

RESOLVABILITY, PART 3.

István Juhász

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics

Hejnice, February 2012

DEFINITION.

DEFINITION.

The space X is **monotonically normal (MN)** iff it is T_1 (i.e. all singletons are closed)

DEFINITION.

The space X is **monotonically normal (MN)** iff it is T_1 (i.e. all singletons are closed) and it has a **monotone normality operator** H that **"halves"** neighbourhoods :

DEFINITION.

The space X is **monotonically normal (MN)** iff it is T_1 (i.e. all singletons are closed) and it has a **monotone normality operator** H that **"halves"** neighbourhoods :

H assigns to every $\langle x, U \rangle$, with $x \in U$ open, an open set $H(x, U)$ s. t.

DEFINITION.

The space X is **monotonically normal (MN)** iff it is T_1 (i.e. all singletons are closed) and it has a **monotone normality operator** H that **"halves"** neighbourhoods :

H assigns to every $\langle x, U \rangle$, with $x \in U$ open, an open set $H(x, U)$ s. t.

(i) $x \in H(x, U) \subset U$,

DEFINITION.

The space X is **monotonically normal (MN)** iff it is T_1 (i.e. all singletons are closed) and it has a **monotone normality operator** H that **"halves"** neighbourhoods :

H assigns to every $\langle x, U \rangle$, with $x \in U$ open, an open set $H(x, U)$ s. t.

(i) $x \in H(x, U) \subset U$,

and

(ii) if $H(x, U) \cap H(y, V) \neq \emptyset$ then $x \in V$ or $y \in U$.

DEFINITION.

The space X is **monotonically normal (MN)** iff it is T_1 (i.e. all singletons are closed) and it has a **monotone normality operator** H that **"halves"** neighbourhoods :

H assigns to every $\langle x, U \rangle$, with $x \in U$ open, an open set $H(x, U)$ s. t.

(i) $x \in H(x, U) \subset U$,

and

(ii) if $H(x, U) \cap H(y, V) \neq \emptyset$ then $x \in V$ or $y \in U$.

FACT. **Metric** spaces

DEFINITION.

The space X is **monotonically normal (MN)** iff it is T_1 (i.e. all singletons are closed) and it has a **monotone normality operator** H that **"halves"** neighbourhoods :

H assigns to every $\langle x, U \rangle$, with $x \in U$ open, an open set $H(x, U)$ s. t.

(i) $x \in H(x, U) \subset U$,

and

(ii) if $H(x, U) \cap H(y, V) \neq \emptyset$ then $x \in V$ or $y \in U$.

FACT. **Metric** spaces and **linearly ordered** spaces are **MN**.

DEFINITION.

The space X is **monotonically normal (MN)** iff it is T_1 (i.e. all singletons are closed) and it has a **monotone normality operator** H that **"halves"** neighbourhoods :

H assigns to every $\langle x, U \rangle$, with $x \in U$ open, an open set $H(x, U)$ s. t.

(i) $x \in H(x, U) \subset U$,

and

(ii) if $H(x, U) \cap H(y, V) \neq \emptyset$ then $x \in V$ or $y \in U$.

FACT. **Metric** spaces and **linearly ordered** spaces are **MN**.

QUESTION. **Are MN spaces maximally resolvable?**

DEFINITION.

DEFINITION.

(i) $D \subset X$ is **strongly discrete** if there are pairwise disjoint open sets $\{U_x : x \in D\}$ with $x \in U_x$ for all $x \in D$.

DEFINITION.

- (i) $D \subset X$ is **strongly discrete** if there are pairwise disjoint open sets $\{U_x : x \in D\}$ with $x \in U_x$ for all $x \in D$.
- (ii) X is an **SD space** if it is T_1 and every point $x \in X$ is an **SD limit**.

DEFINITION.

- (i) $D \subset X$ is **strongly discrete** if there are pairwise disjoint open sets $\{U_x : x \in D\}$ with $x \in U_x$ for all $x \in D$.
- (ii) X is an **SD space** if it is T_1 and every point $x \in X$ is an **SD limit**.

THEOREM. (Sharma and Sharma, 1987)

Every SD space is **ω -resolvable**.

DEFINITION.

- (i) $D \subset X$ is **strongly discrete** if there are pairwise disjoint open sets $\{U_x : x \in D\}$ with $x \in U_x$ for all $x \in D$.
- (ii) X is an **SD space** if it is T_1 and every point $x \in X$ is an **SD limit**.

THEOREM. (Sharma and Sharma, 1987)

Every SD space is **ω -resolvable**.

THEOREM. (DTTW, 2002)

Crowded MN spaces are SD, hence **ω -resolvable**.

DEFINITION.

- (i) $D \subset X$ is **strongly discrete** if there are pairwise disjoint open sets $\{U_x : x \in D\}$ with $x \in U_x$ for all $x \in D$.
- (ii) X is an **SD space** if it is T_1 and every point $x \in X$ is an **SD limit**.

THEOREM. (Sharma and Sharma, 1987)

Every SD space is **ω -resolvable**.

THEOREM. (DTTW, 2002)

Crowded MN spaces are SD, hence **ω -resolvable**.

PROBLEM. (Ceder and Pearson, 1967)

Are **ω -resolvable** spaces **maximally** resolvable?

[J-S-Sz] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ, L. SOUKUP AND Z. SZENTMIKLÓSSY,
Resolvability and monotone normality, Israel J. Math., 166 (2008),
no. 1, pp. 1–16.

[J-S-Sz] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ, L. SOUKUP AND Z. SZENTMIKLÓSSY,
Resolvability and monotone normality, Israel J. Math., 166 (2008),
no. 1, pp. 1–16.

DEFINITION. X is a **DSD space** if every **dense** subspace of X is SD.

[J-S-Sz] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ, L. SOUKUP AND Z. SZENTMIKLÓSSY,
Resolvability and monotone normality, Israel J. Math., 166 (2008),
no. 1, pp. 1–16.

DEFINITION. X is a **DSD space** if every **dense** subspace of X is SD.
Clearly, MN spaces are DSD.

[J-S-Sz] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ, L. SOUKUP AND Z. SZENTMIKLÓSSY,
Resolvability and monotone normality, Israel J. Math., 166 (2008),
no. 1, pp. 1–16.

DEFINITION. X is a **DSD space** if every **dense** subspace of X is SD.
Clearly, MN spaces are DSD.

Main results of [J-S-Sz]

[J-S-Sz] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ, L. SOUKUP AND Z. SZENTMIKLÓSSY,
Resolvability and monotone normality, Israel J. Math., 166 (2008),
no. 1, pp. 1–16.

DEFINITION. X is a **DSD space** if every **dense** subspace of X is SD.
Clearly, MN spaces are DSD.

Main results of [J-S-Sz]

– If κ is **measurable** then there is a MN space X with $\Delta(X) = \kappa$
that is **not ω_1 -resolvable**.

[J-S-Sz] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ, L. SOUKUP AND Z. SZENTMIKLÓSSY,
Resolvability and monotone normality, Israel J. Math., 166 (2008),
no. 1, pp. 1–16.

DEFINITION. X is a **DSD space** if every **dense** subspace of X is SD.
Clearly, MN spaces are DSD.

Main results of [J-S-Sz]

- If κ is **measurable** then there is a MN space X with $\Delta(X) = \kappa$ that is **not ω_1 -resolvable**.
- If X is **DSD** with $|X| < \aleph_\omega$ then X is **maximally resolvable**.

[J-S-Sz] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ, L. SOUKUP AND Z. SZENTMIKLÓSSY,
Resolvability and monotone normality, Israel J. Math., 166 (2008),
no. 1, pp. 1–16.

DEFINITION. X is a **DSD space** if every **dense** subspace of X is SD.
Clearly, MN spaces are DSD.

Main results of [J-S-Sz]

- If κ is **measurable** then there is a MN space X with $\Delta(X) = \kappa$ that is **not ω_1 -resolvable**.
- If X is **DSD** with $|X| < \aleph_\omega$ then X is **maximally resolvable**.
- From a **supercompact cardinal**, it is consistent to have a MN space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \aleph_\omega$ that is **not ω_2 -resolvable**.

[J-S-Sz] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ, L. SOUKUP AND Z. SZENTMIKLÓSSY,
Resolvability and monotone normality, Israel J. Math., 166 (2008),
no. 1, pp. 1–16.

DEFINITION. X is a **DSD space** if every **dense** subspace of X is SD.
Clearly, MN spaces are DSD.

Main results of [J-S-Sz]

- If κ is **measurable** then there is a MN space X with $\Delta(X) = \kappa$ that is **not ω_1 -resolvable**.
- If X is **DSD** with $|X| < \aleph_\omega$ then X is **maximally resolvable**.
- From a **supercompact cardinal**, it is consistent to have a MN space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \aleph_\omega$ that is **not ω_2 -resolvable**.

This left a number of questions open.

decomposability of ultrafilters

DEFINITION. An ultrafilter \mathcal{F} is μ -**descendingly complete** iff for any descending $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ we have $\bigcap \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \in \mathcal{F}$ (or, equivalently, $\bigcap \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \neq \emptyset$).

decomposability of ultrafilters

DEFINITION. An ultrafilter \mathcal{F} is μ -**descendingly complete** iff for any descending $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ we have $\bigcap \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \in \mathcal{F}$ (or, equivalently, $\bigcap \{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \neq \emptyset$).

Not μ -**descendingly complete** is called μ -**decomposable**.

decomposability of ultrafilters

DEFINITION. An ultrafilter \mathcal{F} is **μ -descendingly complete** iff for any descending $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ we have $\bigcap\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \in \mathcal{F}$ (or, equivalently, $\bigcap\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \neq \emptyset$).

Not **μ -descendingly complete** is called **μ -decomposable**.

$\mathcal{F} \in \text{un}(\lambda)$ is **maximally decomposable** iff it is μ -decomposable for all $\omega \leq \mu \leq \lambda$.

decomposability of ultrafilters

DEFINITION. An ultrafilter \mathcal{F} is **μ -descendingly complete** iff for any descending $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ we have $\bigcap\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \in \mathcal{F}$ (or, equivalently, $\bigcap\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \neq \emptyset$).

Not μ -descendingly complete is called **μ -decomposable**.

$\mathcal{F} \in \text{un}(\lambda)$ is **maximally decomposable** iff it is μ -decomposable for all $\omega \leq \mu \leq \lambda$. ($\text{un}(\lambda)$ = set of all uniform ultrafilters on λ .)

decomposability of ultrafilters

DEFINITION. An ultrafilter \mathcal{F} is **μ -descendingly complete** iff for any descending $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ we have $\bigcap\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \in \mathcal{F}$ (or, equivalently, $\bigcap\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \neq \emptyset$).

Not μ -descendingly complete is called **μ -decomposable**.

$\mathcal{F} \in \text{un}(\lambda)$ is **maximally decomposable** iff it is μ -decomposable for all $\omega \leq \mu \leq \lambda$. ($\text{un}(\lambda)$ = set of all uniform ultrafilters on λ .)

FACTS.

decomposability of ultrafilters

DEFINITION. An ultrafilter \mathcal{F} is **μ -descendingly complete** iff for any descending $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ we have $\bigcap\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \in \mathcal{F}$ (or, equivalently, $\bigcap\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \neq \emptyset$).

Not μ -descendingly complete is called **μ -decomposable**.

$\mathcal{F} \in \text{un}(\lambda)$ is **maximally decomposable** iff it is μ -decomposable for all $\omega \leq \mu \leq \lambda$. ($\text{un}(\lambda)$ = set of all uniform ultrafilters on λ .)

FACTS.

– Any "measure" is ω -descendingly complete, hence not ω -decomposable.

decomposability of ultrafilters

DEFINITION. An ultrafilter \mathcal{F} is **μ -descendingly complete** iff for any descending $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ we have $\bigcap\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \in \mathcal{F}$ (or, equivalently, $\bigcap\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \neq \emptyset$).

Not **μ -descendingly complete** is called **μ -decomposable**.

$\mathcal{F} \in \text{un}(\lambda)$ is **maximally decomposable** iff it is μ -decomposable for all $\omega \leq \mu \leq \lambda$. ($\text{un}(\lambda)$ = set of all uniform ultrafilters on λ .)

FACTS.

- Any "measure" is ω -descendingly complete, hence not ω -decomposable.
- [Donder, 1988] If there is a not maximally decomposable uniform ultrafilter then there is a measurable cardinal in some inner model.

decomposability of ultrafilters

DEFINITION. An ultrafilter \mathcal{F} is **μ -descendingly complete** iff for any descending $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ we have $\bigcap\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \in \mathcal{F}$ (or, equivalently, $\bigcap\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mu\} \neq \emptyset$).

Not **μ -descendingly complete** is called **μ -decomposable**.

$\mathcal{F} \in \text{un}(\lambda)$ is **maximally decomposable** iff it is μ -decomposable for all $\omega \leq \mu \leq \lambda$. ($\text{un}(\lambda)$ = set of all uniform ultrafilters on λ .)

FACTS.

- Any "measure" is ω -descendingly complete, hence not ω -decomposable.
- [Donder, 1988] If there is a not maximally decomposable uniform ultrafilter then there is a measurable cardinal in some inner model.
- [Kunen - Prikry, 1971] If $\lambda < \aleph_\omega$ then every $\mathcal{F} \in \text{un}(\lambda)$ is maximally decomposable.

[J-M] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ AND M. MAGIDOR, *On the maximal resolvability of monotonically normal spaces*, to appear in Israel J. Math.

[J-M] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ AND M. MAGIDOR, *On the maximal resolvability of monotonically normal spaces*, to appear in Israel J. Math.

Main results of [J-M]

[J-M] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ AND M. MAGIDOR, *On the maximal resolvability of monotonically normal spaces*, to appear in Israel J. Math.

Main results of [J-M]

(1) TFAEV (for a fixed κ):

[J-M] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ AND M. MAGIDOR, *On the maximal resolvability of monotonically normal spaces*, to appear in Israel J. Math.

Main results of [J-M]

(1) TFAEV (for a fixed κ):

- Every DSD space (of cardinality $< \kappa$) is maximally resolvable.

[J-M] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ AND M. MAGIDOR, *On the maximal resolvability of monotonically normal spaces*, to appear in Israel J. Math.

Main results of [J-M]

(1) TFAEV (for a fixed κ):

- Every DSD space (of cardinality $< \kappa$) is maximally resolvable.
- Every MN space (of cardinality $< \kappa$) is maximally resolvable.

[J-M] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ AND M. MAGIDOR, *On the maximal resolvability of monotonically normal spaces*, to appear in Israel J. Math.

Main results of [J-M]

(1) TFAEV (for a fixed κ):

- Every DSD space (of cardinality $< \kappa$) is maximally resolvable.
- Every MN space (of cardinality $< \kappa$) is maximally resolvable.
- Every uniform ultrafilter (on a cardinal $< \kappa$) is maximally decomposable.

[J-M] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ AND M. MAGIDOR, *On the maximal resolvability of monotonically normal spaces*, to appear in Israel J. Math.

Main results of [J-M]

(1) TFAEV (for a fixed κ):

- Every DSD space (of cardinality $< \kappa$) is maximally resolvable.
- Every MN space (of cardinality $< \kappa$) is maximally resolvable.
- Every uniform ultrafilter (on a cardinal $< \kappa$) is maximally decomposable.

(2) TFAEC

[J-M] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ AND M. MAGIDOR, *On the maximal resolvability of monotonically normal spaces*, to appear in Israel J. Math.

Main results of [J-M]

(1) TFAEV (for a fixed κ):

- Every DSD space (of cardinality $< \kappa$) is maximally resolvable.
- Every MN space (of cardinality $< \kappa$) is maximally resolvable.
- Every uniform ultrafilter (on a cardinal $< \kappa$) is maximally decomposable.

(2) TFAEC

- There is a measurable cardinal.

[J-M] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ AND M. MAGIDOR, *On the maximal resolvability of monotonically normal spaces*, to appear in Israel J. Math.

Main results of [J-M]

(1) TFAEV (for a fixed κ):

- Every DSD space (of cardinality $< \kappa$) is maximally resolvable.
- Every MN space (of cardinality $< \kappa$) is maximally resolvable.
- Every uniform ultrafilter (on a cardinal $< \kappa$) is maximally decomposable.

(2) TFAEC

- There is a measurable cardinal.
- There is a MN space that is not maximally resolvable.

[J-M] \equiv I. JUHÁSZ AND M. MAGIDOR, *On the maximal resolvability of monotonically normal spaces*, to appear in Israel J. Math.

Main results of [J-M]

(1) TFAEV (for a fixed κ):

- Every DSD space (of cardinality $< \kappa$) is maximally resolvable.
- Every MN space (of cardinality $< \kappa$) is maximally resolvable.
- Every uniform ultrafilter (on a cardinal $< \kappa$) is maximally decomposable.

(2) TFAEC

- There is a measurable cardinal.
- There is a MN space that is not maximally resolvable.
- There is a MN space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \aleph_\omega$ that is **not** ω_1 -resolvable.

DEFINITION.

DEFINITION.

- F is a **filtration** if $\text{dom}(F) = T$ is an **infinitely branching tree**

DEFINITION.

- F is a **filtration** if $\text{dom}(F) = T$ is an **infinitely branching tree** (of height ω)

DEFINITION.

- F is a **filtration** if $\text{dom}(F) = T$ is an **infinitely branching tree** (of height ω) and, for each $t \in T$, $F(t)$ is a **filter on $S(t)$** that contains all co-finite subsets of $S(t)$.

DEFINITION.

- F is a **filtration** if $\text{dom}(F) = T$ is an **infinitely branching tree** (of height ω) and, for each $t \in T$, $F(t)$ is a **filter on $S(t)$** that contains all co-finite subsets of $S(t)$.
- For $G \subset T$, $G \in \tau_F$ iff

$$t \in G \Rightarrow G \cap S(t) \in F(t),$$

DEFINITION.

– F is a **filtration** if $\text{dom}(F) = T$ is an **infinitely branching tree** (of height ω) and, for each $t \in T$, $F(t)$ is a **filter on $S(t)$** that contains all co-finite subsets of $S(t)$.

– For $G \subset T$, $G \in \tau_F$ iff

$$t \in G \Rightarrow G \cap S(t) \in F(t),$$

– $X(F) = \langle T, \tau_F \rangle$ is called a **filtration space**.

DEFINITION.

– F is a **filtration** if $\text{dom}(F) = T$ is an **infinitely branching tree** (of height ω) and, for each $t \in T$, $F(t)$ is a **filter on $S(t)$** that contains all co-finite subsets of $S(t)$.

– For $G \subset T$, $G \in \tau_F$ iff

$$t \in G \Rightarrow G \cap S(t) \in F(t),$$

– $X(F) = \langle T, \tau_F \rangle$ is called a **filtration space**.

FACT. [J-S-Sz] Every filtration space $X(F)$ is **MN**:

DEFINITION.

– F is a **filtration** if $\text{dom}(F) = T$ is an **infinitely branching tree** (of height ω) and, for each $t \in T$, $F(t)$ is a **filter on $S(t)$** that contains all co-finite subsets of $S(t)$.

– For $G \subset T$, $G \in \tau_F$ iff

$$t \in G \Rightarrow G \cap S(t) \in F(t),$$

– $X(F) = \langle T, \tau_F \rangle$ is called a **filtration space**.

FACT. [J-S-Sz] Every filtration space $X(F)$ is **MN**: For $s \in V \in \tau_F$ put

$$H(s, V) = \{t \in V : s \leq t \text{ and } [s, t] \subset V\}$$

irresolvability of ultrafiltration spaces

THEOREM. [J-S-Sz]

If F is an **ultrafiltration** and μ is a **regular** cardinal s.t. $F(t)$ is **μ -descendingly complete** for all $t \in T = \text{dom}(F)$,

THEOREM. [J-S-Sz]

If F is an **ultrafiltration** and μ is a **regular** cardinal s.t. $F(t)$ is **μ -descendingly complete** for all $t \in T = \text{dom}(F)$, then $X(F)$ is hereditarily **μ^+ -irresolvable**.

THEOREM. [J-S-Sz]

If F is an **ultrafiltration** and μ is a **regular** cardinal s.t. $F(t)$ is **μ -descendingly complete** for all $t \in T = \text{dom}(F)$, then $X(F)$ is hereditarily **μ^+ -irresolvable**.

COROLLARY. [J-S-Sz]

If $\mathcal{F} \in \text{un}(\kappa)$ is a **measure** and $F(t) = \mathcal{F}$ for all $t \in \text{dom}(F) = \kappa^{<\omega}$ then $X(F)$ is hereditarily **ω_1 -irresolvable**.

DEFINITION. [J-M]

DEFINITION. [J-M] F is a λ -filtration if

DEFINITION. [J-M] F is a λ -filtration if

(i) $T = \text{dom}(F) \subset \lambda^{<\omega}$,

DEFINITION. [J-M] F is a λ -filtration if

(i) $T = \text{dom}(F) \subset \lambda^{<\omega}$,

(ii) for each $t \in T$ there is $\omega \leq \mu_t \leq \lambda$ s.t.

$$S(t) = \{t \frown \alpha : \alpha < \mu_t\} \text{ and } F(t) \in \text{un}(\mu_t),$$

DEFINITION. [J-M] F is a λ -filtration if

(i) $T = \text{dom}(F) \subset \lambda^{<\omega}$,

(ii) for each $t \in T$ there is $\omega \leq \mu_t \leq \lambda$ s.t.

$$S(t) = \{t \frown \alpha : \alpha < \mu_t\} \text{ and } F(t) \in \text{un}(\mu_t),$$

(iii) moreover, for any $\mu < \lambda$ and $t \in T$:

$$\{\alpha : \mu_t \frown \alpha > \mu\} \in F(t).$$

DEFINITION. [J-M] F is a λ -filtration if

(i) $T = \text{dom}(F) \subset \lambda^{<\omega}$,

(ii) for each $t \in T$ there is $\omega \leq \mu_t \leq \lambda$ s.t.

$$S(t) = \{t \smallfrown \alpha : \alpha < \mu_t\} \text{ and } F(t) \in \text{un}(\mu_t),$$

(iii) moreover, for any $\mu < \lambda$ and $t \in T$:

$$\{\alpha : \mu_t \smallfrown \alpha > \mu\} \in F(t).$$

NOTE. If F is a λ -filtration then $|X(F)| = \Delta(X(F)) = \lambda$.

DEFINITION. [J-M] F is a λ -filtration if

(i) $T = \text{dom}(F) \subset \lambda^{<\omega}$,

(ii) for each $t \in T$ there is $\omega \leq \mu_t \leq \lambda$ s.t.

$$S(t) = \{t \frown \alpha : \alpha < \mu_t\} \text{ and } F(t) \in \text{un}(\mu_t),$$

(iii) moreover, for any $\mu < \lambda$ and $t \in T$:

$$\{\alpha : \mu_t \frown \alpha > \mu\} \in F(t).$$

NOTE. If F is a λ -filtration then $|X(F)| = \Delta(X(F)) = \lambda$.

– The λ -filtration F is **full** if $T = \text{dom}(F) = \lambda^{<\omega}$.

DEFINITION. [J-M] F is a λ -filtration if

(i) $T = \text{dom}(F) \subset \lambda^{<\omega}$,

(ii) for each $t \in T$ there is $\omega \leq \mu_t \leq \lambda$ s.t.

$$S(t) = \{t \frown \alpha : \alpha < \mu_t\} \text{ and } F(t) \in \text{un}(\mu_t),$$

(iii) moreover, for any $\mu < \lambda$ and $t \in T$:

$$\{\alpha : \mu_{t \frown \alpha} > \mu\} \in F(t).$$

NOTE. If F is a λ -filtration then $|X(F)| = \Delta(X(F)) = \lambda$.

– The λ -filtration F is **full** if $T = \text{dom}(F) = \lambda^{<\omega}$.

Full λ -filtrations were considered in [J-S-Sz].

reduction results

THEOREM [J-S-Sz]

THEOREM [J-S-Sz]

For $\kappa \leq \lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda)$, TFAEV

THEOREM [J-S-Sz]

For $\kappa \leq \lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda)$, TFAEV

– Every DSD space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.

THEOREM [J-S-Sz]

For $\kappa \leq \lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda)$, TFAEV

- Every DSD space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- Every MN space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.

THEOREM [J-S-Sz]

For $\kappa \leq \lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda)$, TFAEV

- Every DSD space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- Every MN space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- $X(F)$ is κ -resolvable for every **full λ -filtration** F .

THEOREM [J-S-Sz]

For $\kappa \leq \lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda)$, TFAEV

- Every DSD space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- Every MN space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- $X(F)$ is κ -resolvable for every **full λ -filtration** F .

THEOREM [J-M]

THEOREM [J-S-Sz]

For $\kappa \leq \lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda)$, TFAEV

- Every DSD space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- Every MN space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- $X(F)$ is κ -resolvable for every **full λ -filtration** F .

THEOREM [J-M]

For λ singular and $\text{cf}(\lambda)^+ < \kappa \leq \lambda$, TFAEV

THEOREM [J-S-Sz]

For $\kappa \leq \lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda)$, TFAEV

- Every DSD space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- Every MN space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- $X(F)$ is κ -resolvable for every **full λ -filtration** F .

THEOREM [J-M]

For λ singular and $\text{cf}(\lambda)^+ < \kappa \leq \lambda$, TFAEV

- Every DSD space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.

THEOREM [J-S-Sz]

For $\kappa \leq \lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda)$, TFAEV

- Every DSD space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- Every MN space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- $X(F)$ is κ -resolvable for every **full λ -filtration** F .

THEOREM [J-M]

For λ singular and $\text{cf}(\lambda)^+ < \kappa \leq \lambda$, TFAEV

- Every DSD space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- Every MN space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.

THEOREM [J-S-Sz]

For $\kappa \leq \lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda)$, TFAEV

- Every DSD space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- Every MN space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- $X(F)$ is κ -resolvable for every **full λ -filtration** F .

THEOREM [J-M]

For λ singular and $\text{cf}(\lambda)^+ < \kappa \leq \lambda$, TFAEV

- Every DSD space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- Every MN space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- $X(F)$ is κ -resolvable for every **λ -filtration** F .

THEOREM [J-S-Sz]

For $\kappa \leq \lambda = \text{cf}(\lambda)$, TFAEV

- Every DSD space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- Every MN space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- $X(F)$ is κ -resolvable for every full λ -filtration F .

THEOREM [J-M]

For λ singular and $\text{cf}(\lambda)^+ < \kappa \leq \lambda$, TFAEV

- Every DSD space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- Every MN space X with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$ is κ -resolvable.
- $X(F)$ is κ -resolvable for every λ -filtration F .

NOTE. In both results, the case $\kappa = \lambda$ is of main interest.

idea of proof

Lemma. [J-S-Sz]

If every $x \in X$ is the **complete accumulation point** of a **SD** set $Y \subset X$ with $|Y| = \lambda$ then there is a **full λ -filtration** F and a **one-one continuous** map $g : X(F) \rightarrow X$.

Lemma. [J-S-Sz]

If every $x \in X$ is the **complete accumulation point** of a **SD** set $Y \subset X$ with $|Y| = \lambda$ then there is a **full λ -filtration** F and a **one-one continuous** map $g : X(F) \rightarrow X$.

Assume that λ is **regular**, X is **DSD** with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$, and $x \in X$ is **not a complete accumulation point** of any **SD** set $Y \in [X]^\lambda$.

Lemma. [J-S-Sz]

If every $x \in X$ is the **complete accumulation point** of a **SD** set $Y \subset X$ with $|Y| = \lambda$ then there is a **full λ -filtration** F and a **one-one continuous** map $g : X(F) \rightarrow X$.

Assume that λ is **regular**, X is **DSD** with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$, and $x \in X$ is **not a complete accumulation point** of any **SD** set $Y \in [X]^\lambda$. Then $x \in T_\lambda(X)$.

Lemma. [J-S-Sz]

If every $x \in X$ is the **complete accumulation point** of a **SD** set $Y \subset X$ with $|Y| = \lambda$ then there is a **full λ -filtration** F and a **one-one continuous** map $g : X(F) \rightarrow X$.

Assume that λ is **regular**, X is **DSD** with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$, and $x \in X$ is **not a complete accumulation point** of any **SD** set $Y \in [X]^\lambda$. Then $x \in T_\lambda(X)$. But if $T_\lambda(X)$ is **dense** in X , then X is **λ -resolvable**.

Lemma. [J-S-Sz]

If every $x \in X$ is the **complete accumulation point** of a **SD** set $Y \subset X$ with $|Y| = \lambda$ then there is a **full λ -filtration** F and a **one-one continuous** map $g : X(F) \rightarrow X$.

Assume that λ is **regular**, X is **DSD** with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$, and $x \in X$ is **not a complete accumulation point** of any **SD** set $Y \in [X]^\lambda$. Then $x \in T_\lambda(X)$. But if $T_\lambda(X)$ is **dense** in X , then X is **λ -resolvable**.

This takes care of the case when λ is **regular**.

Lemma. [J-S-Sz]

If every $x \in X$ is the **complete accumulation point** of a **SD** set $Y \subset X$ with $|Y| = \lambda$ then there is a **full λ -filtration** F and a **one-one continuous** map $g : X(F) \rightarrow X$.

Assume that λ is **regular**, X is **DSD** with $|X| = \Delta(X) = \lambda$, and $x \in X$ is **not a complete accumulation point** of any **SD** set $Y \in [X]^\lambda$. Then $x \in T_\lambda(X)$. But if $T_\lambda(X)$ is **dense** in X , then X is **λ -resolvable**.

This takes care of the case when λ is **regular**.

The **singular** case (proved in [J-M]) is similar but more complicated.

λ -resolvability of λ -filtration spaces

THEOREM [J-M]

THEOREM [J-M]

If $\kappa \leq \lambda$ and F is a λ -filtration s.t.

THEOREM [J-M]

If $\kappa \leq \lambda$ and F is a λ -filtration s.t.

(i) for every $t \in T = \text{dom}(F)$, if $\mu_t \geq \kappa$ then $F(t)$ is κ -decomposable,

THEOREM [J-M]

If $\kappa \leq \lambda$ and F is a λ -filtration s.t.

(i) for every $t \in T = \text{dom}(F)$, if $\mu_t \geq \kappa$ then $F(t)$ is κ -decomposable,

(ii) for every $t \in T = \text{dom}(F)$ and $\mu \leq \kappa$,

$$\{\alpha < \mu_t : F(t \frown \alpha) \text{ is } \mu\text{-decomposable}\} \in F(t),$$

THEOREM [J-M]

If $\kappa \leq \lambda$ and F is a λ -filtration s.t.

- (i) for every $t \in T = \text{dom}(F)$, if $\mu_t \geq \kappa$ then $F(t)$ is κ -decomposable,
- (ii) for every $t \in T = \text{dom}(F)$ and $\mu \leq \kappa$,

$$\{\alpha < \mu_t : F(t \frown \alpha) \text{ is } \mu\text{-decomposable}\} \in F(t),$$

then $X(F)$ is κ -resolvable.

THEOREM [J-M]

If $\kappa \leq \lambda$ and F is a λ -filtration s.t.

- (i) for every $t \in T = \text{dom}(F)$, if $\mu_t \geq \kappa$ then $F(t)$ is κ -decomposable,
- (ii) for every $t \in T = \text{dom}(F)$ and $\mu \leq \kappa$,

$$\{\alpha < \mu_t : F(t \frown \alpha) \text{ is } \mu\text{-decomposable}\} \in F(t),$$

then $X(F)$ is κ -resolvable.

COROLLARY [J-M]

THEOREM [J-M]

If $\kappa \leq \lambda$ and F is a λ -filtration s.t.

- (i) for every $t \in T = \text{dom}(F)$, if $\mu_t \geq \kappa$ then $F(t)$ is κ -decomposable,
- (ii) for every $t \in T = \text{dom}(F)$ and $\mu \leq \kappa$,

$$\{\alpha < \mu_t : F(t \frown \alpha) \text{ is } \mu\text{-decomposable}\} \in F(t),$$

then $X(F)$ is κ -resolvable.

COROLLARY [J-M]

If every $\mathcal{F} \in \text{un}(\mu)$ is **maximally decomposable** whenever $\omega \leq \mu \leq \lambda$, then $X(F)$ is **λ -resolvable** for any λ -filtration F .