

# On tightness in the space of measures on Boolean algebras and compact spaces

Damian Sobota

Wrocław University of Technology

Winter School 2014, Hejnice

Joint work with Grzegorz Plebanek

# Topological definitions

$K$  is always a compact Hausdorff space.

# Topological definitions

$K$  is always a compact Hausdorff space.

$P(K)$  is the space of all probability regular Borel measures,

# Topological definitions

$K$  is always a compact Hausdorff space.

$P(K)$  is the space of all probability regular Borel measures, endowed with the weak\* topology.

# Topological definitions

$K$  is always a compact Hausdorff space.

$P(K)$  is the space of all probability regular Borel measures, endowed with the weak\* topology.

For a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $P(\mathcal{A})$  denotes the space of all probability finitely additive measures

# Topological definitions

$K$  is always a compact Hausdorff space.

$P(K)$  is the space of all probability regular Borel measures, endowed with the weak\* topology.

For a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $P(\mathcal{A})$  denotes the space of all probability finitely additive measures with the topology of pointwise convergence.

# Topological definitions

$K$  is always a compact Hausdorff space.

$P(K)$  is the space of all probability regular Borel measures, endowed with the weak\* topology.

For a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $P(\mathcal{A})$  denotes the space of all probability finitely additive measures with the topology of pointwise convergence.

## Tightness of a topological space

A space  $K$  has **countable tightness** if for every  $A \subseteq K$  and  $x \in \overline{A}$  there is a countable  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $x \in \overline{B}$ .

# Topological definitions

$K$  is always a compact Hausdorff space.

$P(K)$  is the space of all probability regular Borel measures, endowed with the weak\* topology.

For a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $P(\mathcal{A})$  denotes the space of all probability finitely additive measures with the topology of pointwise convergence.

## Tightness of a topological space

A space  $K$  has **countable tightness** if for every  $A \subseteq K$  and  $x \in \overline{A}$  there is a countable  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $x \in \overline{B}$ .

For example, every metric (or in general Fréchet-Urysohn) space has countable tightness.



# Topological definitions

$K$  is always a compact Hausdorff space.

$P(K)$  is the space of all probability regular Borel measures, endowed with the weak\* topology.

For a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $P(\mathcal{A})$  denotes the space of all probability finitely additive measures with the topology of pointwise convergence.

## Tightness of a topological space

A space  $K$  has **countable tightness** if for every  $A \subseteq K$  and  $x \in \overline{A}$  there is a countable  $B \subseteq A$  such that  $x \in \overline{B}$ .

For example, every metric (or in general Fréchet-Urysohn) space has countable tightness.

$[0, \omega_1]$  and  $2^{\omega_1}$  do not have countable tightness.

## Definition

Let  $\mu \in P(K)$ . We say that  $\mu$  **has a countable (Maharam) type** if there exists a countable family  $\mathcal{C}$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  which is  $\Delta$ -dense,

## Definition

Let  $\mu \in P(K)$ . We say that  $\mu$  **has a countable (Maharam) type** if there exists a countable family  $\mathcal{C}$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  which is  $\Delta$ -dense, ie. for every  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mu(B \Delta C) < \varepsilon$ .

## Definition

Let  $\mu \in P(K)$ . We say that  $\mu$  **has a countable (Maharam) type** if there exists a countable family  $\mathcal{C}$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  which is  $\Delta$ -dense, ie. for every  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mu(B \Delta C) < \varepsilon$ .

Equivalently, the pseudo-metric space  $(\text{Borel}(K), \rho_\mu)$  is separable, where  $\rho_\mu(A, B) := \mu(A \Delta B)$  for every  $A, B \in \text{Borel}(K)$ .

## Definition

Let  $\mu \in P(K)$ . We say that  $\mu$  **has a countable (Maharam) type** if there exists a countable family  $\mathcal{C}$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  which is  $\Delta$ -dense, ie. for every  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mu(B \Delta C) < \varepsilon$ .

Equivalently, the pseudo-metric space  $(\text{Borel}(K), \rho_\mu)$  is separable, where  $\rho_\mu(A, B) := \mu(A \Delta B)$  for every  $A, B \in \text{Borel}(K)$ .

Equivalently,  $\mu$  has a countable type if  $L_1(\mu)$  is separable.

# Important examples

- The Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  has countable type.

# Important examples

- The Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  has countable type.
- The product measure on  $2^\omega$  has it as well.

# Important examples

- The Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  has countable type.
- The product measure on  $2^\omega$  has it as well.
- The product measure  $\lambda$  on  $2^{\omega_1}$  has uncountable type:



# Important examples

- The Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  has countable type.
- The product measure on  $2^\omega$  has it as well.
- The product measure  $\lambda$  on  $2^{\omega_1}$  has uncountable type:

$$C_\xi := \{x \in 2^{\omega_1} : x(\xi) = 0\} \text{ for } \xi < \omega_1$$

# Important examples

- The Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  has countable type.
- The product measure on  $2^\omega$  has it as well.
- The product measure  $\lambda$  on  $2^{\omega_1}$  has uncountable type:

$$c_\xi := \{x \in 2^{\omega_1} : x(\xi) = 0\} \text{ for } \xi < \omega_1$$

$$\lambda(c_\xi \Delta c_\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ whenever } \xi \neq \eta$$

## Fremlin '97

Assume  $\text{MA}(\omega_1) + \neg\text{CH}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Boolean algebra. Let there exist  $\mu \in P(\mathcal{A})$  with uncountable type.

## Fremlin '97

Assume  $\text{MA}(\omega_1) + \neg\text{CH}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Boolean algebra. Let there exist  $\mu \in P(\mathcal{A})$  with uncountable type. Then  $P(\text{Stone}(\mathcal{A}))$  maps continuously onto  $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$ ,

## Fremlin '97

Assume  $\text{MA}(\omega_1) + \neg\text{CH}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Boolean algebra. Let there exist  $\mu \in P(\mathcal{A})$  with uncountable type. Then  $P(\text{Stone}(\mathcal{A}))$  maps continuously onto  $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$ , and hence  $P(\text{Stone}(\mathcal{A}))$  has uncountable tightness.

# The main question, the main result, the main problem

in ZFC

Is it true that countable tightness of  $P(K)$  implies that every measure  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of countable type?

# The main question, the main result, the main problem

in ZFC

Is it true that countable tightness of  $P(K)$  implies that every measure  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of countable type?

Plebanek and S.

If  $P(K \times K)$  has countable tightness, then every measure  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of countable type.

# The main question, the main result, the main problem

in ZFC

Is it true that countable tightness of  $P(K)$  implies that every measure  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of countable type?

Plebanek and S.

If  $P(K \times K)$  has countable tightness, then every measure  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of countable type.

Pol's open question from 80-ties

If  $P(K)$  has countable tightness, does  $P(K \times K)$  have it also?



# Proof – Step 1

Assume  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of uncountable type.

# Proof – Step 1

Assume  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of uncountable type. Wlog  $\mu$  is homogeneous of type  $\omega_1$ .

# Proof – Step 1

Assume  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of uncountable type. Wlog  $\mu$  is homogeneous of type  $\omega_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq Borel(K)$  be countable.

# Proof – Step 1

Assume  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of uncountable type. Wlog  $\mu$  is homogeneous of type  $\omega_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Borel}(K)$  be countable. Then there exists  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  such that:

# Proof – Step 1

Assume  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of uncountable type. Wlog  $\mu$  is homogeneous of type  $\omega_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Borel}(K)$  be countable. Then there exists  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  such that:

- $\mu(B) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,

# Proof – Step 1

Assume  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of uncountable type. Wlog  $\mu$  is homogeneous of type  $\omega_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Borel}(K)$  be countable. Then there exists  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  such that:

- $\mu(B) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B$  is  $\mu$ -independent of every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

# Proof – Step 1

Assume  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of uncountable type. Wlog  $\mu$  is homogeneous of type  $\omega_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Borel}(K)$  be countable. Then there exists  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  such that:

- $\mu(B) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B$  is  $\mu$ -independent of every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , i.e.  $\mu(B \cap C) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(C)$ .

# Proof – Step 1

Assume  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of uncountable type. Wlog  $\mu$  is homogeneous of type  $\omega_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Borel}(K)$  be countable. Then there exists  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  such that:

- $\mu(B) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B$  is  $\mu$ -independent of every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , i.e.  $\mu(B \cap C) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(C)$ .

*Proof*

By the Maharam Theorem  $\text{Borel}(K)/\mu = 0 \cong_{\varphi} \text{Borel}(2^{\omega_1})/\lambda = 0$ .



# Proof – Step 1

Assume  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of uncountable type. Wlog  $\mu$  is homogeneous of type  $\omega_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Borel}(K)$  be countable. Then there exists  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  such that:

- $\mu(B) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B$  is  $\mu$ -independent of every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , i.e.  $\mu(B \cap C) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(C)$ .

*Proof*

By the Maharam Theorem  $\text{Borel}(K)/\mu = 0 \cong_{\varphi} \text{Borel}(2^{\omega_1})/\lambda = 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}^{\bullet} = \varphi[\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}]$ .

# Proof – Step 1

Assume  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of uncountable type. Wlog  $\mu$  is homogeneous of type  $\omega_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Borel}(K)$  be countable. Then there exists  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  such that:

- $\mu(B) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B$  is  $\mu$ -independent of every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , i.e.  $\mu(B \cap C) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(C)$ .

*Proof*

By the Maharam Theorem  $\text{Borel}(K)/\mu = 0 \cong_{\varphi} \text{Borel}(2^{\omega_1})/\lambda = 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}^{\bullet} = \varphi[\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}]$ . For every  $D^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{D}^{\bullet}$  there exists  $D' \in D^{\bullet}$  and  $I_{D'} \in [\omega_1]^{\omega}$  such that  $D'$  depends only on  $I_{D'}$ .

# Proof – Step 1

Assume  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of uncountable type. Wlog  $\mu$  is homogeneous of type  $\omega_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Borel}(K)$  be countable. Then there exists  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  such that:

- $\mu(B) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B$  is  $\mu$ -independent of every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , i.e.  $\mu(B \cap C) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(C)$ .

*Proof*

By the Maharam Theorem  $\text{Borel}(K)/\mu = 0 \cong_{\varphi} \text{Borel}(2^{\omega_1})/\lambda = 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}^{\bullet} = \varphi[\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}]$ . For every  $D^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{D}^{\bullet}$  there exists  $D' \in D^{\bullet}$  and  $I_{D'} \in [\omega_1]^{\omega}$  such that  $D'$  depends only on  $I_{D'}$ . Let  $\xi > \sup \bigcup_{D'} I_{D'}$ .

# Proof – Step 1

Assume  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of uncountable type. Wlog  $\mu$  is homogeneous of type  $\omega_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Borel}(K)$  be countable. Then there exists  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  such that:

- $\mu(B) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B$  is  $\mu$ -independent of every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , i.e.  $\mu(B \cap C) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(C)$ .

*Proof*

By the Maharam Theorem  $\text{Borel}(K)/\mu = 0 \cong_{\varphi} \text{Borel}(2^{\omega_1})/\lambda = 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}^{\bullet} = \varphi[\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}]$ . For every  $D^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{D}^{\bullet}$  there exists  $D' \in D^{\bullet}$  and  $I_{D'} \in [\omega_1]^{\omega}$  such that  $D'$  depends only on  $I_{D'}$ . Let  $\xi > \sup \bigcup_{D'} I_{D'}$ .

Now take  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  such that  $B^{\bullet} = \varphi^{-1}(c_{\xi}^{\bullet})$ .

# Proof – Step 1

Assume  $\mu \in P(K)$  is of uncountable type. Wlog  $\mu$  is homogeneous of type  $\omega_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Borel}(K)$  be countable. Then there exists  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  such that:

- $\mu(B) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B$  is  $\mu$ -independent of every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , i.e.  $\mu(B \cap C) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(C)$ .

*Proof*

By the Maharam Theorem  $\text{Borel}(K)/\mu = 0 \cong_{\varphi} \text{Borel}(2^{\omega_1})/\lambda = 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}^{\bullet} = \varphi[\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}]$ . For every  $D^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{D}^{\bullet}$  there exists  $D' \in D^{\bullet}$  and  $I_{D'} \in [\omega_1]^{\omega}$  such that  $D'$  depends only on  $I_{D'}$ . Let  $\xi > \sup \bigcup_{D'} I_{D'}$ .

Now take  $B \in \text{Borel}(K)$  such that  $B^{\bullet} = \varphi^{-1}(c_{\xi}^{\bullet})$ . ■

## Proof – Step 2

Using Step 1 define inductively a family  $\langle B_\xi : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  st.:

## Proof – Step 2

Using Step 1 define inductively a family  $\langle B_\xi : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  st.:

- $\mu(B_\xi) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,

## Proof – Step 2

Using Step 1 define inductively a family  $\langle B_\xi : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  st.:

- $\mu(B_\xi) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B_\xi$  is  $\mu$ -independent of  $\mathcal{C}_\xi := \langle B_\eta : \eta < \xi \rangle$ .



## Proof – Step 2

Using Step 1 define inductively a family  $\langle B_\xi : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  st.:

- $\mu(B_\xi) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B_\xi$  is  $\mu$ -independent of  $\mathcal{C}_\xi := \langle B_\eta : \eta < \xi \rangle$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the algebra on  $K \times K$  generated by  $B \times B'$  where  $B, B' \in \text{Borel}(K)$ .

## Proof – Step 2

Using Step 1 define inductively a family  $\langle B_\xi : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  st.:

- $\mu(B_\xi) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B_\xi$  is  $\mu$ -independent of  $\mathcal{C}_\xi := \langle B_\eta : \eta < \xi \rangle$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the algebra on  $K \times K$  generated by  $B \times B'$  where  $B, B' \in \text{Borel}(K)$ .

For every  $\xi < \omega_1$  there exists  $\nu_\xi \in P(\mathcal{R})$  st.:

## Proof – Step 2

Using Step 1 define inductively a family  $\langle B_\xi : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  st.:

- $\mu(B_\xi) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B_\xi$  is  $\mu$ -independent of  $\mathcal{C}_\xi := \langle B_\eta : \eta < \xi \rangle$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the algebra on  $K \times K$  generated by  $B \times B'$  where  $B, B' \in \text{Borel}(K)$ .

For every  $\xi < \omega_1$  there exists  $\nu_\xi \in P(\mathcal{R})$  st.:

- $\nu_\xi$  has marginal distribution  $(\mu, \mu)$ ,

## Proof – Step 2

Using Step 1 define inductively a family  $\langle B_\xi : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  st.:

- $\mu(B_\xi) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B_\xi$  is  $\mu$ -independent of  $\mathcal{C}_\xi := \langle B_\eta : \eta < \xi \rangle$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the algebra on  $K \times K$  generated by  $B \times B'$  where  $B, B' \in \text{Borel}(K)$ .

For every  $\xi < \omega_1$  there exists  $\nu_\xi \in P(\mathcal{R})$  st.:

- $\nu_\xi$  has marginal distribution  $(\mu, \mu)$ ,
- $\nu_\xi(A \times A) = (\mu \otimes \mu)(A \times A)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$ ,

## Proof – Step 2

Using Step 1 define inductively a family  $\langle B_\xi : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  st.:

- $\mu(B_\xi) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B_\xi$  is  $\mu$ -independent of  $\mathcal{C}_\xi := \langle B_\eta : \eta < \xi \rangle$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the algebra on  $K \times K$  generated by  $B \times B'$  where  $B, B' \in \text{Borel}(K)$ .

For every  $\xi < \omega_1$  there exists  $\nu_\xi \in P(\mathcal{R})$  st.:

- $\nu_\xi$  has marginal distribution  $(\mu, \mu)$ ,
- $\nu_\xi(A \times A) = (\mu \otimes \mu)(A \times A)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$ ,
- $\nu_\xi(B_\eta \times B_\eta) = \frac{1}{2}$  for every  $\eta \geq \xi$ .

## Proof – Step 2

Using Step 1 define inductively a family  $\langle B_\xi : \xi < \omega_1 \rangle$  of Borel subsets of  $K$  st.:

- $\mu(B_\xi) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,
- $B_\xi$  is  $\mu$ -independent of  $\mathcal{C}_\xi := \langle B_\eta : \eta < \xi \rangle$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the algebra on  $K \times K$  generated by  $B \times B'$  where  $B, B' \in \text{Borel}(K)$ .

For every  $\xi < \omega_1$  there exists  $\nu_\xi \in P(\mathcal{R})$  st.:

- $\nu_\xi$  has marginal distribution  $(\mu, \mu)$ ,
- $\nu_\xi(A \times A) = (\mu \otimes \mu)(A \times A)$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$ ,
- $\nu_\xi(B_\eta \times B_\eta) = \frac{1}{2}$  for every  $\eta \geq \xi$ .

Every such  $\nu_\xi$  can be extended to  $\overline{\nu}_\xi \in P(K \times K)$ .

## Proof – Step 2, cont.

*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

## Proof – Step 2, cont.

*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$



## Proof – Step 2, cont.

*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$  and  $B_{\eta_1}, \dots, B_{\eta_m}$  for some  $\xi \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m$ .

## Proof – Step 2, cont.

*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$  and  $B_{\eta_1}, \dots, B_{\eta_m}$  for some  $\xi \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m$ .

$\mathcal{A}_0 := \text{alg}(\{A_1, \dots, A_m\})$ ,

## Proof – Step 2, cont.

*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$  and  $B_{\eta_1}, \dots, B_{\eta_m}$  for some  $\xi \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m$ .

$\mathcal{A}_0 := \text{alg}(\{A_1, \dots, A_m\})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_0 \cup \{B_{\eta_1}\})$ .

## Proof – Step 2, cont.

*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$  and  $B_{\eta_1}, \dots, B_{\eta_m}$  for some  $\xi \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m$ .

$\mathcal{A}_0 := \text{alg}(\{A_1, \dots, A_m\})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_0 \cup \{B_{\eta_1}\})$ .

Let  $\nu_0 := \mu \otimes \mu|_{\mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0}$ .

## Proof – Step 2, cont.

*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$  and  $B_{\eta_1}, \dots, B_{\eta_m}$  for some  $\xi \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m$ .

$\mathcal{A}_0 := \text{alg}(\{A_1, \dots, A_m\})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_0 \cup \{B_{\eta_1}\})$ .

Let  $\nu_0 := \mu \otimes \mu|_{\mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0}$ . We will extend  $\nu_0$  to  $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_1)$ :

## Proof – Step 2, cont.

*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$  and  $B_{\eta_1}, \dots, B_{\eta_m}$  for some  $\xi \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m$ .

$\mathcal{A}_0 := \text{alg}(\{A_1, \dots, A_m\})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_0 \cup \{B_{\eta_1}\})$ .

Let  $\nu_0 := \mu \otimes \mu|_{\mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0}$ . We will extend  $\nu_0$  to  $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_1)$ :

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  be all the atoms of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Put for all  $i, j \leq k$ :

## Proof – Step 2, cont.

*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$  and  $B_{\eta_1}, \dots, B_{\eta_m}$  for some  $\xi \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m$ .

$\mathcal{A}_0 := \text{alg}(\{A_1, \dots, A_m\})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_0 \cup \{B_{\eta_1}\})$ .

Let  $\nu_0 := \mu \otimes \mu|_{\mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0}$ . We will extend  $\nu_0$  to  $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_1)$ :

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  be all the atoms of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Put for all  $i, j \leq k$ :

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1} \times B_{\eta_1})) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$

*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$  and  $B_{\eta_1}, \dots, B_{\eta_m}$  for some  $\xi \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m$ .

$\mathcal{A}_0 := \text{alg}(\{A_1, \dots, A_n\})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_0 \cup \{B_{\eta_1}\})$ .

Let  $\nu_0 := \mu \otimes \mu|_{\mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0}$ . We will extend  $\nu_0$  to  $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_1)$ :

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  be all the atoms of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Put for all  $i, j \leq k$ :

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1} \times B_{\eta_1})) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1}^c \times B_{\eta_1}^c)) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$



*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$  and  $B_{\eta_1}, \dots, B_{\eta_m}$  for some  $\xi \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m$ .

$\mathcal{A}_0 := \text{alg}(\{A_1, \dots, A_n\})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_0 \cup \{B_{\eta_1}\})$ .

Let  $\nu_0 := \mu \otimes \mu|_{\mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0}$ . We will extend  $\nu_0$  to  $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_1)$ :

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  be all the atoms of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Put for all  $i, j \leq k$ :

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1} \times B_{\eta_1})) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1}^c \times B_{\eta_1}^c)) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1}^c \times B_{\eta_1})) = 0$$

## Proof – Step 2, cont.

*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$  and  $B_{\eta_1}, \dots, B_{\eta_m}$  for some  $\xi \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m$ .

$\mathcal{A}_0 := \text{alg}(\{A_1, \dots, A_n\})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_0 \cup \{B_{\eta_1}\})$ .

Let  $\nu_0 := \mu \otimes \mu|_{\mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0}$ . We will extend  $\nu_0$  to  $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_1)$ :

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  be all the atoms of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Put for all  $i, j \leq k$ :

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1} \times B_{\eta_1})) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1}^c \times B_{\eta_1}^c)) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1}^c \times B_{\eta_1})) = 0$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1} \times B_{\eta_1}^c)) = 0$$

*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$  and  $B_{\eta_1}, \dots, B_{\eta_m}$  for some  $\xi \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m$ .

$\mathcal{A}_0 := \text{alg}(\{A_1, \dots, A_n\})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_0 \cup \{B_{\eta_1}\})$ .

Let  $\nu_0 := \mu \otimes \mu|_{\mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0}$ . We will extend  $\nu_0$  to  $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_1)$ :

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  be all the atoms of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Put for all  $i, j \leq k$ :

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1} \times B_{\eta_1})) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1}^c \times B_{\eta_1}^c)) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1}^c \times B_{\eta_1})) = 0$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1} \times B_{\eta_1}^c)) = 0$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}_2 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \{B_{\eta_2}\})$ ,

## Proof – Step 2, cont.

*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$  and  $B_{\eta_1}, \dots, B_{\eta_m}$  for some  $\xi \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m$ .

$\mathcal{A}_0 := \text{alg}(\{A_1, \dots, A_m\})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_0 \cup \{B_{\eta_1}\})$ .

Let  $\nu_0 := \mu \otimes \mu|_{\mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0}$ . We will extend  $\nu_0$  to  $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_1)$ :

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  be all the atoms of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Put for all  $i, j \leq k$ :

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1} \times B_{\eta_1})) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1}^c \times B_{\eta_1}^c)) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1}^c \times B_{\eta_1})) = 0$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1} \times B_{\eta_1}^c)) = 0$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}_2 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \{B_{\eta_2}\})$ , extend  $\nu_1$  to  $\nu_2 \in P(\mathcal{A}_2 \times \mathcal{A}_2)$

*Proof*

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$  and  $B_{\eta_1}, \dots, B_{\eta_m}$  for some  $\xi \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m$ .

$\mathcal{A}_0 := \text{alg}(\{A_1, \dots, A_n\})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_0 \cup \{B_{\eta_1}\})$ .

Let  $\nu_0 := \mu \otimes \mu|_{\mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0}$ . We will extend  $\nu_0$  to  $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_1)$ :

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  be all the atoms of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Put for all  $i, j \leq k$ :

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1} \times B_{\eta_1})) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1}^c \times B_{\eta_1}^c)) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1}^c \times B_{\eta_1})) = 0$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1} \times B_{\eta_1}^c)) = 0$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}_2 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \{B_{\eta_2}\})$ , extend  $\nu_1$  to  $\nu_2 \in P(\mathcal{A}_2 \times \mathcal{A}_2)$  and so on... to  $\nu_m \in P(\mathcal{A}_m \times \mathcal{A}_m)$ .

## Proof

Fix  $\xi < \omega_1$ .

Take  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}_\xi$  and  $B_{\eta_1}, \dots, B_{\eta_m}$  for some  $\xi \leq \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_m$ .

$\mathcal{A}_0 := \text{alg}(\{A_1, \dots, A_n\})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_0 \cup \{B_{\eta_1}\})$ .

Let  $\nu_0 := \mu \otimes \mu|_{\mathcal{A}_0 \times \mathcal{A}_0}$ . We will extend  $\nu_0$  to  $\nu_1 \in P(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_1)$ :

Let  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  be all the atoms of  $\mathcal{A}_0$ . Put for all  $i, j \leq k$ :

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1} \times B_{\eta_1})) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1}^c \times B_{\eta_1}^c)) = \frac{1}{2} \nu_0(T_i \times T_j)$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1}^c \times B_{\eta_1})) = 0$$

$$\nu_1((T_i \times T_j) \cap (B_{\eta_1} \times B_{\eta_1}^c)) = 0$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}_2 := \text{alg}(\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \{B_{\eta_2}\})$ , extend  $\nu_1$  to  $\nu_2 \in P(\mathcal{A}_2 \times \mathcal{A}_2)$  and so

on... to  $\nu_m \in P(\mathcal{A}_m \times \mathcal{A}_m)$ . ■

## Proof – Step 3

If  $\nu \in \bigcap_{\xi < \omega_1} \overline{\{\nu_\eta : \eta \geq \xi\}}$ , then  $\nu \notin \overline{\{\nu_\eta : \eta \in I\}}$  for every  $I \in [\omega_1]^\omega$ .

## Proof – Step 3

If  $\nu \in \bigcap_{\xi < \omega_1} \overline{\{\nu_\eta : \eta \geq \xi\}}$ , then  $\nu \notin \overline{\{\nu_\eta : \eta \in I\}}$  for every  $I \in [\omega_1]^\omega$ .

The *Proof* just relies on some computations exploiting regularity of  $\mu$ . ■



## Proof – Step 3

If  $\nu \in \bigcap_{\xi < \omega_1} \overline{\{\nu_\eta : \eta \geq \xi\}}$ , then  $\nu \notin \overline{\{\nu_\eta : \eta \in I\}}$  for every  $I \in [\omega_1]^\omega$ .

The *Proof* just relies on some computations exploiting regularity of  $\mu$ . ■

This all gives a contradiction and the end of the proof.

# The end

Thank you for your attention.