

Examples concerning generic sets

Piotr Koszmider, piotr.koszmider@gmail.com

- 1 Generic sets in any forcing - the decision property

- 1 Generic sets in any forcing - the decision property
- 2 Generic sets in c.c.c. forcings - catching uncountable sets

- 1 Generic sets in any forcing - the decision property
- 2 Generic sets in c.c.c. forcings - catching uncountable sets
- 3 **Generics in the Cohen forcing - composing functions with the generic function**

Notation

① (P, \leq) partial order (nonatomic) with which we force,

① (P, \leq) partial order (nonatomic) with which we force,

- 1 (P, \leq) partial order (nonatomic) with which we force, for example if A is an atomless Boolean algebra, then $A^* = A \setminus \{0\}$ with the Boolean order

Notation

- 1 (P, \leq) partial order (nonatomic) with which we force, for example if A is an atomless Boolean algebra, then $A^* = A \setminus \{0\}$ with the Boolean order
- 2 The canonical name for the generic set $\dot{G} = \{ \langle \check{p}, p \rangle : p \in P \}$

- 1 (P, \leq) partial order (nonatomic) with which we force, for example if A is an atomless Boolean algebra, then $A^* = A \setminus \{0\}$ with the Boolean order
- 2 The canonical name for the generic set $\dot{G} = \{ \langle \check{p}, p \rangle : p \in P \}$
- 3 The canonical names for the ground model elements $\check{x} = \{ \langle \check{y}, p \rangle : y \in x, p \in P \}$.

Notation

- 1 (P, \leq) partial order (nonatomic) with which we force, for example if A is an atomless Boolean algebra, then $A^* = A \setminus \{0\}$ with the Boolean order
- 2 The canonical name for the generic set $\dot{G} = \{ \langle \check{p}, p \rangle : p \in P \}$
- 3 The canonical names for the ground model elements $\check{x} = \{ \langle \check{y}, p \rangle : y \in x, p \in P \}$.
- 4 $p \Vdash \check{p} \in \dot{G}$,

- 1 (P, \leq) partial order (nonatomic) with which we force, for example if A is an atomless Boolean algebra, then $A^* = A \setminus \{0\}$ with the Boolean order
- 2 The canonical name for the generic set $\dot{G} = \{ \langle \check{p}, p \rangle : p \in P \}$
- 3 The canonical names for the ground model elements $\check{x} = \{ \langle \check{y}, p \rangle : y \in x, p \in P \}$.
- 4 $p \Vdash \check{p} \in \dot{G}$,

- 1 (P, \leq) partial order (nonatomic) with which we force, for example if A is an atomless Boolean algebra, then $A^* = A \setminus \{0\}$ with the Boolean order
- 2 The canonical name for the generic set $\dot{G} = \{ \langle \check{p}, p \rangle : p \in P \}$
- 3 The canonical names for the ground model elements $\check{x} = \{ \langle \check{y}, p \rangle : y \in x, p \in P \}$.
- 4 $p \Vdash \check{p} \in \dot{G}, \quad P \Vdash (p, q \in \dot{G} \Rightarrow \exists r \leq p, q [r \in \dot{G}])$

Notation

- 1 (P, \leq) partial order (nonatomic) with which we force, for example if A is an atomless Boolean algebra, then $A^* = A \setminus \{0\}$ with the Boolean order
- 2 The canonical name for the generic set $\dot{G} = \{ \langle \check{p}, p \rangle : p \in P \}$
- 3 The canonical names for the ground model elements $\check{x} = \{ \langle \check{y}, p \rangle : y \in x, p \in P \}$.
- 4 $p \Vdash \check{p} \in \dot{G}, \quad P \Vdash (p, q \in \dot{G} \Rightarrow \exists r \leq p, q [r \in \dot{G}])$
- 5 If $D \subseteq P$ is dense, then $P \Vdash \check{D} \cap \dot{G} \neq \emptyset$

- 1 (P, \leq) partial order (nonatomic) with which we force, for example if A is an atomless Boolean algebra, then $A^* = A \setminus \{0\}$ with the Boolean order
- 2 The canonical name for the generic set $\dot{G} = \{ \langle \check{p}, p \rangle : p \in P \}$
- 3 The canonical names for the ground model elements $\check{x} = \{ \langle \check{y}, p \rangle : y \in x, p \in P \}$.
- 4 $p \Vdash \check{p} \in \dot{G}, \quad P \Vdash (p, q \in \dot{G} \Rightarrow \exists r \leq p, q [r \in \dot{G}])$
- 5 If $D \subseteq P$ is dense, then $P \Vdash \check{D} \cap \dot{G} \neq \emptyset$
- 6 If A is an atomless complete Boolean algebra then $[\phi] = \bigvee \{ a \in A^* : a \Vdash \phi \}$

Notation

- 1 (P, \leq) partial order (nonatomic) with which we force, for example if A is an atomless Boolean algebra, then $A^* = A \setminus \{0\}$ with the Boolean order
- 2 The canonical name for the generic set $\dot{G} = \{ \langle \check{p}, p \rangle : p \in P \}$
- 3 The canonical names for the ground model elements $\check{x} = \{ \langle \check{y}, p \rangle : y \in x, p \in P \}$.
- 4 $p \Vdash \check{p} \in \dot{G}, \quad P \Vdash (p, q \in \dot{G} \Rightarrow \exists r \leq p, q [r \in \dot{G}])$
- 5 If $D \subseteq P$ is dense, then $P \Vdash \check{D} \cap \dot{G} \neq \emptyset$
- 6 If A is an atomless complete Boolean algebra then $[\phi] = \bigvee \{ a \in A^* : a \Vdash \phi \}$
- 7 If we can prove that $P \Vdash \phi$, then we can prove that $\text{Con}(\text{ZFC})$ implies $\text{Con}(\text{ZFC} + \phi)$

Example 1. The decision property

Example 1. The decision property

Motivation:

Example 1. The decision property

Motivation:

Theorem

Suppose that A is an atomless Boolean algebra. Then $A^ \Vdash \dot{G}$ is an ultrafilter in A*

Example 1. The decision property

Motivation:

Theorem

Suppose that A is an atomless Boolean algebra. Then $A^* \Vdash \dot{G}$ is an ultrafilter in A

Proof.

For each $a \in A^*$ consider the dense set in A^*

$$D_a = \{p \in A^* : p \leq a \text{ or } p \leq -a\}$$



Theorem

(The decision property)

① *For each formula ϕ the following set is dense in P :*

$$\{p \in P : p \Vdash \phi \text{ or } p \Vdash \neg\phi\}$$

Theorem

(The decision property)

- 1 For each formula ϕ the following set is dense in P :

$$\{p \in P : p \Vdash \phi \text{ or } p \Vdash \neg \phi\}$$

- 2 $[\neg \phi] = -[\phi]$

Theorem

(The decision property)

- 1 For each formula ϕ the following set is dense in P :

$$\{p \in P : p \Vdash \phi \text{ or } p \Vdash \neg\phi\}$$

- 2 $[\neg\phi] = -[\phi]$

- 3 $P \Vdash [\neg\phi] \in \dot{G} \text{ or } [\phi] \in \dot{G}$

Problem

Using forcing and generic ultrafilters get nontrivial countably generated ultrafilters in uncountable Boolean algebras

Problem

Using forcing and generic ultrafilters get nontrivial countably generated ultrafilters in uncountable Boolean algebras

- 1 P is c.c.c. iff it does not have uncountable family of pairwise incompatible conditions

Problem

Using forcing and generic ultrafilters get nontrivial countably generated ultrafilters in uncountable Boolean algebras

- 1 P is c.c.c. iff it does not have uncountable family of pairwise incompatible conditions
- 2 If P is c.c.c and α is a cardinal, then $P \Vdash \check{\alpha}$ is a cardinal, i.e., c.c.c. forcings preserves cardinals and in particular preserve the uncountable

Problem

Using forcing and generic ultrafilters get nontrivial countably generated ultrafilters in uncountable Boolean algebras

- 1 P is c.c.c. iff it does not have uncountable family of pairwise incompatible conditions
- 2 If P is c.c.c and α is a cardinal, then $P \Vdash \check{\alpha}$ is a cardinal, i.e., c.c.c. forcings preserves cardinals and in particular preserve the uncountable
- 3 i.e., if X is uncountable $P \Vdash \check{X}$ is uncountable

Problem

Using forcing and generic ultrafilters get nontrivial countably generated ultrafilters in uncountable Boolean algebras

- 1 P is c.c.c. iff it does not have uncountable family of pairwise incompatible conditions
- 2 If P is c.c.c and α is a cardinal, then $P \Vdash \check{\alpha}$ is a cardinal, i.e., c.c.c. forcings preserves cardinals and in particular preserve the uncountable
- 3 i.e., if X is uncountable $P \Vdash \check{X}$ is uncountable
- 4 If P is countable, then it is c.c.c.

We say that $B \subseteq A$ is a dense subalgebra of A iff for every $a \in A^*$ there is $b \in B^*$ such that $b \leq a$.

We say that $B \subseteq A$ is a dense subalgebra of A iff for every $a \in A^*$ there is $b \in B^*$ such that $b \leq a$.

Theorem

Let B be a dense subalgebra of A then $B^* \Vdash$ *The filter of A generated by \dot{G}_B is an ultrafilter of A*

We say that $B \subseteq A$ is a dense subalgebra of A iff for every $a \in A^*$ there is $b \in B^*$ such that $b \leq a$.

Theorem

Let B be a dense subalgebra of A then $B^* \Vdash$ *The filter of A generated by \dot{G}_B is an ultrafilter of A*

Proof.

For each $a \in A^*$ consider the dense set in B^*

$$D_a = \{b \in B^* : b \leq a \text{ or } b \leq -a\}$$



Definition

Let $B \subseteq A$ be Boolean algebras. We say that B is deep in A if and only if

$$\forall a \in A \quad \forall b \in B \quad \exists c \in B \quad c \leq b \cap a \quad \text{or} \quad c \leq b - a.$$

Definition

Let $B \subseteq A$ be Boolean algebras. We say that B is deep in A if and only if

$$\forall a \in A \quad \forall b \in B \quad \exists c \in B \quad c \leq b \cap a \quad \text{or} \quad c \leq b - a.$$

Theorem

Suppose that B is a countable deep subalgebra of an algebra A . Then $B^ \Vdash A$ has a countably generated ultrafilter.*

Definition

Let $B \subseteq A$ be Boolean algebras. We say that B is deep in A if and only if

$$\forall a \in A \quad \forall b \in B \quad \exists c \in B \quad c \leq b \cap a \quad \text{or} \quad c \leq b - a.$$

Theorem

Suppose that B is a countable deep subalgebra of an algebra A . Then $B^ \Vdash A$ has a countably generated ultrafilter.*

Theorem

It is consistent with arbitrary big continuum that each $A \subseteq \wp(\mathbb{N})$ of countable independence has an ultrafilter which is countably or ω_1 -generated.

Example 2. Catching uncountable sets

Example 2. Catching uncountable sets

Motivation:

Example 2. Catching uncountable sets

Motivation:

Theorem

Suppose that P satisfies c.c.c., and $X \subseteq P$ is uncountable, then there is $p \in P$ such that $p \Vdash \check{X} \cap \dot{G}$ is uncountable

Theorem

Suppose that P satisfies c.c.c., and $X \subseteq P$ is uncountable, then there is $p \in P$ such that $p \Vdash \check{X} \cap \dot{G}$ is uncountable

Theorem

Suppose that P satisfies c.c.c., and $X \subseteq P$ is uncountable, then there is $p \in P$ such that $p \Vdash \check{X} \cap \dot{G}$ is uncountable

Proof.

Theorem

Suppose that P satisfies c.c.c., and $X \subseteq P$ is uncountable, then there is $p \in P$ such that $p \Vdash \check{X} \cap \dot{G}$ is uncountable

Proof.

① We may w.l.o.g. assume that $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$



Theorem

Suppose that P satisfies c.c.c., and $X \subseteq P$ is uncountable, then there is $p \in P$ such that $p \Vdash \check{X} \cap \dot{G}$ is uncountable

Proof.

- 1 We may w.l.o.g. assume that $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$
- 2 If the theorem is false, for each p there is $q \leq p$ and α_q such that $q \Vdash \check{X} \cap \dot{G} \subseteq \{\check{x}_\alpha : \alpha < \check{\alpha}_q\}$ & $\check{X} \cap \dot{G} \not\subseteq \{\check{x}_\alpha : \alpha < \check{\beta}\}$ for $\check{\beta} < \check{\alpha}_q$



Theorem

Suppose that P satisfies c.c.c., and $X \subseteq P$ is uncountable, then there is $p \in P$ such that $p \Vdash \check{X} \cap \dot{G}$ is uncountable

Proof.

- 1 We may w.l.o.g. assume that $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$
- 2 If the theorem is false, for each p there is $q \leq p$ and α_q such that $q \Vdash \check{X} \cap \dot{G} \subseteq \{\check{x}_\alpha : \alpha < \check{\alpha}_q\}$ & $\check{X} \cap \dot{G} \not\subseteq \{\check{x}_\alpha : \alpha < \check{\beta}\}$ for $\check{\beta} < \check{\alpha}_q$
- 3 There cannot be uncountable many conditions which force pairwise contradictory information, so $\{\alpha_q : q \in P\}$ is countable, so it has its supremum $\beta < \omega_1$ which satisfies $P \Vdash \check{X} \cap \dot{G} \subseteq \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \check{\beta}\}$



Theorem

Suppose that P satisfies c.c.c., and $X \subseteq P$ is uncountable, then there is $p \in P$ such that $p \Vdash \check{X} \cap \dot{G}$ is uncountable

Proof.

- 1 We may w.l.o.g. assume that $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$
- 2 If the theorem is false, for each p there is $q \leq p$ and α_q such that $q \Vdash \check{X} \cap \dot{G} \subseteq \{\check{x}_\alpha : \alpha < \check{\alpha}_q\}$ & $\check{X} \cap \dot{G} \not\subseteq \{\check{x}_\alpha : \alpha < \check{\beta}\}$ for $\check{\beta} < \check{\alpha}_q$
- 3 There cannot be uncountable many conditions which force pairwise contradictory information, so $\{\alpha_q : q \in P\}$ is countable, so it has its supremum $\beta < \omega_1$ which satisfies $P \Vdash \check{X} \cap \dot{G} \subseteq \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \check{\beta}\}$
- 4 But for each $p \in P$ we have $p \Vdash \check{p} \in \dot{G}$, so for each $p \in X$ we have $p \Vdash \check{p} \in \check{X} \cap \dot{G}$, so $p_{\beta+1} \Vdash \check{p}_{\beta+1} \in \check{X} \cap \dot{G}$, a contradiction.



Theorem

(CH) There is a $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that for each pairwise disjoint family of k -element sets ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) $a_\xi = \{\alpha_1^\xi, \dots, \alpha_k^\xi\}$ of ω_1 , for each $M : \{1, \dots, k\} \times \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

$$\exists \xi < \eta < \omega_1 \quad \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq k \quad c(\alpha_i^\xi, \alpha_j^\eta) = M(i, j).$$

We say that a_ξ and a_η realize matrix M and that c realizes every matrix.

Theorem

(CH) There is a $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that for each pairwise disjoint family of k -element sets ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) $a_\xi = \{\alpha_1^\xi, \dots, \alpha_k^\xi\}$ of ω_1 , for each $M : \{1, \dots, k\} \times \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

$$\exists \xi < \eta < \omega_1 \quad \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq k \quad c(\alpha_i^\xi, \alpha_j^\eta) = M(i, j).$$

We say that a_ξ and a_η realize matrix M and that c realizes every matrix.

Theorem

Suppose that $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ realizes every matrix. Then, for each $k \times k$ matrix M_0 there is a c.c.c. forcing P which forces that there is an uncountable pairwise disjoint family $\{a_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ such that a_ξ and a_η realize matrix M_0 for every $\xi < \eta < \omega_1$. In particular, c does not realize every matrix.

Theorem

Suppose that $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ realizes every matrix. Then, for each $k \times k$ matrix M_0 there is a c.c.c. forcing P which forces that there is an uncountable pairwise disjoint family $\{a_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ such that a_ξ and a_η realize matrix M_0 for every $\xi < \eta < \omega_1$. In particular, c does not realize every matrix.

Theorem

Suppose that $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ realizes every matrix. Then, for each $k \times k$ matrix M_0 there is a c.c.c. forcing P which forces that there is an uncountable pairwise disjoint family $\{a_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ such that a_ξ and a_η realize matrix M_0 for every $\xi < \eta < \omega_1$. In particular, c does not realize every matrix.

Proof.

① Fix $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, Suppose c realizes every matrix.



Theorem

Suppose that $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ realizes every matrix. Then, for each $k \times k$ matrix M_0 there is a c.c.c. forcing P which forces that there is an uncountable pairwise disjoint family $\{a_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ such that a_ξ and a_η realize matrix M_0 for every $\xi < \eta < \omega_1$. In particular, c does not realize every matrix.

Proof.

- 1 Fix $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, Suppose c realizes every matrix.
- 2 Fix a $k \times k$ matrix M_0 . Construct a forcing P consisting of all pairwise disjoint finite families p of k -element sets such that if $a, b \in p$ and $a < b$, then a and b realize M_0 .



Theorem

Suppose that $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ realizes every matrix. Then, for each $k \times k$ matrix M_0 there is a c.c.c. forcing P which forces that there is an uncountable pairwise disjoint family $\{a_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ such that a_ξ and a_η realize matrix M_0 for every $\xi < \eta < \omega_1$. In particular, c does not realize every matrix.

Proof.

- 1 Fix $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, Suppose c realizes every matrix.
- 2 Fix a $k \times k$ matrix M_0 . Construct a forcing P consisting of all pairwise disjoint finite families p of k -element sets such that if $a, b \in p$ and $a < b$, then a and b realize M_0 .
- 3 The assumption that c realizes every matrix implies that P is c.c.c.



Theorem

Suppose that $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ realizes every matrix. Then, for each $k \times k$ matrix M_0 there is a c.c.c. forcing P which forces that there is an uncountable pairwise disjoint family $\{a_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ such that a_ξ and a_η realize matrix M_0 for every $\xi < \eta < \omega_1$. In particular, c does not realize every matrix.

Proof.

- 1 Fix $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, Suppose c realizes every matrix.
- 2 Fix a $k \times k$ matrix M_0 . Construct a forcing P consisting of all pairwise disjoint finite families p of k -element sets such that if $a, b \in p$ and $a < b$, then a and b realize M_0 .
- 3 The assumption that c realizes every matrix implies that P is c.c.c.
- 4 Given any uncountable family $(p_\xi : \xi < \omega_1)$ where p_ξ has just one element, by the previous discussion we have a condition p which force that $G \cap \{p_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ is uncountable, completing the proof.



Theorem

(MA₊¬CH) For each $c : [\omega_1]^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (arbitrary big) and there is pairwise disjoint family of k -element sets $a_\xi = \{\alpha_1^\xi, \dots, \alpha_k^\xi\}$ of ω_1 , and there is $M : \{1, \dots, k\} \times \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$\forall \xi < \eta < \omega_1 \quad \exists 1 \leq i < j \leq k \quad c(\alpha_i^\xi, \alpha_j^\eta) \neq M(i, j).$$

i.e., no c realizes every matrix.

Example 3. Composing functions with the generic function

Example 3. Composing functions with the generic function

Motivation:

Example 3. Composing functions with the generic function

Motivation:

Let P consists of all functions $p : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \omega$ with the inverse inclusion (i.e., the Cohen forcing). Let $c : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be **the generic function** i.e., $\dot{c} = \bigcup \{p : p \in \dot{G}\}$.

Example 3. Composing functions with the generic function

Motivation:

Let P consists of all functions $p : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \omega$ with the inverse inclusion (i.e., the Cohen forcing). Let $c : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be **the generic function** i.e., $\dot{c} = \bigcup \{p : p \in \dot{G}\}$.

Use c to get the consistency of the existence of the **Souslin** tree i.e., an uncountable tree without uncountable branches and without uncountable antichains

Definition

Let $e_\alpha : \alpha \rightarrow \omega$ for $\alpha < \omega_1$ be bijections. We say that $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ is **coherent** iff

$$\forall \alpha < \beta < \omega_1 \quad \{\xi < \alpha : e_\alpha(\xi) \neq e_\beta(\xi)\} \text{ is finite.}$$

Definition

Let $e_\alpha : \alpha \rightarrow \omega$ for $\alpha < \omega_1$ be bijections. We say that $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ is **coherent** iff

$$\forall \alpha < \beta < \omega_1 \quad \{\xi < \alpha : e_\alpha(\xi) \neq e_\beta(\xi)\} \text{ is finite.}$$

Theorem

$$T((e_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}) = \{f : \alpha \in \omega_1, f : \alpha \rightarrow \omega \mid \{\xi < \alpha : f(\xi) \neq e_\alpha(\xi)\} \text{ is finite}\}$$

with inclusion forms an Aronszajn tree, i.e., without an uncountable branch.

Definition

Let $e_\alpha : \alpha \rightarrow \omega$ for $\alpha < \omega_1$ be bijections. We say that $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ is **coherent** iff

$$\forall \alpha < \beta < \omega_1 \quad \{\xi < \alpha : e_\alpha(\xi) \neq e_\beta(\xi)\} \text{ is finite.}$$

Theorem

$$T((e_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}) = \{f : \alpha \in \omega_1, f : \alpha \rightarrow \omega \mid \{\xi < \alpha : f(\xi) \neq e_\alpha(\xi)\} \text{ is finite}\}$$

with inclusion forms an Aronszajn tree, i.e., without an uncountable branch.

Let P consists of all functions $p : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \omega$ with the inverse inclusion (i.e., the Cohen forcing). Let $c : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ be the generic function i.e., $\dot{c} = \bigcup \{p : p \in \dot{G}\}$. We will look

$$T((c \circ e_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}).$$

Theorem

P forces that $T = T((\dot{c} \circ \check{e}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1})$ is a Souslin tree, i.e., it has no uncountable antichains nor uncountable branches.

Theorem

P forces that $T = T((\dot{c} \circ \check{e}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1})$ is a Souslin tree, i.e., it has no uncountable antichains nor uncountable branches.

Proof.

① Suppose $P \Vdash (\dot{c} \circ \dot{f}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ is an uncountable antichain in T

Theorem

P forces that $T = T((\dot{c} \circ \check{e}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1})$ is a Souslin tree, i.e., it has no uncountable antichains nor uncountable branches.

Proof.

- 1 Suppose $P \Vdash (\dot{c} \circ \dot{f}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ is an uncountable antichain in T
- 2 Take p_α and $f_\alpha \in T((e_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1})$ such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \check{f}_\alpha = \dot{f}_\alpha$,

Theorem

P forces that $T = T((\dot{c} \circ \check{e}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1})$ is a Souslin tree, i.e., it has no uncountable antichains nor uncountable branches.

Proof.

- 1 Suppose $P \Vdash (\dot{c} \circ \dot{f}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ is an uncountable antichain in T
- 2 Take p_α and $f_\alpha \in T((e_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1})$ such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \check{f}_\alpha = \dot{f}_\alpha$,
- 3 Since P is countable may w.l.o.g. assume that $p_\alpha = p : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \omega$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$.

Theorem

P forces that $T = T((\dot{c} \circ \check{e}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1})$ is a Souslin tree, i.e., it has no uncountable antichains nor uncountable branches.

Proof.

- 1 Suppose $P \Vdash (\dot{c} \circ \dot{f}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ is an uncountable antichain in T
- 2 Take p_α and $f_\alpha \in T((e_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1})$ such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \check{f}_\alpha = \dot{f}_\alpha$,
- 3 Since P is countable may w.l.o.g. assume that $p_\alpha = p : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \omega$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$.
- 4 Take $F_\alpha = f_\alpha^{-1}[\{1, \dots, n\}] \subseteq \omega_1$, and assume the F_α s form a Δ -system with root Δ and that all f_α 's agree on Δ .

Theorem

P forces that $T = T((\dot{c} \circ \check{e}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1})$ is a Souslin tree, i.e., it has no uncountable antichains nor uncountable branches.

Proof.

- 1 Suppose $P \Vdash (\dot{c} \circ \dot{f}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ is an uncountable antichain in T
- 2 Take p_α and $f_\alpha \in T((e_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1})$ such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \check{f}_\alpha = \dot{f}_\alpha$,
- 3 Since P is countable may w.l.o.g. assume that $p_\alpha = p : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \omega$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$.
- 4 Take $F_\alpha = f_\alpha^{-1}[\{1, \dots, n\}] \subseteq \omega_1$, and assume the F_α s form a Δ -system with root Δ and that all f_α 's agree on Δ .
- 5 Choose any f_α and f_β and find $m \in \omega$ such that $m \geq n$ and

$$\{\xi : f_\alpha(\xi) \neq f_\beta(\xi)\} \subseteq f_\alpha^{-1}[\{1, \dots, m\}], f_\beta^{-1}[\{1, \dots, m\}].$$

Theorem

P forces that $T = T((\dot{c} \circ \check{e}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1})$ is a Souslin tree, i.e., it has no uncountable antichains nor uncountable branches.

Proof.

- 1 Suppose $P \Vdash (\dot{c} \circ \check{f}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ is an uncountable antichain in T
- 2 Take p_α and $f_\alpha \in T((e_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1})$ such that $p_\alpha \Vdash \check{f}_\alpha = \dot{f}_\alpha$,
- 3 Since P is countable may w.l.o.g. assume that $p_\alpha = p : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \omega$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$.
- 4 Take $F_\alpha = f_\alpha^{-1}[\{1, \dots, n\}] \subseteq \omega_1$, and assume the F_α s for a Δ -system with root Δ and that all f_α 's agree on Δ .
- 5 Choose any f_α and f_β and find $m \in \omega$ such that $m \geq n$ and

$$\{\xi : f_\alpha(\xi) \neq f_\beta(\xi)\} \subseteq f_\alpha^{-1}[\{1, \dots, m\}], f_\beta^{-1}[\{1, \dots, m\}].$$

- 6 Put $q = p \cup 0[[n+1, m]]$. Because $q \Vdash \check{q} \in \dot{G}$, we have $q \Vdash \check{q} \subseteq \dot{c}$, and so q forces that $c \circ \check{f}_\alpha$ and $c \circ \check{f}_\beta$ are compatible.