

square sequences

Squares are very powerful combinatorial principles introduced by Jensen. They hold in L for example.

The square principle for a cardinal κ , \square_κ says that there is a sequence $\langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa^+ \text{ limit} \rangle$ such that C_α is club in α , $o.t.(C_\alpha) \leq \kappa$, and whenever $\beta \in C'_\alpha$ (that is a limit point of C_α) then $C_\beta = C_\alpha \cap \beta$.

Jensen proved that if $V = L$ then \square_κ holds for all cardinals κ . To get the consistency of the negation of \square_κ you need a Mahlo, for a regular κ , and much more for singular κ .

Theorem

(Todorcevic) PID implies for all cardinals $\kappa \neg \square_{\kappa}$.

Todorcevic proof is based on his analysis of walks, and so we begin with their definitions.

coherent sequences

A club system on a limit ordinal λ with uncountable cofinality is a sequence $C = \langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \lambda \rangle$ such that for limit $\alpha < \lambda$ C_α is a club (closed unbounded) subset of α , and $C_\alpha = \{\beta\}$ when $\alpha = \beta + 1$. We assume that 0 is always in C_α .

Definitions:

- 1 C is coherent if whenever $\alpha \in \lim C_\beta$ we have $C_\alpha = C_\beta \cap \alpha$.
- 2 C is “threadable” (or trivial) iff it can be extended to a $\lambda + 1$ coherent system. That is, there is a club C_λ in λ such that for every $\delta \in \lim C_\lambda$, $C_\delta = C_\lambda \cap \delta$.
- 3 Jensen’s \square_κ sequence for a cardinal κ is a coherent club sequence $\langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa^+ \rangle$ such that the order-type of each C_α is $\leq \kappa$.
- 4 Jensen’s square \square_κ is not threadable.

Theorem (Todorcevic)

PID implies that every coherent club system on an ordinal of uncountable cofinality is threadable. So there are no square \square_κ sequences. (Jensen's square \square_κ is not threadable.)

Definition of walks

Let $\langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ be a club system on an ordinal λ with uncountable cofinality. For every $\alpha \leq \beta < \lambda$ we shall define $walk(\alpha, \beta) = \langle \beta_0, \dots, \beta_{n-1} \rangle$, and then define $\rho_2(\alpha, \beta) = n - 1$, by induction on β .

$$walk(\alpha, \alpha) = \langle \alpha \rangle$$

Correspondingly $\rho(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$. For $\beta > \alpha$ we define:

$$walk(\alpha, \beta) = \langle \beta \rangle \frown walk(\alpha, \min(C_\beta \setminus \alpha)).$$

Correspondingly $\rho(\alpha, \beta) = 1 + \rho(\alpha, \min(C_\beta \setminus \alpha))$.

Lemma

If the club system $\langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ is coherent, then for every $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$

$$\sup_{\xi < \alpha} |\rho(\xi, \alpha) - \rho(\xi, \beta)| < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Proof: by induction on β .

The ideal I_C of a coherent sequence C

Let $C = \langle C_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \lambda \rangle$ (with λ of uncountable cofinality) be a coherent club system,

Definition

$X \in I_C$ iff $X \subset \lambda$ is either finite or countable infinite and for some $\beta \geq \sup X$ we have that $\lim_{x \in X} \rho(x, \beta) = \infty$ (by this we mean that for every $n \in \omega$, for all but finitely many $x \in X$ we have $\rho(x, \beta) > n$.)

The Finite Difference Lemma implies that if $X \in I_C$ then actually for every $\beta \geq \sup X$ we have that $\rho(x, \beta)$ tends to infinity as $x \in X$.

Lemma

When $\text{cf} \lambda > \aleph_0$, I_C is a P -ideal.

Proof. Suppose $A_i \in I_C$ for $i \in \omega$.

- 1 Find one β so that $\lim_{x \in A_i} \rho(x, \beta) = \infty$.

Lemma

When $\text{cf} \lambda > \aleph_0$, I_C is a P -ideal.

Proof. Suppose $A_i \in I_C$ for $i \in \omega$.

- 1 Find one β so that $\lim_{x \in A_i} \rho(x, \beta) = \infty$.
- 2 Define $A'_i = A_i \setminus \{x \in A_i \mid \rho(x, \beta) \leq i\}$.

Lemma

When $\text{cf } \lambda > \aleph_0$, I_C is a P -ideal.

Proof. Suppose $A_i \in I_C$ for $i \in \omega$.

- 1 Find one β so that $\lim_{x \in A_i} \rho(x, \beta) = \infty$.
- 2 Define $A'_i = A_i \setminus \{x \in A_i \mid \rho(x, \beta) \leq i\}$.
- 3 Then $A = \bigcup_i A'_i \in I_C$.

Recall the PID statement.

If I is a P -ideal over a set S , then either S is a countable union of sets that are out of I (i.e. orthogonal to I), or else S contains an uncountable set that is inside I .

Lemma

If C is a coherent club system over λ with cofinality $> \omega_1$, then the second alternative cannot hold for I_C . Namely there is no uncountable set inside I_C .

Proof. Say X is inside I_C . And of order-type ω_1 . As $cf(\lambda) > \omega_1$, can pick $\beta > \sup X$. But then there is some n and an infinite $X_0 \subset X$ such that $\rho(x, \beta) = n$ for all $x \in X_0$. Thus $X_0 \notin I_C$.

PID implies every club system is threadable

Theorem (Todorćević)

Assume the PID. Assume $cf(\lambda) > \omega_1$. Every coherent club system over λ is threadable.

Proof. Consider the P -ideal I_C . The second alternative of the dichotomy does not hold. So λ is a countable union of sets out of I_C . So there is a set $A \subset \lambda$ that is cofinal in λ and is out of A . (No infinite subset of A is in I_C .)

PID implies every club system is threadable

Theorem (Todorćević)

Assume the PID. Assume $cf(\lambda) > \omega_1$. Every coherent club system over λ is threadable.

Proof. Consider the P -ideal I_C . The second alternative of the dichotomy does not hold. So λ is a countable union of sets out of I_C . So there is a set $A \subset \lambda$ that is cofinal in λ and is out of A . (No infinite subset of A is in I_C .)

So for every $\beta < \lambda$ there is $n(\beta) \in \omega$ such that if $\alpha \in A \cap \beta$ then $\rho(\alpha, \beta) \leq n(\beta)$.

PID implies every club system is threadable

Theorem (Todorćević)

Assume the PID. Assume $cf(\lambda) > \omega_1$. Every coherent club system over λ is threadable.

Proof. Consider the P -ideal I_C . The second alternative of the dichotomy does not hold. So λ is a countable union of sets out of I_C . So there is a set $A \subset \lambda$ that is cofinal in λ and is out of A . (No infinite subset of A is in I_C .)

So for every $\beta < \lambda$ there is $n(\beta) \in \omega$ such that if $\alpha \in A \cap \beta$ then $\rho(\alpha, \beta) \leq n(\beta)$.

So there is n such that $n = n(\beta)$ for an unbounded set of β s in λ . Let n be minimal with this property.

the proof continues

So we are at the following situation. n is minimal so that there is an unbounded set $B \subset \lambda$ such that for every $\beta \in B \forall \alpha \in A \cap \beta \rho(\alpha, \beta) \leq n$.

Say $\alpha < \lambda$ is em good if $\alpha \in S_{\aleph_0}^\lambda$ ($cf(\alpha) = \omega$) and for all $\beta \in B$ above α , $\alpha \in C'_\beta$. Let $G \subset \lambda$ be the set of good points.

- 1 There is an unbounded in λ set of good points.
- 2 Item 1 implies that the club system is threadable.

Let's check item 2. The point is that if $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2$ are good, then C_{α_1} is an initial segment of C_{α_2} and hence $\bigcup_{\alpha \text{ is good}} C_\alpha$ is a club of λ that threads the system C .

Here is an application due to Todorćević of the Symmetric Dichotomy theorem.

Theorem

PFA implies that there are no S -spaces. In fact, the simple dichotomy for \aleph_1 -generated ideals implies that there are no S -spaces.

Proof. Recall the definition: An S-space is a regular, hereditarily separable, but not hereditarily Lindelof topological space. To prove that no such space exists (under the dichotomy), suppose that X is a regular topological space which is not hereditarily Lindelof and we shall prove that X is not hereditarily separable. Since X is not hereditarily Lindelof, X has a subspace $S = \{x_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1\}$ such that every initial part $S_\delta = \{x_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \delta\}$ is open in S (i.e. S is “right-separated”). We consider the subspace topology on S and shall find a subset of S which is not separable. Since S is regular, each x_α has an open neighborhood U_α with closure $\overline{U}_\alpha \subset S_\alpha$. These countable closed sets generate an ideal I . By the dichotomy, there is an uncountable set $D \subset S$ which is either “inside” or “out” of I . If D is in, then every countable subset E of D is in I , which means that it is covered by a countable closed set, and hence E is not dense in D . If D is out of I , then D has a finite intersection with every set in I . So in particular the intersection of D with every U_α is finite. As S is a Hausdorff space, D is discrete (and therefore not separable). □