least upper bound in  $\Sigma$ .

An inverse system  $\{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\rho, \Sigma\}$  is said to be a  $\kappa$ -complete, whenever  $\Sigma$  is  $\kappa$ -complete and for every chain  $\{\sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\} \subseteq \Sigma$ , such that  $\sigma = \sup\{\sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\} \in \Sigma$ , there holds

$$X_\sigma = \varprojlim \{X_{\sigma_\alpha}, \pi_{\sigma_\beta}^{\sigma_\alpha}\}.$$

The next theorem is analog of theorem 1 from A. Błaszczyk, *Souslin number and inverse limits*, Topology and measure III, Proc. Conf., Vitte/Hiddensee 1980, Part 1, 21 – 26 (1982).

A directed set  $\Sigma$  is said to be  $\kappa$ -complete if any chain of length  $\kappa$  consisting of its elements has least upper bound in  $\Sigma$ .

An inverse system  $\{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\rho, \Sigma\}$  is said to be a  $\kappa$ -complete, whenever  $\Sigma$  is  $\kappa$ -complete and for every chain  $\{\sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\} \subseteq \Sigma$ , such that  $\sigma = \sup\{\sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\} \in \Sigma$ , there holds

$$X_\sigma = \varprojlim \{X_{\sigma_\alpha}, \pi_{\sigma_\beta}^{\sigma_\alpha}\}.$$

The next theorem is analog of theorem 1 from A. Błaszczyk, *Souslin number and inverse limits*, Topology and measure III, Proc. Conf., Vitte/Hiddensee 1980, Part 1, 21 – 26 (1982).

A directed set  $\Sigma$  is said to be  $\kappa$ -complete if any chain of length  $\kappa$  consisting of its elements has least upper bound in  $\Sigma$ .

An inverse system  $\{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\rho, \Sigma\}$  is said to be a  $\kappa$ -complete, whenever  $\Sigma$  is  $\kappa$ -complete and for every chain  $\{\sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\} \subseteq \Sigma$ , such that  $\sigma = \sup\{\sigma_\alpha : \alpha \in \kappa\} \in \Sigma$ , there holds

$$X_\sigma = \varprojlim \{X_{\sigma_\alpha}, \pi_{\sigma_\beta}^{\sigma_\alpha}\}.$$

A continuous surjection is called *skeletal* whenever for any non-empty open sets  $U \subseteq X$  the closure of  $f[U]$  has non-empty interior.

### Theorem

*Let  $X$  be topological space and  $\kappa = \mu(X)$ . If  $X$  can be represented as an inverse limit of  $\kappa$ -complete system  $\{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\theta, \Sigma\}$  and all bounding map are skeletal surjection then  $\mu(X) = \sup\{\mu(X_\sigma) : \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ .*

A continuous surjection is called *skeletal* whenever for any non-empty open sets  $U \subseteq X$  the closure of  $f[U]$  has non-empty interior.

### Theorem

Let  $X$  be topological space and  $\kappa = \mu(X)$ . If  $X$  can be represented as an inverse limit of  $\kappa$ -complete system  $\{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\theta, \Sigma\}$  and all bounding map are skeletal surjection then  $\mu(X) = \sup\{\mu(X_\sigma) : \sigma \in \Sigma\}$ .

For each cardinal number  $\kappa$  consider a class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  of topological spaces such that each of them can be represented as an inverse limit of  $\kappa$ -complete system  $\{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\rho, \Sigma\}$  with  $w(X_\sigma) \leq \kappa$  and each  $X_\sigma$  is  $T_0$  space and bounding maps  $\pi_\sigma^\rho$  are skeletal surjection.

Theorem

*The class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  is closed under any product.*

Theorem

*If  $X$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  then  $c(X) \leq \kappa$  and  $\mu(X) \leq \kappa$ .*

Theorem

*Each Tichonov space  $X$  can be dense embeded into topological space which belong to the class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  where  $\kappa = \mu(X)^{<\mu(X)}$  and each  $X_\sigma$  is Tichonov.*

For each cardinal number  $\kappa$  consider a class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  of topological spaces such that each of them can be represented as an inverse limit of  $\kappa$ -complete system  $\{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\}$  with  $w(X_\sigma) \leq \kappa$  and each  $X_\sigma$  is  $T_0$  space and bounding maps  $\pi_\sigma^\sigma$  are skeletal surjection.

### Theorem

*The class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  is closed under any product.*

### Theorem

*If  $X$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  then  $c(X) \leq \kappa$  and  $\mu(X) \leq \kappa$ .*

### Theorem

*Each Tichonov space  $X$  can be dense embedded into topological space which belong to the class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  where  $\kappa = \mu(X)^{<\mu(X)}$  and each  $X_\sigma$  is Tichonov.*

For each cardinal number  $\kappa$  consider a class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  of topological spaces such that each of them can be represented as an inverse limit of  $\kappa$ -complete system  $\{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\}$  with  $w(X_\sigma) \leq \kappa$  and each  $X_\sigma$  is  $T_0$  space and bounding maps  $\pi_\sigma^\sigma$  are skeletal surjection.

### Theorem

*The class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  is closed under any product.*

### Theorem

*If  $X$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  then  $c(X) \leq \kappa$  and  $\mu(X) \leq \kappa$ .*

### Theorem

*Each Tichonov space  $X$  can be dense embeded into topological space which belong to the class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  where  $\kappa = \mu(X)^{<\mu(X)}$  and each  $X_\sigma$  is Tichonov.*

For each cardinal number  $\kappa$  consider a class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  of topological spaces such that each of them can be represented as an inverse limit of  $\kappa$ -complete system  $\{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\}$  with  $w(X_\sigma) \leq \kappa$  and each  $X_\sigma$  is  $T_0$  space and bounding maps  $\pi_\sigma^\sigma$  are skeletal surjection.

### Theorem

*The class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  is closed under any product.*

### Theorem

*If  $X$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  then  $c(X) \leq \kappa$  and  $\mu(X) \leq \kappa$ .*

### Theorem

*Each Tichonov space  $X$  can be dense embeded into topological space which belong to the class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  where  $\kappa = \mu(X)^{<\mu(X)}$  and each  $X_\sigma$  is Tichonov.*

The space  $X$  is called *l-favorable* whenever it is  $\omega$ -*l-favorable*

Theorem (Sz. Plewik and me 2008)

Let  $X$  be compact space.  $X$  is a *l-favorable*, iff

$$X = \varprojlim \{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\},$$

where  $\{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system, all spaces  $X_\sigma$  are compact and metrizable, and all bonding maps  $\pi_\sigma^\sigma$  are skeletal.

Proposition (Sz. Plewik and me 2008)

If  $X$  is a *l-favorable* completely regular space then  $X$  can be dense embedding into  $Y = \varprojlim \{Y_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\}$ , where  $\{Y_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system, all spaces  $Y_\sigma$  are metrizable, and all bonding maps  $\pi_\sigma^\sigma$  are skeletal.

The space  $X$  is called *l-favorable* whenever it is  $\omega$ -*l-favorable*

Theorem (Sz. Plewik and me 2008)

Let  $X$  be compact space.  $X$  is a *l-favorable*, iff

$$X = \varprojlim \{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\},$$

where  $\{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system, all spaces  $X_\sigma$  are compact and metrizable, and all bonding maps  $\pi_\sigma^\sigma$  are skeletal.

Proposition (Sz. Plewik and me 2008)

If  $X$  is a *l-favorable* completely regular space then  $X$  can be dense embedding into  $Y = \varprojlim \{Y_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\}$ , where  $\{Y_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system, all spaces  $Y_\sigma$  are metrizable, and all bonding maps  $\pi_\sigma^\sigma$  are skeletal.

The space  $X$  is called *l-favorable* whenever it is  $\omega$ -*l-favorable*

### Theorem (Sz. Plewik and me 2008)

Let  $X$  be compact space.  $X$  is a *l-favorable*, iff

$$X = \varprojlim \{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\},$$

where  $\{X_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system, all spaces  $X_\sigma$  are compact and metrizable, and all bonding maps  $\pi_\sigma^\sigma$  are skeletal.

### Proposition (Sz. Plewik and me 2008)

If  $X$  is a *l-favorable* completely regular space then  $X$  can be dense embedding into  $Y = \varprojlim \{Y_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\}$ , where  $\{Y_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete inverse system, all spaces  $Y_\sigma$  are metrizable, and all bonding maps  $\pi_\sigma^\sigma$  are skeletal.

## Proposition

Let  $X$  be topological space then

$$c(X) \leq \mu(X) \leq \text{sat}(X) \leq c(X)^+$$

W.G. Fleissner, *Some spaces related to topological inequalities proven by the Erdős-Rado theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 71 (1978), 313–320

W.G. Fleissner has constructed the space  $W$  such that  $c(W) = \aleph_0$  and  $c(W \times W \times W) = \aleph_2$ . By previous proposition we could not request that each topological space  $X$  with  $\mu(X) = \kappa$  can be dense embedding into  $Y = \varprojlim \{Y_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\}$ , where  $\{Y_\sigma, \pi_\sigma^\sigma, \Sigma\}$  is a  $\kappa$ -complete inverse system, all spaces  $Y_\sigma$  have weight equal  $\kappa$ , and all bonding maps  $\pi_\sigma^\sigma$  are skeletal.

## Example

Each linear ordered topological space  $X$  (LOTS) can be dense embedded into topological space which belongs to the class  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  where  $\kappa = d(X)$