

# Constructing special almost disjoint families

Dilip Raghavan

National University of Singapore

Winter School in Abstract Analysis,  
International Center for Spiritual Rehabilitation, Hejnice  
January 31, 2014

# Outline

- 1 A weakly tight family from  $s \leq b$

Recall:

## Definition

An a.d.  $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega$  is weakly tight if for any collection  $\{b_n : n \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{I}^+(\mathcal{A})$ , there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\exists^\infty n \in \omega$   $[|a \cap a_n| = \omega]$ .

- Recall that this is a weakening of  $\aleph_0$ -MAD, which in turn is essentially the same as Cohen-indestructible.

Recall:

## Definition

An a.d.  $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega$  is weakly tight if for any collection  $\{b_n : n \in \omega\} \subset I^+(\mathcal{A})$ , there exists  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\exists^\infty n \in \omega [a \cap a_n = \omega]$ .

- Recall that this is a weakening of  $\aleph_0$ -MAD, which in turn is essentially the same as Cohen-indestructible.
- One cannot directly apply Shelah's method to construct a weakly tight family. Why?

## Definition

A partitioner of an a.d. family  $\mathcal{A}$  is a set  $b \in I^+(\mathcal{A})$  with the property that  $\forall a \in \mathcal{A} [a \subset^* b \vee |a \cap b| < \omega]$ .

- Suppose  $\{b_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a family of pairwise disjoint partitioners for a weakly tight  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- There cannot be  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  which has infinite intersection with  $b_n$  and  $b_m$  for distinct  $n$  and  $m$ .
- However Shelah's method is explicitly designed to produce many pairwise disjoint partitioners (picture on board).

- Suppose  $\{b_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a family of pairwise disjoint partitioners for a weakly tight  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- There cannot be  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  which has infinite intersection with  $b_n$  and  $b_m$  for distinct  $n$  and  $m$ .
- However Shelah's method is explicitly designed to produce many pairwise disjoint partitioners (picture on board).
- Solution: make two changes to the basic framework.
- First, each member of the a.d. family will be associated with a countable collection of nodes, and will be the union of a countable sequence of infinite subsets of  $\omega$ .
- Second, each such countable sequence will be associated with its own node, and the collection  $\mathcal{I}_\eta$  of countable sequences allowable at a node  $\eta$  will be chosen carefully.

## Theorem (R. and Steprans[1])

Assume  $\mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{b}$ . Then there is a weakly tight family.

- As always fix an  $(\omega, \omega)$ -splitting family  $\langle e_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{s} \rangle$ .

## Definition

We say that a sequence  $\vec{C} = \langle c_n : n \in \omega \rangle \subset [\omega]^\omega$  is a p.w.d. if for any  $n \neq m$ ,  $c_n \cap c_m = \emptyset$ .  $\vec{C}(n)$  denotes  $c_n$ . For an  $\eta \in 2^{\leq \mathfrak{s}}$ , we define

$$\mathcal{I}_\eta = \left\{ \vec{C} : \vec{C} \text{ is p.w.d. and } \forall \beta < \text{dom}(\eta) \forall^\infty n \in \omega \left[ \vec{C}(n) \subset e_\beta^{\eta(\beta)} \right] \right\}.$$

- At a stage  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ , we are given an increasing sequence  $\langle \mathcal{T}_\beta : \beta < \alpha \rangle$  of subtrees of  $2^{<\kappa}$ , as well as an almost disjoint family  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = \{a_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ .
- We ensure that for each  $\beta < \alpha$ ,  $a_\beta = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} d_n^\beta$ , where  $\vec{D}^\beta = \langle d_n^\beta : n \in \omega \rangle$  is a p.w.d.

- At a stage  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ , we are given an increasing sequence  $\langle \mathcal{T}_\beta : \beta < \alpha \rangle$  of subtrees of  $2^{<\kappa}$ , as well as an almost disjoint family  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = \{a_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ .
- We ensure that for each  $\beta < \alpha$ ,  $a_\beta = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} d_n^\beta$ , where  $\vec{D}^\beta = \langle d_n^\beta : n \in \omega \rangle$  is a p.w.d.
- Moreover, to each  $a_\beta$  and each  $d_n^\beta$ , we associate nodes  $\eta(a_\beta) \in \mathcal{T}_\beta$  and  $\eta(d_n^\beta) \in \mathcal{T}_\beta$  such that the following conditions hold:
  - 1  $\vec{D}^\beta \in I_{\eta(a_\beta)}$ ;
  - 2  $\forall \gamma < \text{dom}(\eta(d_n^\beta)) \left[ d_n^\beta \subset^* e_\gamma^{\eta(d_n^\beta)(\gamma)} \right]$ .

- At a stage  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ , we are given an increasing sequence  $\langle \mathcal{T}_\beta : \beta < \alpha \rangle$  of subtrees of  $2^{<\kappa}$ , as well as an almost disjoint family  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha = \{a_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ .
- We ensure that for each  $\beta < \alpha$ ,  $a_\beta = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} d_n^\beta$ , where  $\vec{D}^\beta = \langle d_n^\beta : n \in \omega \rangle$  is a p.w.d.
- Moreover, to each  $a_\beta$  and each  $d_n^\beta$ , we associate nodes  $\eta(a_\beta) \in \mathcal{T}_\beta$  and  $\eta(d_n^\beta) \in \mathcal{T}_\beta$  such that the following conditions hold:
  - 1  $\vec{D}^\beta \in \mathcal{I}_{\eta(a_\beta)}$ ;
  - 2  $\forall \gamma < \text{dom}(\eta(d_n^\beta)) \left[ d_n^\beta \subset^* e_\gamma^{\eta(d_n^\beta)(\gamma)} \right]$ .
- Important that  $\eta(a_\beta) \neq \eta(a_\gamma)$  for all  $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$ .
- Also  $\eta(d_n^\beta) \neq \eta(d_m^\gamma)$  for all  $\langle \beta, n \rangle \neq \langle \gamma, m \rangle$  where  $\beta, \gamma < \alpha$ , and  $n, m \in \omega$ ,
- Finally  $\eta(a_\beta) \neq \eta(d_m^\gamma)$  for all  $\beta, \gamma < \alpha$ , and  $m \in \omega$ .

- $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{T}_\beta = \left\{ \sigma \in 2^{<\kappa} : \exists \xi < \alpha \left[ \sigma \subset \eta(a_\xi) \vee \exists n \in \omega \left[ \sigma \subset \eta(d_n^\xi) \right] \right] \right\}$ .
- Thus  $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{T}_\beta$  is the union of  $< \mathfrak{c}$  chains.

- $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{T}_\beta = \left\{ \sigma \in 2^{<\kappa} : \exists \xi < \alpha \left[ \sigma \subset \eta(a_\xi) \vee \exists n \in \omega \left[ \sigma \subset \eta(d_n^\xi) \right] \right] \right\}$ .
- Thus  $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{T}_\beta$  is the union of  $< \kappa$  chains.

## Lemma

Let  $b \in I^+(\mathcal{A}_\delta)$ . There is a  $c \in [b]^\omega$  which is a.d. from  $a_\beta$  for every  $\beta < \alpha$ , and a  $\tau \in (2^{<s}) \setminus \left( \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{T}_\beta \right)$  such that  $\forall \delta < \text{dom}(\tau) \left[ c \subset^* e_\delta^{\tau(\delta)} \right]$ .

- The proof is just as before, but just a slight twist.
- Before we relied on the fact that if the node associated with  $a_\alpha$  and the node associated with  $a_\beta$  are incomparable, then  $a_\alpha$  and  $a_\beta$  are automatically a.d
- This is not true anymore.

- But because of the way we have set things up, it is enough to have  $\tau \notin \left(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{T}_\beta\right)$ .
- By the usual construction we can arrange to have  $\tau \notin \left(\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{T}_\beta\right)$ , as well as  $c \in [b]^\omega$  such that  $\forall \xi < \text{dom}(\tau) \left[ c \subset^* e_{\tau \upharpoonright \xi}^{\tau(\xi)} \right]$  and  $|c \cap d_n^\beta| < \omega$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $n \in \omega$ .

- But because of the way we have set things up, it is enough to have  $\tau \notin \left( \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{T}_\beta \right)$ .
- By the usual construction we can arrange to have  $\tau \notin \left( \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{T}_\beta \right)$ , as well as  $c \in [b]^\omega$  such that  $\forall \xi < \text{dom}(\tau) \left[ c \subset^* e_{\tau \upharpoonright \xi}^{\tau(\xi)} \right]$  and  $|c \cap d_n^\beta| < \omega$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $n \in \omega$ .
- Now, if  $\tau$  and  $\eta_\beta$  are incomparable, then for some  $\xi < \text{dom}(\tau)$ ,  $c \subset^* e_{\tau \upharpoonright \xi}^{\tau(\xi)}$  and there is some  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\forall m \geq n \left[ d_m^\beta \subset e_{\tau \upharpoonright \xi}^{1-\tau(\xi)} \right]$ . So  $|c \cap \left( \bigcup_{m \geq n} d_m^\beta \right)| < \omega$ .
- On the other hand, for any  $m < n$ ,  $|c \cap d_m^\beta| < \omega$ . So  $|c \cap \left( \bigcup_{m < n} d_m^\beta \right)| < \omega$ .

- Now we can prove the theorem.
- We are at a stage  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$  and we are given  $\{b_n : n \in \omega\} \subset [\omega]^\omega$  such that for each  $n \in \omega$ ,  $b_n \in \mathcal{I}^+(\mathcal{A}_\alpha)$ .
- Applying a previous lemma find  $c_n \in [b_n]^\omega$  and nodes  $\tau_n \in 2^{<s}$  such that
  - 1  $c_n$  is a.d. from  $a_\beta$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$ ;
  - 2  $\forall \xi < \text{dom}(\tau_n) [c_n \subset^* e_\xi^{\tau_n(\xi)}]$ ;
  - 3  $\tau_n \notin \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{T}_\beta$  and  $\forall m < n [\tau_n \not\subset \tau_m]$ .

- WLOG the  $\vec{C}_0 = \langle c_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  is a p.w.d.
- Look for least  $\gamma_0 < \mathfrak{s}$  such that  $\exists^\infty n \in \omega \left[ |c_n \cap e_{\gamma_0}^0| = \omega \right]$  and  $\exists^\infty n \in \omega \left[ |c_n \cap e_{\gamma_0}^1| = \omega \right]$ .
- There is a unique  $\tau_0 \in 2^{\alpha_0}$  such that

$$\forall \xi < \alpha_0 \forall i \in 2 \left[ \tau_0(\xi) = i \leftrightarrow \exists^\infty n \in \omega \left[ |c_n \cap e_\xi^i| = \omega \right] \right].$$

- WLOG the  $\vec{C}_0 = \langle c_n : n \in \omega \rangle$  is a p.w.d.
- Look for least  $\gamma_0 < \mathfrak{s}$  such that  $\exists^\infty n \in \omega \left[ |c_n \cap e_{\gamma_0}^0| = \omega \right]$  and  $\exists^\infty n \in \omega \left[ |c_n \cap e_{\gamma_0}^1| = \omega \right]$ .
- There is a unique  $\tau_0 \in 2^{\alpha_0}$  such that

$$\forall \xi < \alpha_0 \forall i \in 2 \left[ \tau_0(\xi) = i \leftrightarrow \exists^\infty n \in \omega \left[ |c_n \cap e_\xi^i| = \omega \right] \right].$$

- Proceeding in this way, build sequences  $\langle \alpha_s : s \in 2^{<\omega} \rangle \subset \mathfrak{s}$ ,  $\langle \tau_s : s \in 2^{<\omega} \rangle \subset 2^{<\mathfrak{s}}$ ,  $\langle \vec{C}_s : s \in 2^{<\omega} \rangle$ , and  $\langle z_s : s \in 2^{<\omega} \rangle \subset [\omega]^\omega$  such that:
  - 1  $\forall s \in 2^{<\omega} \forall i \in 2 \left[ \alpha_s = \text{dom}(\tau_s) \wedge \alpha_{s \smallfrown \langle i \rangle} > \alpha_s \wedge \tau_{s \smallfrown \langle i \rangle} \supset \tau_s \smallfrown \langle i \rangle \right]$ ;
  - 2 The domain of  $\vec{C}_s = z_s$  (so  $z_0 = \omega$ ) and  $z_{s \smallfrown \langle i \rangle} \subset z_s$ ;
  - 3 For all  $n \in z_{s \smallfrown \langle i \rangle} \left[ \vec{C}_{s \smallfrown \langle i \rangle}(n) \subset \vec{C}_s(n) \right]$
  - 4 for each  $s \in 2^{<\omega}$  and for each  $\xi < \alpha_s$ ,  $\forall^\infty n \in z_s \left[ e_\xi^{1-\tau_s(\xi)} \cap \vec{C}_s(n) \right] < \omega$ ;

- (5) for each  $s \in 2^{<\omega}$ , both  $\exists^\infty n \in \omega \left[ \left| e_{\alpha_s}^0 \cap \vec{C}_s(n) \right| = \omega \right]$  and  
 $\exists^\infty n \in \omega \left[ \left| e_{\alpha_s}^1 \cap \vec{C}_s(n) \right| = \omega \right]$ ;
- (6) for  $n \in z_{s \smallfrown \langle i \rangle}$ ,  $\vec{C}_{s \smallfrown \langle i \rangle}(n) = \vec{C}_s(n) \cap e_{\alpha_s}^i$ .

- For each  $f \in 2^\omega$ , put  $\alpha_f = \sup \{ \alpha_{(f \upharpoonright n)} : n \in \omega \}$  and  $\tau_f = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \tau_{(f \upharpoonright n)}$ .
- Again, we have  $\alpha_f < \kappa$ .

- For each  $f \in 2^\omega$ , put  $\alpha_f = \sup \{ \alpha_{(f \upharpoonright n)} : n \in \omega \}$  and  $\tau_f = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \tau_{(f \upharpoonright n)}$ .
- Again, we have  $\alpha_f < \kappa$ .
- Again we can find  $f \in 2^\omega$  such that  $\tau_f \notin \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{T}_\beta \cup \{ \sigma : \exists n \in \omega [\sigma \subset \tau_n] \}$ .
- Take a  $z = k_0 < k_1 < \dots$  such that  $\forall n \in \omega [k_n \in z_{f \upharpoonright n}]$ . For each  $n \in \omega$  define  $\vec{E}(k_n) = \vec{C}_{f \upharpoonright(n)}(k_n)$ .

- for each  $\delta < \alpha_f$ , define a function  $f_\delta : \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \omega$  by

$$f_\delta(n) = \begin{cases} \max\left(\vec{E}(n) \cap e_\delta^{1-\tau_f(\delta)}\right) & \text{if } \left|\vec{E}(n) \cap e_\delta^{1-\tau_f(\delta)}\right| < \omega \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The second case only occurs finitely often.

- Also let  $G$  be the set of  $\beta < \alpha$  such that either  $\eta(a_\beta) \subsetneq \tau_f$  or that there is an  $m \in \omega$  so that  $\eta(d_m^\beta) \subsetneq \tau_f$ .
- $|G| \leq |\alpha_f| < \mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{b}$ .
- $a_\beta$  is a.d. from  $\vec{E}(k_n)$  for each  $n \in \omega$  and each  $\beta \in G$ . So each  $\beta \in G$  determines a function  $g_\beta : \mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \omega$

- for each  $\delta < \alpha_f$ , define a function  $f_\delta : z \rightarrow \omega$  by

$$f_\delta(n) = \begin{cases} \max\left(\vec{E}(n) \cap e_\delta^{1-\tau_f(\delta)}\right) & \text{if } \left|\vec{E}(n) \cap e_\delta^{1-\tau_f(\delta)}\right| < \omega \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The second case only occurs finitely often.

- Also let  $G$  be the set of  $\beta < \alpha$  such that either  $\eta(a_\beta) \subsetneq \tau_f$  or that there is an  $m \in \omega$  so that  $\eta(d_m^\beta) \subsetneq \tau_f$ .
- $|G| \leq |\alpha_f| < s \leq b$ .
- $a_\beta$  is a.d. from  $\vec{E}(k_n)$  for each  $n \in \omega$  and each  $\beta \in G$ . So each  $\beta \in G$  determines a function  $g_\beta : z \rightarrow \omega$
- $\{f_\delta : \delta < \alpha_f\}$  is a collection of functions of size at most  $< s \leq b$ .
- Find  $f \in \omega^z$  such that  $\forall \delta < \alpha_f [f_\delta <^* f]$ .
- For each  $n \in \omega$  define  $D^\alpha(n) = \vec{E}(k_n) \setminus f(k_n)$ .
- $a_\alpha = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} D^\alpha(n)$  and  $\eta(a_\alpha) = \tau_f$ .

# Bibliography



D. Raghavan and J. Steprāns, *On weakly tight families*, *Canad. J. Math.* **64** (2012), no. 6, 1378–1394.