

# Pcf theory and cardinal invariants of the reals

**Lajos Soukup**

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics  
Hungarian Academy of Sciences

Winter School in Abstract Analysis  
section Set Theory

# The beginning

- Is every set definable?
- Is every set definable in some generic extension?
- Hamkin's question: **Is every set  $X$  definable in some cardinal preserving extension of the ground model?**
- What about  $X \subset \omega$ ?
- Cardinal exponentiation can not help: it may collapse cardinals
- Use the spectrum of some cardinal invariant to code!

# The beginning

- Is every set definable?
- Is every set definable in some generic extension?
- Hamkin's question: **Is every set  $X$  definable in some cardinal preserving extension of the ground model?**
- What about  $X \subset \omega$ ?
- Cardinal exponentiation can not help: it may collapse cardinals
- Use the spectrum of some cardinal invariant to code!

# The beginning

- Is every set definable?
- Is every set definable in some generic extension?
- Hamkin's question: **Is every set  $X$  definable in some cardinal preserving extension of the ground model?**
- What about  $X \subset \omega$ ?
- Cardinal exponentiation can not help: it may collapse cardinals
- Use the spectrum of some cardinal invariant to code!

# The beginning

- Is every set definable?
- Is every set definable in some generic extension?
- Hamkin's question: **Is every set  $X$  definable in some cardinal preserving extension of the ground model?**
- What about  $X \subset \omega$ ?
- Cardinal exponentiation can not help: it may collapse cardinals
- Use the spectrum of some cardinal invariant to code!

# The beginning

- Is every set definable?
- Is every set definable in some generic extension?
- Hamkin's question: **Is every set  $X$  definable in some cardinal preserving extension of the ground model?**
- What about  $X \subset \omega$ ?
  - Cardinal exponentiation can not help: it may collapse cardinals
  - Use the spectrum of some cardinal invariant to code!

# The beginning

- Is every set definable?
- Is every set definable in some generic extension?
- Hamkin's question: **Is every set  $X$  definable in some cardinal preserving extension of the ground model?**
- What about  $X \subset \omega$ ?
- Cardinal exponentiation can not help: it may collapse cardinals
- Use the spectrum of some cardinal invariant to code!

# The beginning

- Is every set definable?
- Is every set definable in some generic extension?
- Hamkin's question: **Is every set  $X$  definable in some cardinal preserving extension of the ground model?**
- What about  $X \subset \omega$ ?
- Cardinal exponentiation can not help: it may collapse cardinals
- Use the spectrum of some cardinal invariant to code!

# Coding by MADness

- $\mathfrak{a} = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega \text{ is MAD}\}$
- $\text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega \text{ is MAD}\}$
- Let  $X \subset \omega$ .

If CH holds then there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that

$$V^P \models "n \in X \text{ iff } \aleph_{n+1} \in \text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a})".$$

# Coding by MADness

- $\mathfrak{a} = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega \text{ is MAD}\}$
- $\text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega \text{ is MAD}\}$
- Let  $X \subset \omega$ .

If CH holds then there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that

$$V^P \models "n \in X \text{ iff } \aleph_{n+1} \in \text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a})".$$

# Coding by MADness

- $\alpha = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega \text{ is MAD}\}$
- $\text{spectrum}(\alpha) = \{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega \text{ is MAD}\}$
- Let  $X \subset \omega$ .

If CH holds then there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that

$$V^P \models "n \in X \text{ iff } \aleph_{n+1} \in \text{spectrum}(\alpha)".$$

# Coding by MADness

- $\alpha = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega \text{ is MAD}\}$
- $\text{spectrum}(\alpha) = \{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega \text{ is MAD}\}$
- Let  $X \subset \omega$ .

If CH holds then there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that

$$V^P \models "n \in X \text{ iff } \aleph_{n+1} \in \text{spectrum}(\alpha)".$$

# Coding by MADness

- $\alpha = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega \text{ is MAD}\}$
- $\text{spectrum}(\alpha) = \{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega \text{ is MAD}\}$
- Let  $X \subset \omega$ .

If CH holds then there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that

$$V^P \models "n \in X \text{ iff } \aleph_{n+1} \in \text{spectrum}(\alpha)".$$

# Coding by MADness

If CH holds then for each  $X \subset \omega$  there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that

$$V^P \models "n \in X \text{ iff } \aleph_{n+1} \in \text{spectrum}(a)".$$

- **Question:** Let  $X \subset \omega + \omega$ . Is there a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that  $V^P \models "n \in X \text{ iff } \aleph_{n+1} \in \text{spectrum}(a)",$
- No problem with  $\{\aleph_1, \aleph_2, \dots\}$  and  $\{\aleph_{\omega+2}, \aleph_{\omega+3}, \dots\}$
- **find a c.c.c poset  $P$  s.t.**  
 $V^P \models \{\aleph_n : 1 \leq n < \omega\} \subset \text{spectrum}(a) \wedge \aleph_{\omega+1} \notin \text{spectrum}(a)$

# Coding by MADness

If CH holds then for each  $X \subset \omega$  there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that

$$V^P \models "n \in X \text{ iff } \aleph_{n+1} \in \text{spectrum}(\alpha)".$$

- **Question:** Let  $X \subset \omega + \omega$ . Is there a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that  $V^P \models "n \in X \text{ iff } \aleph_{n+1} \in \text{spectrum}(\alpha)",$
- No problem with  $\{\aleph_1, \aleph_2, \dots\}$  and  $\{\aleph_{\omega+2}, \aleph_{\omega+3}, \dots\}$
- **find a c.c.c poset  $P$  s.t.**  
 $V^P \models \{\aleph_n : 1 \leq n < \omega\} \subset \text{spectrum}(\alpha) \wedge \aleph_{\omega+1} \notin \text{spectrum}(\alpha)$

# Coding by MADness

If CH holds then for each  $X \subset \omega$  there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that

$$V^P \models "n \in X \text{ iff } \aleph_{n+1} \in \text{spectrum}(\alpha)".$$

- **Question:** Let  $X \subset \omega + \omega$ . Is there a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that  $V^P \models "n \in X \text{ iff } \aleph_{n+1} \in \text{spectrum}(\alpha)",$
- No problem with  $\{\aleph_1, \aleph_2, \dots\}$  and  $\{\aleph_{\omega+2}, \aleph_{\omega+3}, \dots\}$
- **find a c.c.c poset  $P$  s.t.**  
 $V^P \models \{\aleph_n : 1 \leq n < \omega\} \subset \text{spectrum}(\alpha) \wedge \aleph_{\omega+1} \notin \text{spectrum}(\alpha)$

# Coding by MADness

If CH holds then for each  $X \subset \omega$  there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that

$$V^P \models "n \in X \text{ iff } \aleph_{n+1} \in \text{spectrum}(a)".$$

- **Question:** Let  $X \subset \omega + \omega$ . Is there a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that  $V^P \models "n \in X \text{ iff } \aleph_{n+1} \in \text{spectrum}(a)",$
- No problem with  $\{\aleph_1, \aleph_2, \dots\}$  and  $\{\aleph_{\omega+2}, \aleph_{\omega+3}, \dots\}$
- **find a c.c.c poset  $P$  s.t.**  
 $V^P \models \{\aleph_n : 1 \leq n < \omega\} \subset \text{spectrum}(a) \wedge \aleph_{\omega+1} \notin \text{spectrum}(a)$

# Spectrum of a cardinal invariant

## Characterize spectrum $(\mathfrak{x})$ for different cardinal invariants!

- $\mathfrak{x}$  cardinal invariant (e.g.  $\mathfrak{a}$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}$ )
- $\mathfrak{x} = \min\{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$  or  $\mathfrak{x} = \sup\{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$
- $\text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{x}) = \{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$

# Spectrum of a cardinal invariant

## Characterize spectrum $(\mathfrak{x})$ for different cardinal invariants!

- $\mathfrak{x}$  cardinal invariant (e.g.  $\alpha$ ,  $b$ )
- $\mathfrak{x} = \min\{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$  or  $\mathfrak{x} = \sup\{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$
- $\text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{x}) = \{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$

# Spectrum of a cardinal invariant

## Characterize spectrum $(\mathfrak{x})$ for different cardinal invariants!

- $\mathfrak{x}$  cardinal invariant (e.g.  $\aleph$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}$ )
- $\mathfrak{x} = \min\{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$  or  $\mathfrak{x} = \sup\{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$
- $\text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{x}) = \{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$

# Spectrum of a cardinal invariant

## Characterize spectrum $(\mathfrak{x})$ for different cardinal invariants!

- $\mathfrak{x}$  cardinal invariant (e.g.  $\aleph_1$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}$ )
- $\mathfrak{x} = \min\{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$  or  $\mathfrak{x} = \sup\{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$
- $\text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{x}) = \{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$

# Spectrum of a cardinal invariant

## Characterize spectrum $(\mathfrak{x})$ for different cardinal invariants!

- $\mathfrak{x}$  cardinal invariant (e.g.  $\aleph$ ,  $\mathfrak{b}$ )
- $\mathfrak{x} = \min\{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$  or  $\mathfrak{x} = \sup\{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$
- **spectrum**  $(\mathfrak{x}) = \{|X| : X \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathfrak{x}}\}$

# Cofinality spectrum of groups

- $S(\omega)$  the group of all permutations of the natural numbers
- Define the **cofinality spectrum** of  $S(\omega)$  as follows:
- $\lambda \in CF(S(\omega))$  iff  $S(\omega)$  is the union of an increasing chain of  $\lambda$  proper subgroups.
- Shelah and Thomas: (1) if  $\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\} \in [CF(S(\omega))]^\omega$  increasing then  $pcf(\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\}) \subset CF(S(\omega))$   
(2) IF GCH holds and  $K \subset Reg$  s.t (i), (ii) and (iii) hold, THEN  $CF(S(\omega)) = K$  in some c.c.c generic extension
- **Problem:** Full characterization of  $CF(S(\omega))$

# Cofinality spectrum of groups

- $S(\omega)$  the group of all permutations of the natural numbers
- Define the **cofinality spectrum** of  $S(\omega)$  as follows:
- $\lambda \in CF(S(\omega))$  iff  $S(\omega)$  is the union of an increasing chain of  $\lambda$  proper subgroups.
- Shelah and Thomas: (1) if  $\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\} \in [CF(S(\omega))]^\omega$  increasing then  $pcf(\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\}) \subset CF(S(\omega))$   
(2) IF GCH holds and  $K \subset Reg$  s.t (i), (ii) and (iii) hold, THEN  $CF(S(\omega)) = K$  in some c.c.c generic extension
- **Problem:** Full characterization of  $CF(S(\omega))$

# Cofinality spectrum of groups

- $S(\omega)$  the group of all permutations of the natural numbers
- Define the **cofinality spectrum** of  $S(\omega)$  as follows:
- $\lambda \in CF(S(\omega))$  iff  $S(\omega)$  is the union of an increasing chain of  $\lambda$  proper subgroups.
- Shelah and Thomas: (1) if  $\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\} \in [CF(S(\omega))]^\omega$  increasing then  $pcf(\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\}) \subset CF(S(\omega))$   
(2) IF GCH holds and  $K \subset Reg$  s.t (i), (ii) and (iii) hold, THEN  $CF(S(\omega)) = K$  in some c.c.c generic extension
- **Problem:** Full characterization of  $CF(S(\omega))$

# Cofinality spectrum of groups

- $S(\omega)$  the group of all permutations of the natural numbers
- Define the **cofinality spectrum** of  $S(\omega)$  as follows:
- $\lambda \in CF(S(\omega))$  iff  $S(\omega)$  is the union of an increasing chain of  $\lambda$  proper subgroups.
- Shelah and Thomas: (1) if  $\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\} \in [CF(S(\omega))]^\omega$  increasing then  $pcf(\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\}) \subset CF(S(\omega))$   
(2) IF GCH holds and  $K \subset Reg$  s.t (i), (ii) and (iii) hold, THEN  $CF(S(\omega)) = K$  in some c.c.c generic extension
- **Problem:** Full characterization of  $CF(S(\omega))$

# Cofinality spectrum of groups

- $S(\omega)$  the group of all permutations of the natural numbers
- Define the **cofinality spectrum** of  $S(\omega)$  as follows:
- $\lambda \in CF(S(\omega))$  iff  $S(\omega)$  is the union of an increasing chain of  $\lambda$  proper subgroups.
- Shelah and Thomas: (1) if  $\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\} \in [CF(S(\omega))]^\omega$  increasing then  $pcf(\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\}) \subset CF(S(\omega))$   
(2) IF GCH holds and  $K \subset Reg$  s.t (i), (ii) and (iii) hold, THEN  $CF(S(\omega)) = K$  in some c.c.c generic extension
- **Problem:** Full characterization of  $CF(S(\omega))$

# Cofinality spectrum of groups

- $S(\omega)$  the group of all permutations of the natural numbers
- Define the **cofinality spectrum** of  $S(\omega)$  as follows:
- $\lambda \in CF(S(\omega))$  iff  $S(\omega)$  is the union of an increasing chain of  $\lambda$  proper subgroups.
- Shelah and Thomas: (1) if  $\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\} \in [CF(S(\omega))]^\omega$  increasing then  $pcf(\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\}) \subset CF(S(\omega))$   
(2) **IF** GCH holds and  $K \subset Reg$  s.t **(i)**, **(ii)** and **(iii)** hold, **THEN**  $CF(S(\omega)) = K$  in some c.c.c generic extension
- **Problem:** Full characterization of  $CF(S(\omega))$

# Cofinality spectrum of groups

- $S(\omega)$  the group of all permutations of the natural numbers
- Define the **cofinality spectrum** of  $S(\omega)$  as follows:
- $\lambda \in CF(S(\omega))$  iff  $S(\omega)$  is the union of an increasing chain of  $\lambda$  proper subgroups.
- Shelah and Thomas: (1) if  $\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\} \in [CF(S(\omega))]^\omega$  increasing then  $pcf(\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\}) \subset CF(S(\omega))$   
(2) **IF** GCH holds and  $K \subset Reg$  s.t. **(i)**, **(ii)** and **(iii)** hold, **THEN**  $CF(S(\omega)) = K$  in some c.c.c generic extension
- **Problem:** Full characterization of  $CF(S(\omega))$

# Cofinality spectrum of groups

- $S(\omega)$  the group of all permutations of the natural numbers
- Define the **cofinality spectrum** of  $S(\omega)$  as follows:
- $\lambda \in CF(S(\omega))$  iff  $S(\omega)$  is the union of an increasing chain of  $\lambda$  proper subgroups.
- Shelah and Thomas: (1) if  $\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\} \in [CF(S(\omega))]^\omega$  increasing then  $pcf(\{\kappa_n : n < \omega\}) \subset CF(S(\omega))$   
(2) **IF** GCH holds and  $K \subset Reg$  s.t. **(i)**, **(ii)** and **(iii)** hold, **THEN**  $CF(S(\omega)) = K$  in some c.c.c generic extension
- **Problem:** Full characterization of  $CF(S(\omega))$

# The spectrum of $\mathfrak{b}$

Shelah-Thomas:  $CF(S(\omega))$  is pcf-closed.

- What about the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{b}$ ?
- What is the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{b}$ ?
- $\mathfrak{b}$  is the minimal size of an unbounded chain in  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$
- *chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) =  
 $\{\kappa \in \aleph_{\text{reg}} : \exists \text{ unbounded } \kappa\text{-chain in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$

# The spectrum of $\mathfrak{b}$

Shelah-Thomas:  $CF(S(\omega))$  is pcf-closed.

- What about the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{b}$ ?
- What is the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{b}$ ?
- $\mathfrak{b}$  is the minimal size of an unbounded chain in  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$
- *chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) =  
 $\{\kappa \in \aleph_{\text{reg}} : \exists \text{ unbounded } \kappa\text{-chain in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$

# The spectrum of $\mathfrak{b}$

Shelah-Thomas:  $CF(S(\omega))$  is pcf-closed.

- What about the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{b}$ ?
- What is the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{b}$ ?
- $\mathfrak{b}$  is the minimal size of an unbounded chain in  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$
- *chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) =  
 $\{\kappa \in \aleph_{\text{reg}} : \exists \text{ unbounded } \kappa\text{-chain in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$

# The spectrum of $\mathfrak{b}$

Shelah-Thomas:  $CF(S(\omega))$  is pcf-closed.

- What about the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{b}$ ?
- What is the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{b}$ ?
- $\mathfrak{b}$  is the minimal size of an unbounded chain in  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$
- *chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) =  
 $\{\kappa \in \mathfrak{Reg} : \exists \text{ unbounded } \kappa\text{-chain in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$

# The spectrum of $\mathfrak{b}$

Shelah-Thomas:  $CF(S(\omega))$  is pcf-closed.

- What about the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{b}$ ?
- What is the spectrum of  $\mathfrak{b}$ ?
- $\mathfrak{b}$  is the minimal size of an unbounded chain in  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$
- *chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) =  
 $\{\kappa \in \aleph_{\text{reg}} : \exists \text{ unbounded } \kappa\text{-chain in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$

# The spectrum of $\mathfrak{b}$

*chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) =  
 $\{\kappa \in \mathfrak{Reg} : \exists \text{ unbounded } \kappa\text{-chain in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$

## Theorem (Farah)

*Assume GCH. Given any set  $K$  of uncountable regular cardinals there is a c.c.c poset  $\mathcal{H}_K$  s. t.*

$V^{\mathcal{H}_K} \models \text{chain – spectrum}(\mathfrak{b}) = K \cup \{\aleph_1\}$ .

# The spectrum of $\mathfrak{b}$

*chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) =  
 $\{\kappa \in \mathfrak{R}eg : \exists \text{ unbounded } \kappa\text{-chain in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$

## Theorem (Farah)

*Assume GCH. Given any set  $K$  of uncountable regular cardinals there is a c.c.c poset  $\mathcal{H}_K$  s. t.*

$V^{\mathcal{H}_K} \models \text{chain – spectrum}(\mathfrak{b}) = K \cup \{\aleph_1\}$ .

# Additivity spectrum of ideals

*chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) can be “arbitrary”

- $\mathcal{B} = \{B \subset \omega^\omega : B \text{ is } \leq^* \text{-bounded in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$
- $\Phi(\mathfrak{b}) = \{x \in \omega^\omega : x \leq^* b\}$
- $\Phi$  embeds  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  into  $\langle \mathcal{B}, \subset \rangle$
- $\mathcal{B}$  the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by the compact subsets of the irrationals.
- $\mathfrak{b} = \text{add}(\mathcal{B})$
- If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal, let  $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  be the **additivity spectrum of  $\mathcal{I}$** :  
 $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  iff  
there is an increasing chain  $\{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{I}$  with  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}$ .
- $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{B}) \supseteq \text{chain – spectrum}(\mathfrak{b})$ .

# Additivity spectrum of ideals

*chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) can be “arbitrary”

- $\mathcal{B} = \{B \subset \omega^\omega : B \text{ is } \leq^* \text{-bounded in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$
- $\Phi(b) = \{x \in \omega^\omega : x \leq^* b\}$
- $\Phi$  embeds  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  into  $\langle \mathcal{B}, \subset \rangle$
- $\mathcal{B}$  the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by the compact subsets of the irrationals.
- $\mathfrak{b} = \text{add}(\mathcal{B})$
- If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal, let  $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  be the **additivity spectrum of  $\mathcal{I}$** :  
 $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  iff  
there is an increasing chain  $\{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{I}$  with  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}$ .
- $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{B}) \supseteq \text{chain – spectrum}(\mathfrak{b})$ .

# Additivity spectrum of ideals

*chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) can be “arbitrary”

- $\mathcal{B} = \{B \subset \omega^\omega : B \text{ is } \leq^* \text{-bounded in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$
- $\Phi(\mathfrak{b}) = \{x \in \omega^\omega : x \leq^* b\}$
- $\Phi$  embeds  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  into  $\langle \mathcal{B}, \subset \rangle$
- $\mathcal{B}$  the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by the compact subsets of the irrationals.
- $\mathfrak{b} = \text{add}(\mathcal{B})$
- If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal, let  $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  be the **additivity spectrum of  $\mathcal{I}$** :  
 $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  iff  
there is an increasing chain  $\{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{I}$  with  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}$ .
- $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{B}) \supseteq \text{chain – spectrum}(\mathfrak{b})$ .

# Additivity spectrum of ideals

*chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) can be “arbitrary”

- $\mathcal{B} = \{B \subset \omega^\omega : B \text{ is } \leq^* \text{-bounded in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$
- $\Phi(\mathfrak{b}) = \{x \in \omega^\omega : x \leq^* b\}$
- $\Phi$  embeds  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  into  $\langle \mathcal{B}, \subset \rangle$
- $\mathcal{B}$  the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by the compact subsets of the irrationals.
- $\mathfrak{b} = \text{add}(\mathcal{B})$
- If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal, let  $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  be the **additivity spectrum of  $\mathcal{I}$** :  
 $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  iff  
there is an increasing chain  $\{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{I}$  with  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}$ .
- $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{B}) \supseteq \text{chain – spectrum}(\mathfrak{b})$ .

# Additivity spectrum of ideals

*chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) can be “arbitrary”

- $\mathcal{B} = \{B \subset \omega^\omega : B \text{ is } \leq^* \text{-bounded in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$
- $\Phi(b) = \{x \in \omega^\omega : x \leq^* b\}$
- $\Phi$  embeds  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  into  $\langle \mathcal{B}, \subset \rangle$
- $\mathcal{B}$  the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by the compact subsets of the irrationals.
- $\mathfrak{b} = \text{add}(\mathcal{B})$
- If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal, let  $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  be the **additivity spectrum of  $\mathcal{I}$** :  
 $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  iff  
there is an increasing chain  $\{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{I}$  with  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}$ .
- $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{B}) \supseteq \text{chain – spectrum}(\mathfrak{b})$ .

# Additivity spectrum of ideals

*chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) can be “arbitrary”

- $\mathcal{B} = \{B \subset \omega^\omega : B \text{ is } \leq^* \text{-bounded in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$
- $\Phi(\mathfrak{b}) = \{x \in \omega^\omega : x \leq^* b\}$
- $\Phi$  embeds  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  into  $\langle \mathcal{B}, \subset \rangle$
- $\mathcal{B}$  the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by the compact subsets of the irrationals.
- $\mathfrak{b} = \text{add}(\mathcal{B})$
- If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal, let  $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  be the **additivity spectrum of  $\mathcal{I}$** :  
 $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  iff  
there is an increasing chain  $\{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{I}$  with  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}$ .
- $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{B}) \supseteq \text{chain – spectrum}(\mathfrak{b})$ .

# Additivity spectrum of ideals

*chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) can be “arbitrary”

- $\mathcal{B} = \{B \subset \omega^\omega : B \text{ is } \leq^* \text{-bounded in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$
- $\Phi(\mathfrak{b}) = \{x \in \omega^\omega : x \leq^* b\}$
- $\Phi$  embeds  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  into  $\langle \mathcal{B}, \subset \rangle$
- $\mathcal{B}$  the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by the compact subsets of the irrationals.
- $\mathfrak{b} = \text{add}(\mathcal{B})$
- If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal, let  $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  be **the additivity spectrum of  $\mathcal{I}$** :  
 $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  iff  
there is an increasing chain  $\{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{I}$  with  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}$ .
- $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{B}) \supseteq \text{chain – spectrum}(\mathfrak{b})$ .

# Additivity spectrum of ideals

*chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) can be “arbitrary”

- $\mathcal{B} = \{B \subset \omega^\omega : B \text{ is } \leq^* \text{-bounded in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$
- $\Phi(\mathfrak{b}) = \{x \in \omega^\omega : x \leq^* b\}$
- $\Phi$  embeds  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  into  $\langle \mathcal{B}, \subset \rangle$
- $\mathcal{B}$  the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by the compact subsets of the irrationals.
- $\mathfrak{b} = \text{add}(\mathcal{B})$
- If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal, let  $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  be **the additivity spectrum of  $\mathcal{I}$** :  
 $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  iff  
there is an increasing chain  $\{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{I}$  with  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}$ .
- $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{B}) \supseteq \text{chain – spectrum}(\mathfrak{b})$ .

# Additivity spectrum of ideals

*chain – spectrum*( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) can be “arbitrary”

- $\mathcal{B} = \{B \subset \omega^\omega : B \text{ is } \leq^* \text{-bounded in } \langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle\}$
- $\Phi(\mathfrak{b}) = \{x \in \omega^\omega : x \leq^* b\}$
- $\Phi$  embeds  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  into  $\langle \mathcal{B}, \subset \rangle$
- $\mathcal{B}$  the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by the compact subsets of the irrationals.
- $\mathfrak{b} = \text{add}(\mathcal{B})$
- If  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal, let  $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  be **the additivity spectrum of  $\mathcal{I}$** :  
 $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  iff  
there is an increasing chain  $\{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{I}$  with  $\bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}$ .
- $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{B}) \supseteq \text{chain – spectrum}(\mathfrak{b})$ .

# Additivity spectrum of ideals

$$\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}) = \{\kappa \in \mathfrak{Reg} : \exists \{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \nearrow \mathcal{I} \text{ with } \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}\}.$$

- For  $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ , let  
 $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C) = \{\kappa \in \mathfrak{Reg} : \exists \text{ increasing } \{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{I} \text{ s.t. } \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha = C\}$
- $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}) = \bigcup \{\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C) : C \in \mathcal{I}^+\}.$

## Theorem

Assume that  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete ideal,  $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ , and  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$  is countable. Then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$ .

# Additivity spectrum of ideals

$\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}) = \{\kappa \in \mathfrak{Reg} : \exists \{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \nearrow \mathcal{I} \text{ with } \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}\}.$

- For  $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ , let

$\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C) = \{\kappa \in \mathfrak{Reg} :$

$\exists \text{ increasing } \{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{I} \text{ s.t. } \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha = C\}$

- $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}) = \bigcup \{\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C) : C \in \mathcal{I}^+\}.$

## Theorem

*Assume that  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete ideal,  $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ , and  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$  is countable. Then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$ .*

# Additivity spectrum of ideals

$$\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}) = \{\kappa \in \mathfrak{Reg} : \exists \{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \nearrow \mathcal{I} \text{ with } \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}\}.$$

- For  $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ , let

$$\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C) = \{\kappa \in \mathfrak{Reg} :$$

$$\exists \text{ increasing } \{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{I} \text{ s.t. } \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha = C\}$$

- $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}) = \bigcup \{\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C) : C \in \mathcal{I}^+\}.$

## Theorem

*Assume that  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete ideal,  $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ , and  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$  is countable. Then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$ .*

# Additivity spectrum of ideals

$\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}) = \{\kappa \in \mathfrak{Reg} : \exists \{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \nearrow \mathcal{I} \text{ with } \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha \notin \mathcal{I}\}.$

- For  $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ , let

$\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C) = \{\kappa \in \mathfrak{Reg} :$

$\exists \text{ increasing } \{C_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \mathcal{I} \text{ s.t. } \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha = C\}$

- $\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}) = \bigcup \{\text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C) : C \in \mathcal{I}^+\}.$

## Theorem

*Assume that  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete ideal,  $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ , and  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$  is countable. Then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$ .*

## $pcf(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{C})$ for a countable $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{C})$

- For  $a \in A$  let  $\{C_\alpha^a : \alpha < a\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ , increasing,  $\bigcup\{C_\alpha^a : \alpha < a\} = \mathbf{C}$ .
- Let  $\kappa \in pcf(A)$ . Fix an **ultrafilter**  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $A$  such that  $\text{cf}(\prod A/\mathcal{U}) = \kappa$
- Let  $\{g_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \prod A$  be  $\leq_{\mathcal{U}}$ -increasing,  $\leq_{\mathcal{U}}$ -cofinal sequence.
- For  $g \in \prod A$  let  $U(g) = \{x \in I : \{a \in A : x \in C_{g(a)}^a\} \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .
- $\langle U(g_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  witnesses that  $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{C})$

$pcf(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  for a (countable)  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$ ?

$pcf(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{C})$  for a countable  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{C})$

- For  $\mathbf{a} \in A$  let  $\{\mathbf{C}_\alpha^{\mathbf{a}} : \alpha < \mathbf{a}\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ , increasing,  $\bigcup\{\mathbf{C}_\alpha^{\mathbf{a}} : \alpha < \mathbf{a}\} = \mathbf{C}$ .
- Let  $\kappa \in pcf(A)$ . Fix an **ultrafilter**  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $A$  such that  $\text{cf}(\prod A/\mathcal{U}) = \kappa$
- Let  $\{g_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \prod A$  be  $\leq_{\mathcal{U}}$ -increasing,  $\leq_{\mathcal{U}}$ -cofinal sequence.
- For  $g \in \prod A$  let  $U(g) = \{x \in I : \{a \in A : x \in \mathbf{C}_{g(a)}^{\mathbf{a}}\} \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .
- $\langle U(g_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  witnesses that  $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{C})$

$pcf(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  for a (countable)  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$ ?

$pcf(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{C})$  for a countable  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{C})$

- For  $a \in A$  let  $\{C_\alpha^a : \alpha < a\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ , increasing,  $\bigcup\{C_\alpha^a : \alpha < a\} = \mathbf{C}$ .
- Let  $\kappa \in pcf(A)$ . Fix an **ultrafilter**  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $A$  such that  $\text{cf}(\prod A/\mathcal{U}) = \kappa$
- Let  $\{g_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \prod A$  be  $\leq_{\mathcal{U}}$ -increasing,  $\leq_{\mathcal{U}}$ -cofinal sequence.
- For  $g \in \prod A$  let  $U(g) = \{x \in I : \{a \in A : x \in C_{g(a)}^a\} \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .
- $\langle U(g_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  witnesses that  $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathbf{C})$

$pcf(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  for a (countable)  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$ ?

$pcf(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$  for a countable  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$

- For  $a \in A$  let  $\{C_\alpha^a : \alpha < a\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ , increasing,  $\bigcup\{C_\alpha^a : \alpha < a\} = \mathcal{C}$ .
- Let  $\kappa \in pcf(A)$ . Fix an **ultrafilter**  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $A$  such that  $\text{cf}(\prod A/\mathcal{U}) = \kappa$
- Let  $\{g_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \prod A$  be  $\leq_{\mathcal{U}}$ -increasing,  $\leq_{\mathcal{U}}$ -cofinal sequence.
- For  $g \in \prod A$  let  $U(g) = \{x \in I : \{a \in A : x \in C_{g(a)}^a\} \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .
- $\langle U(g_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  witnesses that  $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$

$pcf(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  for a (countable)  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$ ?

$pcf(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$  for a countable  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$

- For  $a \in A$  let  $\{C_\alpha^a : \alpha < a\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ , increasing,  $\bigcup\{C_\alpha^a : \alpha < a\} = \mathcal{C}$ .
- Let  $\kappa \in pcf(A)$ . Fix an **ultrafilter**  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $A$  such that  $\text{cf}(\prod A/\mathcal{U}) = \kappa$
- Let  $\{g_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \prod A$  be  $\leq_{\mathcal{U}}$ -increasing,  $\leq_{\mathcal{U}}$ -cofinal sequence.
- For  $g \in \prod A$  let  $U(g) = \{x \in I : \{a \in A : x \in C_{g(a)}^a\} \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .
- $\langle U(g_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  witnesses that  $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$

$pcf(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  for a (countable)  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$ ?

$pcf(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$  for a countable  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$

- For  $a \in A$  let  $\{C_\alpha^a : \alpha < a\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ , increasing,  $\bigcup\{C_\alpha^a : \alpha < a\} = \mathcal{C}$ .
- Let  $\kappa \in pcf(A)$ . Fix an **ultrafilter**  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $A$  such that  $\text{cf}(\prod A/\mathcal{U}) = \kappa$
- Let  $\{g_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \prod A$  be  $\leq_{\mathcal{U}}$ -increasing,  $\leq_{\mathcal{U}}$ -cofinal sequence.
- For  $g \in \prod A$  let  $U(g) = \{x \in I : \{a \in A : x \in C_{g(a)}^a\} \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .
- $\langle U(g_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  witnesses that  $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$

$pcf(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  for a (countable)  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$ ?

$pcf(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$  for a countable  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$

- For  $a \in A$  let  $\{C_\alpha^a : \alpha < a\} \subset \mathcal{I}$ , increasing,  $\bigcup\{C_\alpha^a : \alpha < a\} = \mathcal{C}$ .
- Let  $\kappa \in pcf(A)$ . Fix an **ultrafilter**  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $A$  such that  $\text{cf}(\prod A/\mathcal{U}) = \kappa$
- Let  $\{g_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subset \prod A$  be  $\leq_{\mathcal{U}}$ -increasing,  $\leq_{\mathcal{U}}$ -cofinal sequence.
- For  $g \in \prod A$  let  $U(g) = \{x \in I : \{a \in A : x \in C_{g(a)}^a\} \in \mathcal{U}\}$ .
- $\langle U(g_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$  witnesses that  $\kappa \in \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{C})$

$pcf(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  for a (countable)  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$ ?

# The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ : restrictions

Assume that  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete ideal,  $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ , and  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$  is countable. Then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$ .

## Theorem

*If  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})$  is countable, then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})$ .*

- If  $A \in [\text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})]^\omega$  then  
there is  $C \in \mathcal{N}^+$  such that  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N}, C)$ .

**What about  $\mathcal{M}$ ?**

# The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ : restrictions

Assume that  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete ideal,  $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ , and  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$  is countable. Then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$ .

## Theorem

*If  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})$  is countable, then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})$ .*

- If  $A \in [\text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})]^\omega$  then  
there is  $C \in \mathcal{N}^+$  such that  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N}, C)$ .

What about  $\mathcal{M}$ ?

# The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ : restrictions

Assume that  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete ideal,  $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ , and  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$  is countable. Then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$ .

## Theorem

*If  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})$  is countable, then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})$ .*

- If  $A \in [\text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})]^\omega$  then there is  $C \in \mathcal{N}^+$  such that  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N}, C)$ .

What about  $\mathcal{M}$ ?

## The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ : restrictions

Assume that  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete ideal,  $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ , and  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$  is countable. Then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$ .

### Theorem

*If  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})$  is countable, then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})$ .*

- If  $A \in [\text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})]^\omega$  then there is  $C \in \mathcal{N}^+$  such that  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N}, C)$ .

**What about  $\mathcal{M}$ ?**

## The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ : restrictions

Assume that  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete ideal,  $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ , and  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$  is countable. Then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$ .

### Theorem

If  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})$  is countable, then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})$ .

### Theorem (Thümmel)

If  $A \in [\text{ADD}(\mathcal{M})]^\omega$ , then  
there is  $C \in \mathcal{M}^+$  such that  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{M}, C)$ .

### Corollary

If  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{M})$  is countable, then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{M})$ .

## The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ : restrictions

Assume that  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{P}(I)$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete ideal,  $C \in \mathcal{I}^+$ , and  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$  is countable. Then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I}, C)$ .

### Theorem

If  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})$  is countable, then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{N})$ .

### Theorem (Thümmel)

If  $A \in [\text{ADD}(\mathcal{M})]^\omega$ , then  
there is  $C \in \mathcal{M}^+$  such that  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{M}, C)$ .

### Corollary

If  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{M})$  is countable, then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{M})$ .

# The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ : restrictions

If  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M}$  or  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$ , and  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  is countable, then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$

$\mathcal{B}$  is the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by the compact subsets of the irrationals.

## Theorem

If  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{B})$  is progressive and  $|A| < \mathfrak{h}$ , then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{B})$ .

## The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ : restrictions

If  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M}$  or  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$ , and  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  is countable, then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$

$\mathcal{B}$  is the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by the compact subsets of the irrationals.

### Theorem

*If  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{B})$  is progressive and  $|A| < \mathfrak{h}$ , then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{B})$ .*

## The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ : restrictions

If  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M}$  or  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$ , and  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  is countable, then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$

$\mathcal{B}$  is the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by the compact subsets of the irrationals.

### Theorem

If  $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{B})$  is progressive and  $|A| < \mathfrak{h}$ , then  $\text{pcf}(A) \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{B})$ .

# The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ : construction

## Theorem

Assume that  $\mathcal{I}$  is one of the ideals  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  **and**  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $A = \text{pcf}(A)$  is a non-empty set of uncountable regular cardinals,  $|A| < \min(A)^{+n}$  for some  $n \in \omega$ , then  $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  in some c.c.c generic extension  $V^P$ .

The ideals  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  have the **Hechler property**

$\mathcal{I}$  has the **Hechler property** iff given any  $\sigma$ -directed poset  $Q$  there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that

$V^P \models Q$  is order-isomorphic to a cofinal subset of  $\langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$ .

# The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ : construction

## Theorem

Assume that  $\mathcal{I}$  is one of the ideals  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  **and**  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $A = \text{pcf}(A)$  is a non-empty set of uncountable regular cardinals,  $|A| < \min(A)^{+n}$  for some  $n \in \omega$ , then  $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  in some c.c.c generic extension  $V^P$ .

The ideals  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  have the **Hechler property**

$\mathcal{I}$  has the **Hechler property** iff given any  $\sigma$ -directed poset  $Q$  there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that

$V^P \models Q$  is order-isomorphic to a cofinal subset of  $\langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$ .

# The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ : construction

## Theorem

Assume that  $\mathcal{I}$  is one of the ideals  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  **and**  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $A = \text{pcf}(A)$  is a non-empty set of uncountable regular cardinals,  $|A| < \min(A)^{+n}$  for some  $n \in \omega$ , then  $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  in some c.c.c generic extension  $V^P$ .

The ideals  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  have the **Hechler property**

$\mathcal{I}$  has the **Hechler property** iff given any  $\sigma$ -directed poset  $Q$  there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that

$V^P \models Q$  is order-isomorphic to a cofinal subset of  $\langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$ .

# The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ : construction

## Theorem

Assume that  $\mathcal{I}$  is one of the ideals  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  **and**  $\mathcal{N}$ . If  $A = \text{pcf}(A)$  is a non-empty set of uncountable regular cardinals,  $|A| < \min(A)^{+n}$  for some  $n \in \omega$ , then  $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  in some c.c.c generic extension  $V^P$ .

The ideals  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  have the **Hechler property**

$\mathcal{I}$  has the **Hechler property** iff given any  $\sigma$ -directed poset  $Q$  there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  such that

$V^P \models Q$  is order-isomorphic to a cofinal subset of  $\langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$ .

# Hechler property

$\mathcal{I}$  has the **Hechler property** iff given any  $\sigma$ -directed poset  $Q$  there is a c.c.c. poset  $P$  such that

$V^P \models$  a cofinal subset  $\{I_q : q \in Q\}$  of  $\langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$  is **isomorphic to**  $Q$ .

- **Hechler**:  $\mathcal{B}$  has the Hechler property,
  - ▶ Hechler:  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  has the Hechler property.
- **Bartoszynski** and **Kada**:  $\mathcal{M}$  has the Hechler property,
- **Burke** and **Kada**:  $\mathcal{N}$  has the Hechler property.

# Hechler property

$\mathcal{I}$  has the **Hechler property** iff given any  $\sigma$ -directed poset  $Q$  there is a c.c.c. poset  $P$  such that

$V^P \models$  a cofinal subset  $\{I_q : q \in Q\}$  of  $\langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$  is **isomorphic to**  $Q$ .

- **Hechler**:  $\mathcal{B}$  has the Hechler property,
  - ▶ Hechler:  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  has the Hechler property,
- **Bartoszynski** and **Kada**:  $\mathcal{M}$  has the Hechler property,
- **Burke** and **Kada**:  $\mathcal{N}$  has the Hechler property.

# Hechler property

$\mathcal{I}$  has the **Hechler property** iff given any  $\sigma$ -directed poset  $Q$  there is a c.c.c. poset  $P$  such that

$V^P \models$  a cofinal subset  $\{I_q : q \in Q\}$  of  $\langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$  is **isomorphic to**  $Q$ .

- **Hechler**:  $\mathcal{B}$  has the Hechler property,
  - ▶ Hechler:  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  has the Hechler property,
- **Bartoszynski** and **Kada**:  $\mathcal{M}$  has the Hechler property,
- **Burke** and **Kada**:  $\mathcal{N}$  has the Hechler property.

# Hechler property

$\mathcal{I}$  has the **Hechler property** iff given any  $\sigma$ -directed poset  $Q$  there is a c.c.c. poset  $P$  such that

$V^P \models$  a cofinal subset  $\{I_q : q \in Q\}$  of  $\langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$  is **isomorphic to**  $Q$ .

- **Hechler**:  $\mathcal{B}$  has the Hechler property,
  - ▶ Hechler:  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  has the Hechler property,
- **Bartoszynski** and **Kada**:  $\mathcal{M}$  has the Hechler property,
- **Burke** and **Kada**:  $\mathcal{N}$  has the Hechler property.

# Hechler property

$\mathcal{I}$  has the **Hechler property** iff given any  $\sigma$ -directed poset  $Q$  there is a c.c.c. poset  $P$  such that

$V^P \models$  a cofinal subset  $\{I_q : q \in Q\}$  of  $\langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$  is **isomorphic to**  $Q$ .

- **Hechler**:  $\mathcal{B}$  has the Hechler property,
  - ▶ Hechler:  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  has the Hechler property,
- **Bartoszynski** and **Kada**:  $\mathcal{M}$  has the Hechler property,
- **Burke** and **Kada**:  $\mathcal{N}$  has the Hechler property.

# How to obtain a model of $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$ ?

- $A = \text{pcf}(A)$ ,  $|A| < \min(A)^{+n}$
- $\mathcal{Q} = \langle \prod A, \leq \rangle$ .
- $\mathcal{I}$  has the Hechler property:  $f : \langle \mathcal{Q}, \leq \rangle \leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$
- $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  is easy
- Need:  $\lambda \notin A$  then  $\lambda \notin \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$
- Key observation:  
If  $B = \text{pcf}(B)$  is a **progressive set of regular cardinals**,  $\lambda \notin B$ , then for each  $\{f_i : i < \lambda\} \subset \prod B$  there is  $g \in \prod B$  such that  $|\{i : f_i \leq g\}| = \lambda$ .

# How to obtain a model of $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$ ?

- $A = \text{pcf}(A)$ ,  $|A| < \min(A)^{+n}$
- $\mathcal{Q} = \langle \prod A, \leq \rangle$ .
- $\mathcal{I}$  has the Hechler property:  $f : \langle \mathcal{Q}, \leq \rangle \leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$
- $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  is easy
- Need:  $\lambda \notin A$  then  $\lambda \notin \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$
- Key observation:  
If  $B = \text{pcf}(B)$  is a **progressive set of regular cardinals**,  $\lambda \notin B$ ,  
then for each  $\{f_i : i < \lambda\} \subset \prod B$  there is  $g \in \prod B$  such that  
 $|\{i : f_i \leq g\}| = \lambda$ .

# How to obtain a model of $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$ ?

- $A = \text{pcf}(A)$ ,  $|A| < \min(A)^{+n}$
- $Q = \langle \prod A, \leq \rangle$ .
- $\mathcal{I}$  has the Hechler property:  $f : \langle Q, \leq \rangle \leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$
- $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  is easy
- Need:  $\lambda \notin A$  then  $\lambda \notin \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$
- Key observation:  
If  $B = \text{pcf}(B)$  is a **progressive set of regular cardinals**,  $\lambda \notin B$ ,  
then for each  $\{f_i : i < \lambda\} \subset \prod B$  there is  $g \in \prod B$  such that  
 $|\{i : f_i \leq g\}| = \lambda$ .

# How to obtain a model of $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$ ?

- $A = \text{pcf}(A)$ ,  $|A| < \min(A)^{+n}$
- $\mathcal{Q} = \langle \prod A, \leq \rangle$ .
- $\mathcal{I}$  has the Hechler property:  $f : \langle \mathcal{Q}, \leq \rangle \leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$
- $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  is easy
- Need:  $\lambda \notin A$  then  $\lambda \notin \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$
- Key observation:  
If  $B = \text{pcf}(B)$  is a **progressive set of regular cardinals**,  $\lambda \notin B$ ,  
then for each  $\{f_i : i < \lambda\} \subset \prod B$  there is  $g \in \prod B$  such that  
 $|\{i : f_i \leq g\}| = \lambda$ .

# How to obtain a model of $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$ ?

- $A = \text{pcf}(A)$ ,  $|A| < \min(A)^{+n}$
- $\mathcal{Q} = \langle \prod A, \leq \rangle$ .
- $\mathcal{I}$  has the Hechler property:  $f : \langle \mathcal{Q}, \leq \rangle \leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$
- $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  is easy
- Need:  $\lambda \notin A$  then  $\lambda \notin \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$
- Key observation:  
If  $B = \text{pcf}(B)$  is a **progressive set of regular cardinals**,  $\lambda \notin B$ ,  
then for each  $\{f_i : i < \lambda\} \subset \prod B$  there is  $g \in \prod B$  such that  
 $|\{i : f_i \leq g\}| = \lambda$ .

# How to obtain a model of $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$ ?

- $A = \text{pcf}(A)$ ,  $|A| < \min(A)^{+n}$
- $\mathcal{Q} = \langle \prod A, \leq \rangle$ .
- $\mathcal{I}$  has the Hechler property:  $f : \langle \mathcal{Q}, \leq \rangle \leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$
- $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  is easy
- Need:  $\lambda \notin A$  then  $\lambda \notin \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$
- Key observation:  
If  $B = \text{pcf}(B)$  is a **progressive set of regular cardinals**,  $\lambda \notin B$ ,  
then for each  $\{f_i : i < \lambda\} \subset \prod B$  there is  $g \in \prod B$  such that  
 $|\{i : f_i \leq g\}| = \lambda$ .

# How to obtain a model of $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$ ?

- $A = \text{pcf}(A)$ ,  $|A| < \min(A)^{+n}$
- $\mathcal{Q} = \langle \prod A, \leq \rangle$ .
- $\mathcal{I}$  has the Hechler property:  $f : \langle \mathcal{Q}, \leq \rangle \leftrightarrow \langle \mathcal{I}, \subset \rangle$
- $A \subset \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  is easy
- Need:  $\lambda \notin A$  then  $\lambda \notin \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$
- Key observation:  
If  $B = \text{pcf}(B)$  is a **progressive set of regular cardinals**,  $\lambda \notin B$ ,  
then for each  $\{f_i : i < \lambda\} \subset \prod B$  there is  $g \in \prod B$  such that  
 $|\{i : f_i \leq g\}| = \lambda$ .

# The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$

## Theorem

Assume that  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}$  or  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M}$  or  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$ . Given a nonempty, countable subset  $A$  of uncountable regular cardinals, T.F.A.E

- $A = \text{pcf}(A)$
- $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  in some c.c.c generic extension.

# The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$

## Theorem

Assume that  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}$  or  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M}$  or  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$ . Given a nonempty, countable subset  $A$  of uncountable regular cardinals, T.F.A.E

- $A = pcf(A)$
- $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  in some c.c.c generic extension.

# The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$

## Theorem

Assume that  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}$  or  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M}$  or  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$ . Given a nonempty, countable subset  $A$  of uncountable regular cardinals, T.F.A.E

- $A = pcf(A)$
- $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  in some c.c.c generic extension.

# The ideals $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$

## Theorem

Assume that  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B}$  or  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M}$  or  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$ . Given a nonempty, countable subset  $A$  of uncountable regular cardinals, T.F.A.E

- $A = pcf(A)$
- $A = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{I})$  in some c.c.c generic extension.

# Questions

Farah:  $GCH \implies \text{chain - spectrum}(\mathfrak{b})$  is arbitrary (mod  $\aleph_1$ )

$K = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{B})$  iff  $K = \text{pcf}(K)$

- Can we prove (some form of) a **strong Hechler theorem**?  
If  $Q$  is a  $\sigma$ -directed poset then there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  s. t.  $V^P \models$  a cofinal subset of  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  is order isomorphic to  $Q$   
(S. Shelah)
- **Conjecture:** If  $K$  is a non-empty set of uncountable regular cardinals, then in some c.c.c extension we have  $\text{chain - spectrum}(\mathfrak{b}) = K$  and  $\text{add}(\mathcal{B}) = \text{pcf}(K)$ .

# Questions

Farah:  $\text{GCH} \implies \text{chain-spectrum}(\mathfrak{b})$  is arbitrary (mod  $\aleph_1$ )

$K = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{B})$  iff  $K = \text{pcf}(K)$

- Can we prove (some form of) a **strong Hechler theorem**?  
If  $Q$  is a  $\sigma$ -directed poset then there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  s. t.  
 $V^P \models$  a cofinal subset of  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  is order isomorphic to  $Q$   
AND  $\text{chain-spectrum}(\mathfrak{b}) = \text{chain-spectrum}(Q)$
- **Conjecture:** If  $K$  is a non-empty set of uncountable regular cardinals, then in some c.c.c extension we have  
 $\text{chain-spectrum}(\mathfrak{b}) = K$  and  $\text{add}(\mathcal{B}) = \text{pcf}(K)$ .

# Questions

Farah:  $GCH \implies \text{chain-spectrum}(\mathfrak{b})$  is arbitrary (mod  $\aleph_1$ )

$K = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{B})$  iff  $K = \text{pcf}(K)$

- Can we prove (some form of) a **strong Hechler theorem**?  
If  $Q$  is a  $\sigma$ -**directed poset** then there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  s. t.  
 $V^P \models$  a **cofinal subset** of  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  is order isomorphic to  $Q$   
AND  $\text{chain-spectrum}(\mathfrak{b}) = \text{chain-spectrum}(Q)$
- **Conjecture**: If  $K$  is a non-empty set of uncountable regular cardinals, then in some c.c.c extension we have  
 $\text{chain-spectrum}(\mathfrak{b}) = K$  and  $\text{add}(\mathcal{B}) = \text{pcf}(K)$ .

# Questions

Farah:  $GCH \implies \text{chain-spectrum}(\mathfrak{b})$  is arbitrary (mod  $\aleph_1$ )

$K = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{B})$  iff  $K = \text{pcf}(K)$

- Can we prove (some form of) a **strong Hechler theorem**?  
If  $Q$  is a  $\sigma$ -**directed poset** then there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  s. t.  
 $V^P \models$  a **cofinal subset** of  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  is order isomorphic to  $Q$   
AND **chain-spectrum**( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) = **chain-spectrum**( $Q$ )
- **Conjecture**: If  $K$  is a non-empty set of uncountable regular cardinals, then in some c.c.c extension we have  
 $\text{chain-spectrum}(\mathfrak{b}) = K$  and  $\text{add}(\mathcal{B}) = \text{pcf}(K)$ .

# Questions

Farah:  $GCH \implies \text{chain-spectrum}(\mathfrak{b})$  is arbitrary (mod  $\aleph_1$ )

$K = \text{ADD}(\mathcal{B})$  iff  $K = \text{pcf}(K)$

- Can we prove (some form of) a **strong Hechler theorem**?  
If  $Q$  is a  $\sigma$ -**directed poset** then there is a c.c.c poset  $P$  s. t.  
 $V^P \models$  a **cofinal subset** of  $\langle \omega^\omega, \leq^* \rangle$  is order isomorphic to  $Q$   
AND **chain-spectrum**( $\mathfrak{b}$ ) = **chain-spectrum**( $Q$ )
- **Conjecture**: If  $K$  is a non-empty set of uncountable regular cardinals, then in some c.c.c extension we have  
 $\text{chain-spectrum}(\mathfrak{b}) = K$  and  $\text{add}(\mathcal{B}) = \text{pcf}(K)$ .

# Questions

- $\text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega \text{ is MAD}\}$
- Characterize  $\text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a})!$
- $\{\aleph_n : 1 \leq n < \omega\} \subset \text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a}) \stackrel{?}{\implies} \aleph_{\omega+1} \in \text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a})$ .

# Questions

- $\text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega \text{ is MAD}\}$
- Characterize  $\text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a})!$
- $\{\aleph_n : 1 \leq n < \omega\} \subset \text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a}) \stackrel{?}{\implies} \aleph_{\omega+1} \in \text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a})$ .

# Questions

- $\text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega \text{ is MAD}\}$
- Characterize  $\text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a})!$
- $\{\aleph_n : 1 \leq n < \omega\} \subset \text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a}) \stackrel{?}{\implies} \aleph_{\omega+1} \in \text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a})$ .

# Questions

- $\text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega \text{ is MAD}\}$
- Characterize  $\text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a})!$
- $\{\aleph_n : 1 \leq n < \omega\} \subset \text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a}) \stackrel{?}{\implies} \aleph_{\omega+1} \in \text{spectrum}(\mathfrak{a})$ .

- Soukup, L: **Pcf theory and cardinal invariants of the reals**, arXiv:1006.1808v1, to appear in CMUC.