

Definition:  $I$  is an ideal of countable sets over  $S$  if

- 1  $I \subset [S]^{\leq \omega}$
- 2  $I$  is closed under subsets and finite unions.
- 3 It is convenient to assume that  $[S]^{< \omega} \subseteq I$ .
- 4 For simplicity, in these lectures, we assume  $S = \omega_1$

If  $X \subset S$ , then  $I \upharpoonright X$  is the ideal restricted to subsets of  $X$ :

$$I \upharpoonright X = \mathcal{P}(S) \cap I.$$

We say  $X \subset S$  is trivial for  $I$  if

- 1  $I \upharpoonright X = [X]^{<\omega}$ , we then say  $X$  is “out of  $I$ ” (orthogonal to  $I$ ), or
- 2  $I \upharpoonright X = [X]^{\leq\omega}$ , we then say  $X$  is “inside  $I$ ”.

# Dichotomy for a family of ideals

The SIMPLE form of the dichotomy for a family of ideals of countable sets over  $\omega_1$  is the following statement: For every ideal  $I$  in the family  
*there is an uncountable  $X \subseteq S$  which is trivial.*

This is a Ramsey type statement.

In other words, there is an uncountable  $X \subseteq \omega_1$  such that:

- 1  $X$  is inside  $I$ , or
- 2  $X$  is out of  $I$ .

# Our aim

We shall prove the consistency of dichotomies for two families of ideals:  $\omega_1$ -generated ideals, and  $P$ -ideals. In fact we will prove that such dichotomies are consequence of the PFA. (For  $\omega_1$ -generated ideals this is work of Todorcevic. For  $P$ -ideals, Todorcevic and Abraham.)

We will give an application of PID (due to Todorcevic) that it implies  $\mathfrak{b} \leq \omega_2$ . (It is open whether it implies  $\mathfrak{c} \leq \omega_2$ ).

For a cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $H(\kappa)$  is the collection of all sets whose transitive closure has cardinality  $< \kappa$ .

$(H(\kappa), \in)$  is the structure whose universe is  $H(\kappa)$  with the membership relation. It is useful to add a well-ordering  $<$  of that universe, and so when we say  $H(\kappa)$  we refer to the structure  $(H(\kappa), \in, <)$ .

# Forcing a set out of $I$

Theorem: Let  $I$  be an ideal of countable subsets of  $\omega_1$  that is  $\omega_1$ -generated. There is a proper poset  $P$  that forces an uncountable subset  $X$  out of  $I$ . This works (the generic  $X$  is uncountable) under the assumption that there is no uncountable subset of  $\omega_1$  that is inside of  $I$ .

# Definition of $P$

Suppose  $I$  is generated by  $\{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_1\}$  where  $A_\alpha \subseteq \alpha$ .

$p \in P$  iff  $p = (x_p, d_p, N^p)$  is such that:

- 1  $N^p = \{N_0^p, \dots, N_{k-1}^p\}$  is a finite set of countable elementary substructures of  $H(\aleph_2)$ ,  $N_i^p \in N_{i+1}^p$ .  $I \in N_0^p$ .
- 2  $x_p \in [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$  is “separated” by  $N^p$ : Say  $x_p = \alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_k$ , then we have  $\alpha_0 < N_0^p \cap \omega_1 < \alpha_1 < N_1^p \cap \omega_1 \dots N_{k-1}^p \cap \omega_1 < \alpha_k$ .
- 3 For every  $\alpha$  in  $x_p$  and structure  $N_i^p$  not containing  $\alpha$  ( $\alpha$  is “above  $N_i$ ”):  $\alpha \notin \bigcup \{X \mid X \in N_i^p \text{ is inside } I\}$ .
- 4  $d_p \in [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$ .

Define  $q \leq p$  ( $q$  is more informative) iff

- 1  $x_p \subseteq x_q$ ,  $d_p \subseteq d_q$ , and  $N^p \subseteq N^q$ .
- 2 For every  $\alpha \in d_p$ ,  $x_p \cap A_\alpha = x_q \cap A_\alpha$ .

The two main properties of  $P$  are:

- 1  $P$  is “proper” so that it does not collapse  $\omega_1$
- 2  $P$  generically adds an uncountable subset of  $\omega_1$  that is out of  $I$ , IF  $I$  CONTAINS NO UNCOUNTABLE SET INSIDE  $I$ .

# Main idea

Lemma. Suppose  $I$  is an ideal of countable subsets of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_1$  is not inside  $I$ . If  $M \prec H(\aleph_1)$  is countable and  $I \in M$ , then  $M \cap \omega_1 \notin I$ .

Proof.  $M$  “knows” that  $\omega_1$  is not inside  $I$ , and hence there is some  $Y \in M$  so that  $M \models$  “ $Y$  is countable and  $Y \notin I$ ”.

Hence indeed  $Y$  is countable not in  $I$ .

There is an enumeration of  $Y$ ,  $Y = \{y_i \mid i \in \omega\}$ .

So there is such an enumeration in  $M$ . But as  $\omega \subset M$ , each  $y_i$  is in  $M$ .

So  $Y \subset M \cap \omega_1$ . As  $Y \notin I$ , surely  $M \cap \omega_1 \notin I$ .

# $P$ -ideals

Definition: An ideal  $I$  of countable subsets is a  $P$ -ideal if whenever  $\{A_i \mid i < \omega\} \subset I$ , then there is some  $A \in I$  so that  $A_i \subseteq^* A$  for every  $i \in \omega$ .

Definition: The  $P$ -ideal dicotomy (PID): If  $I$  is an ideal of countable subsets of  $S$  ( $S$  of any cardinality) then either:

- $S$  is the union of countably many sets that are out of  $I$  (orthogonal), or
- there is an uncountable set inside  $I$ .

# Consistency of the PID

The PID is a consequence of the Proper Forcing Axiom. In fact it is also consistent with CH.

Exercise: the PID implies there are no Souslin trees (Abraham, Todorcevic). So Souslin hypothesis is consistent with CH (Jensen).

We will describe the proof of the following Theorem:

*Given a  $P$ -ideal  $I$  over a set  $S$ , if  $S$  is not a countable union of sets that are orthogonal to  $I$ , then there exists a proper forcing notion  $P$  that introduces an uncountable subset inside  $I$ .*

# Definition of $P$

Definition:  $K \subseteq I$  is cofinal in  $I$  if it is cofinal in the almost inclusion ordering  $\subseteq^*$ . That is, for every  $X \in I$  there is  $Y \in K$  such that  $X \subseteq^* Y$ .

Let  $P$  be the poset of all pairs  $p = (a_p, H_p)$  where  $a_p \in I$  (so  $a_p$  is countable), and  $H_p$  is a countable collection of cofinal subsets of  $I$ .

Define  $q \leq p$  iff  $a_p \subseteq a_q$ ,  $H_p \subseteq H_q$ , and the following condition holds. For every  $K \in H_p$ , if  $e = a_q \setminus a_p$  then

$$\{X \in K \mid e \subseteq X\} \in H_q.$$

# Main idea: Adjoin your enemy into your court

The first idea for a poset that introduces an uncountable set inside  $I$  is to force with  $(I, \subset)$ . given a countable  $M \prec H(\kappa)$  and condition  $a \in I \cap M$  define an increasing sequence  $a_i \in I \cap M$  that successively enters each of the dense sets in  $M$ .

The problem:  $\bigcup_{i < \omega} a_i$  may not be a member of  $I$ .

# Main idea: Adjoin your enemy into your court

The first idea for a poset that introduces an uncountable set inside  $I$  is to force with  $(I, \subset)$ . given a countable  $M \prec H(\kappa)$  and condition  $a \in I \cap M$  define an increasing sequence  $a_i \in I \cap M$  that successively enters each of the dense sets in  $M$ .

The problem:  $\bigcup_{i < \omega} a_i$  may not be a member of  $I$ .

Here we use the fact that  $I$  is a  $P$ -ideal. Pick some  $E \in I$  so that for every  $A \in I \cap M$   $A \subset^* E$ .

Then when we define  $a_{i+1}$  we require not only  $a_i \subset a_{i+1}$  but also  $a_{i+1} \setminus a_i \subset E$ .

# Main idea: Adjoin your enemy into your court

The first idea for a poset that introduces an uncountable set inside  $I$  is to force with  $(I, \subset)$ . given a countable  $M \prec H(\kappa)$  and condition  $a \in I \cap M$  define an increasing sequence  $a_i \in I \cap M$  that successively enters each of the dense sets in  $M$ .

The problem:  $\bigcup_{i < \omega} a_i$  may not be a member of  $I$ .

Here we use the fact that  $I$  is a  $P$ -ideal. Pick some  $E \in I$  so that for every  $A \in I \cap M$   $A \subset^* E$ .

Then when we define  $a_{i+1}$  we require not only  $a_i \subset a_{i+1}$  but also  $a_{i+1} \setminus a_i \subset E$ .

New Problem: Perhaps for some dense set  $D \in M$ , if  $b \in D \cap M$  is any condition such that  $a = a_i \subset b$ , then it is not the case that  $b \subset E$  (the finite set  $b \setminus E$  is non-empty).

# Main idea: Adjoin your enemy into your court

The first idea for a poset that introduces an uncountable set inside  $I$  is to force with  $(I, \subset)$ . given a countable  $M \prec H(\kappa)$  and condition  $a \in I \cap M$  define an increasing sequence  $a_i \in I \cap M$  that successively enters each of the dense sets in  $M$ .

The problem:  $\bigcup_{i < \omega} a_i$  may not be a member of  $I$ .

Here we use the fact that  $I$  is a  $P$ -ideal. Pick some  $E \in I$  so that for every  $A \in I \cap M$   $A \subset^* E$ .

Then when we define  $a_{i+1}$  we require not only  $a_i \subset a_{i+1}$  but also  $a_{i+1} \setminus a_i \subset E$ .

New Problem: Perhaps for some dense set  $D \in M$ , if  $b \in D \cap M$  is any condition such that  $a = a_i \subset b$ , then it is not the case that  $b \subset E$  (the finite set  $b \setminus E$  is non-empty). Solution: Declare  $E$  a “bad set”; let  $H$  be the collection of all bad sets. Then  $H \in M$ . Redefine your poset so that the pair  $(a, H)$  is a condition. Request that any extension of this condition is of the form  $(b, H, \dots)$  so that  $a \subseteq b$  AND  $b \setminus a \in h$  for some  $h \in H$ .