

Porous sets and Martin Numbers

Arturo Martínez-Celis

Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas, UNAM
Hejnice, 2014

Definition

Let \mathbb{P} be a partial order. We say that \mathbb{P} is *σ -centered* if there is a family $\{P_i : i \in \omega\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{P} such that for every k and every $p, q \in P_k$ there is $p' \in P_k$ such that $p' \leq p$ and $p \leq q$.

Definition

Let \mathbb{P} be a partial order. We say that \mathbb{P} is σ -centered if there is a family $\{P_i : i \in \omega\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{P} such that for every k and every $p, q \in P_k$ there is $p' \in P_k$ such that $p' \leq p$ and $p \leq q$.

Definition

Let \mathbb{P} be a partial order. We say that \mathbb{P} is σ -linked if there is a family $\{P_i : i \in \omega\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{P} such that for every k and every $p, q \in P_k$ there is $p' \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $p' \leq p$ and $p \leq q$.

Definition

Let \mathbb{P} be a partial order. We say that \mathbb{P} is σ - n -linked if there is a family $\{P_i : i \in \omega\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{P} such that for every k and every sequence $\{p_i : i < n\} \subseteq P_k$ there is $p \in \mathbb{P}$ such that $p \leq p_i$ for every $i < n$.

Definition

Given a cardinal κ and a property φ about partial orders, we define $MA_\varphi(\kappa)$ as the following statement:

For every partial order such that $\varphi(\mathbb{P})$ and every family of dense sets \mathcal{D} of \mathbb{P} such that $|\mathcal{D}| \leq \kappa$, there is a filter $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ such that F intersects every element of \mathcal{D} .

Definition

Given a cardinal κ and a property φ about partial orders, we define $MA_\varphi(\kappa)$ as the following statement:

For every partial order such that $\varphi(\mathbb{P})$ and every family of dense sets \mathcal{D} of \mathbb{P} such that $|\mathcal{D}| \leq \kappa$, there is a filter $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ such that F intersects every element of \mathcal{D} .

$$m_\varphi = \min\{\kappa : MA_\varphi(\kappa) \text{ fails}\}$$

Definition

Given a cardinal κ and a property φ about partial orders, we define $MA_\varphi(\kappa)$ as the following statement:

For every partial order such that $\varphi(\mathbb{P})$ and every family of dense sets \mathcal{D} of \mathbb{P} such that $|\mathcal{D}| \leq \kappa$, there is a filter $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ such that F intersects every element of \mathcal{D} .

$$m_\varphi = \min\{\kappa : MA_\varphi(\kappa) \text{ fails}\}$$

Examples:

- MA is the statement $m_{c.c.c.} = \mathfrak{c}$.

Definition

Given a cardinal κ and a property φ about partial orders, we define $MA_\varphi(\kappa)$ as the following statement:

For every partial order such that $\varphi(\mathbb{P})$ and every family of dense sets \mathcal{D} of \mathbb{P} such that $|\mathcal{D}| \leq \kappa$, there is a filter $F \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ such that F intersects every element of \mathcal{D} .

$$m_\varphi = \min\{\kappa : MA_\varphi(\kappa) \text{ fails}\}$$

Examples:

- MA is the statement $m_{c.c.c.} = \mathfrak{c}$.
- $m_{\sigma\text{-centered}} = \mathfrak{t}$

Proposition

$$m_{c.c.c.} \leq m_{\sigma\text{-linked}} \leq m_{\sigma\text{-3-linked}} \leq \cdots \leq m_{\sigma\text{-centered}}$$

Proposition

$$\mathfrak{m}_{c.c.c.} \leq \mathfrak{m}_{\sigma\text{-linked}} \leq \mathfrak{m}_{\sigma\text{-3-linked}} \leq \cdots \leq \mathfrak{m}_{\sigma\text{-centered}}$$

I'll try to construct a model where an infinite amount of these cardinal invariants are different.

Definition

A subset $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ is porous of degree n if for every $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ there is $t \in 2^n$ such that $\langle s \frown t \rangle \cap A = \emptyset$. A subset $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ is porous if it is porous of degree n for some $n \in \omega$.

Definition

A subset $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ is porous of degree n if for every $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ there is $t \in 2^n$ such that $\langle s \smallfrown t \rangle \cap A = \emptyset$. A subset $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ is porous if it is porous of degree n for some $n \in \omega$.

There are canonical porous sets: for every $\sigma : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2^n$ let

$$X_\sigma = \{x \in 2^\omega : \forall k \in \omega (x \notin \langle x|k \smallfrown \sigma(x|k) \rangle)\}.$$

Definition

A subset $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ is porous of degree n if for every $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ there is $t \in 2^n$ such that $\langle s \smallfrown t \rangle \cap A = \emptyset$. A subset $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ is porous if it is porous of degree n for some $n \in \omega$.

There are canonical porous sets: for every $\sigma : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2^n$ let

$$X_\sigma = \{x \in 2^\omega : \forall k \in \omega (x \notin \langle x|k \smallfrown \sigma(x|k) \rangle)\}.$$

Some properties about these canonical sets:

Definition

A subset $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ is porous of degree n if for every $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ there is $t \in 2^n$ such that $\langle s \smallfrown t \rangle \cap A = \emptyset$. A subset $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ is porous if it is porous of degree n for some $n \in \omega$.

There are canonical porous sets: for every $\sigma : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2^n$ let

$$X_\sigma = \{x \in 2^\omega : \forall k \in \omega (x \notin \langle x|k \smallfrown \sigma(x|k) \rangle)\}.$$

Some properties about these canonical sets:

- if $\sigma : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2^n$ then X_σ is a closed porous set of degree n .

Definition

A subset $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ is porous of degree n if for every $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ there is $t \in 2^n$ such that $\langle s \frown t \rangle \cap A = \emptyset$. A subset $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ is porous if it is porous of degree n for some $n \in \omega$.

There are canonical porous sets: for every $\sigma : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2^n$ let

$$X_\sigma = \{x \in 2^\omega : \forall k \in \omega (x \notin \langle x|k \frown \sigma(x|k) \rangle)\}.$$

Some properties about these canonical sets:

- if $\sigma : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2^n$ then X_σ is a closed porous set of degree n .
- Every porous set is contained in some X_σ for some $\sigma : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2^n$.

Definition

A subset $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ is porous of degree n if for every $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ there is $t \in 2^n$ such that $\langle s \smallfrown t \rangle \cap A = \emptyset$. A subset $A \subseteq 2^\omega$ is porous if it is porous of degree n for some $n \in \omega$.

There are canonical porous sets: for every $\sigma : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2^n$ let

$$X_\sigma = \{x \in 2^\omega : \forall k \in \omega (x \notin \langle x|k \smallfrown \sigma(x|k) \rangle)\}.$$

Some properties about these canonical sets:

- if $\sigma : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2^n$ then X_σ is a closed porous set of degree n .
- Every porous set is contained in some X_σ for some $\sigma : 2^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2^n$.

As a consequence we can use functions to code porous sets.

For every n consider \mathbf{SP}_n the σ -ideal generated by porous sets of degree n .

For every n consider \mathbf{SP}_n the σ -ideal generated by porous sets of degree n .

$$\text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_n) = \min\{|X| : X \subseteq 2^\omega \wedge X \notin \mathbf{SP}_n\}$$

For every n consider \mathbf{SP}_n the σ -ideal generated by porous sets of degree n .

$$\text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_n) = \min\{|X| : X \subseteq 2^\omega \wedge X \notin \mathbf{SP}_n\}$$

It is easy to see that $\text{Ctbl} = \mathbf{SP}_1 \subsetneq \mathbf{SP}_2 \subsetneq \mathbf{SP}_3 \subsetneq \dots \subseteq \mathbf{SP}$.
Therefore $\omega_1 \leq \text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_2) \leq \text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_3) \leq \dots \leq \text{non}(\mathbf{SP})$.

For every n consider \mathbf{SP}_n the σ -ideal generated by porous sets of degree n .

$$\text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_n) = \min\{|X| : X \subseteq 2^\omega \wedge X \notin \mathbf{SP}_n\}$$

It is easy to see that $\text{Ctbl} = \mathbf{SP}_1 \subsetneq \mathbf{SP}_2 \subsetneq \mathbf{SP}_3 \subsetneq \dots \subseteq \mathbf{SP}$.
Therefore $\omega_1 \leq \text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_2) \leq \text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_3) \leq \dots \leq \text{non}(\mathbf{SP})$.

Definition

We say that a partial order \mathbb{P} strongly preserves $\text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_n)$ if for every name \dot{X} for a porous set of degree n such that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \dot{X} \subseteq \check{2}^\omega$, there is $Y \in \mathbf{SP}_n$ such that $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \dot{X} \subseteq Y$

Lemma

Finite support iteration of c.c.c. forcings that strongly preserves non(\mathbf{SP}_n) strongly preserves non(\mathbf{SP}_n).

Lemma

*Finite support iteration of c.c.c. forcings that strongly preserves non(**SP**_n) strongly preserves non(**SP**_n).*

Lemma

*If \mathbb{P} is a σ - 2^n -linked forcing, then \mathbb{P} strongly preserves non(**SP**_n).*

$$\mathbb{P}_n^0(X) = \{ \langle s, F \rangle : \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) } s \text{ is a finite partial function from } 2^{<\omega} \text{ to } 2^n, \\ \text{(b) } F \in [X]^{<\omega}, \\ \text{(c) for each } \sigma \in \text{dom}(s), F \cap \langle \sigma \hat{\ } s(\sigma) \rangle = \emptyset, \\ \text{(d) } F \text{ is a strongly porous set of degree } n \end{array} \}$$

and define $\langle s, F \rangle \leq \langle s', F' \rangle$ if $s' \subseteq s$ and $F' \subseteq F$.

$\mathbb{P}_n^0(X) = \{ \langle s, F \rangle :$

- (a) s is a finite partial function from $2^{<\omega}$ to 2^n ,
- (b) $F \in [X]^{<\omega}$,
- (c) for each $\sigma \in \text{dom}(s)$, $F \cap \langle \sigma \hat{\ } s(\sigma) \rangle = \emptyset$,
- (d) F is a strongly porous set of degree n

and define $\langle s, F \rangle \leq \langle s', F' \rangle$ if $s' \subseteq s$ and $F' \subseteq F$.

Proposition

Let $\mathbb{P}_n(X) = (\mathbb{P}_n^0(X))^{<\omega}$. Then $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \check{X} \in \mathbf{SP}_n$.

Proposition

$\mathbb{P}_n(X)$ is a σ - $2^n - 1$ -linked forcing. As a consequence $\mathbb{P}_n(X)$ strongly preserves $\text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_n)$.

Proposition

$\mathbb{P}_n(X)$ is a σ - $2^n - 1$ -linked forcing. As a consequence $\mathbb{P}_n(X)$ strongly preserves $\text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_n)$.

Theorem

$\mathfrak{m}_{\sigma-2^n-1\text{-linked}} \leq \text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_n)$

Proposition

$\mathbb{P}_n(X)$ is a σ - $2^n - 1$ -linked forcing. As a consequence $\mathbb{P}_n(X)$ strongly preserves $\text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_n)$.

Theorem

$\mathfrak{m}_{\sigma-2^n-1\text{-linked}} \leq \text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_n)$

Theorem

It is consistent with ZFC that $\text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_n) < \text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_{n+1})$.

Theorem

There is a model of ZFC such that for every $n \in \omega$,
 $\text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_{n+1}) = \mathfrak{m}_{2^n} = \omega_{n+1}$.

Theorem

There is a model of ZFC such that for every $n \in \omega$,
 $\text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_{n+1}) = \mathfrak{m}_{2^n} = \omega_{n+1}.$

What about the rest of the cardinal invariants associated?

Theorem

*There is a model of ZFC such that for every $n \in \omega$,
 $\text{non}(\mathbf{SP}_{n+1}) = \mathfrak{m}_{2^n} = \omega_{n+1}$.*

What about the rest of the cardinal invariants associated?

$$\text{add}(\mathbf{SP}) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbf{SP} \wedge \bigcup \mathcal{A} \notin \mathbf{SP}\}$$

$$\text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbf{SP} \wedge \bigcup \mathcal{A} = 2^\omega\}$$

$$\text{cof}(\mathbf{SP}) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbf{SP} \wedge \mathcal{A} \text{ is a cofinal family}\}$$

- about add(**SP**)

- about add(**SP**)
 - Nothing!

- about $\text{add}(\mathbf{SP})$
 - Nothing!
- about $\text{cof}(\mathbf{SP})$

- about $\text{add}(\mathbf{SP})$
 - Nothing!
- about $\text{cof}(\mathbf{SP})$
 - Nothing!

- about $\text{add}(\mathbf{SP})$
 - Nothing!
- about $\text{cof}(\mathbf{SP})$
 - Nothing!
- about $\text{cov}(\mathbf{SP})$

- about $\text{add}(\mathbf{SP})$
 - Nothing!
- about $\text{cof}(\mathbf{SP})$
 - Nothing!
- about $\text{cov}(\mathbf{SP})$

It's easy to see that

$$\text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}) \leq \dots \leq \text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}_3) \leq \text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}_2) \leq \text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}_1) = c$$

- about $\text{add}(\mathbf{SP})$
 - Nothing!
- about $\text{cof}(\mathbf{SP})$
 - Nothing!
- about $\text{cov}(\mathbf{SP})$

It's easy to see that

$$\text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}) \leq \dots \leq \text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}_3) \leq \text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}_2) \leq \text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}_1) = c$$

Theorem

It is consistent with ZFC that $\text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}_2) < c$

- about $\text{add}(\mathbf{SP})$
 - Nothing!
- about $\text{cof}(\mathbf{SP})$
 - Nothing!
- about $\text{cov}(\mathbf{SP})$

It's easy to see that

$$\text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}) \leq \dots \leq \text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}_3) \leq \text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}_2) \leq \text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}_1) = c$$

Theorem

It is consistent with ZFC that $\text{cov}(\mathbf{SP}_2) < c$

Theorem (Hrušák, Zindulka)

It is consistent with ZFC that $\text{cof}(\mathbf{N}) < \text{cov}(\mathbf{SP})$.