

Mathias-Prikry type forcing and dominating real

Hiroaki Minami and Michael Hrušák

At Hejnice, Czech

31 January 2011

Introduction

Decision property, rank argument and $\mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$

$\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ and dominating real

Reference

Appendix1

Mathias-Prikry type and Laver-Prikry type forcings

Definition

Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω .

Mathias-Prikry type forcing

$$\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{F} \rangle \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*} \text{ if } \mathbf{s} \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \wedge \mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{I}^* \wedge \mathbf{s} \cap \mathbf{F} = \emptyset$$

ordered by

$$\langle \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{F} \rangle \leq \langle \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{G} \rangle \text{ if } \mathbf{s} \supset \mathbf{t} \wedge \mathbf{F} \subset \mathbf{G} \wedge \mathbf{s} \setminus \mathbf{t} \subset \mathbf{G}.$$

Mathias-Prikry type and Laver-Prikry type forcings

Definition

Let I be an ideal on ω .

Mathias-Prikry type forcing

$$\langle \mathbf{s}, F \rangle \in \mathbb{M}_{I^*} \text{ if } \mathbf{s} \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \wedge F \in I^* \wedge \mathbf{s} \cap F = \emptyset$$

ordered by

$$\langle \mathbf{s}, F \rangle \leq \langle \mathbf{t}, G \rangle \text{ if } \mathbf{s} \supset \mathbf{t} \wedge F \subset G \wedge \mathbf{s} \setminus \mathbf{t} \subset G.$$

Laver-Prikry type forcing

$$\begin{aligned} T \in \mathbb{L}_{I^*} \text{ if } T \subset \omega^\omega \text{ is tree } \wedge \exists \mathbf{s} \in T (\forall t \in T (\mathbf{s} \subset t \vee t \subset \mathbf{s})) \\ \text{and } \forall t \in T (\mathbf{s} \subset t \rightarrow \text{Succ}_T(t) = \{n \in \omega : t \smallfrown n \in T\} \in I^*), \end{aligned}$$

where such $\mathbf{s} \in T$ is called *stem* of T , denoted $\text{stem}(T)$.

\mathbb{L}_{I^*} is ordered by inclusion.

Mathias forcing and $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{F}}$ add a dominating real. It depends on filter \mathcal{F} whether $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{F}}$ adds a dominating real.

Theorem (Canjar)

1. If \mathcal{U} is either rapid ultrafilter or not a P -point ultrafilter, then $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ adds a dominating real.
2. If CH holds, there exists an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} such that $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ doesn't add a dominating real.

Mathias forcing and $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{F}}$ add a dominating real. It is depend on filter \mathcal{F} whether $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{F}}$ adds a dominating real.

Theorem (Canjar)

1. If \mathcal{U} is either rapid ultrafilter or not a P -point ultrafilter, then $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ adds a dominating real.
2. If CH holds, there exists an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} such that $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ doesn't add a dominating real.

Question

When does \mathbb{M}_{J^*} add dominating real?

Decision property

Laver forcing \mathbb{L} and Mathias forcing have decision property.

Theorem

1. For every sentence ϕ of forcing language, for every $T \in \mathbb{L}$ there exists $S \leq T$ with $\text{stem}(S) = \text{stem}(T)$ such that

$$S \Vdash_{\mathbb{L}} \phi \text{ or } S \Vdash_{\mathbb{L}} \neg\phi.$$

2. For every sentence ϕ of forcing language, for every $\langle s, A \rangle \in \mathbb{M}$, there exists infinite $B \subset A$ such that

$$\langle s, B \rangle \Vdash_{\mathbb{M}} \phi \text{ or } \langle s, B \rangle \Vdash_{\mathbb{M}} \neg\phi.$$

Decision property

Laver forcing \mathbb{L} and Mathias forcing have decision property.

Theorem

1. For every sentence ϕ of forcing language, for every $T \in \mathbb{L}$ there exists $S \leq T$ with $\text{stem}(S) = \text{stem}(T)$ such that

$$S \Vdash_{\mathbb{L}} \phi \text{ or } S \Vdash_{\mathbb{L}} \neg\phi.$$

2. For every sentence ϕ of forcing language, for every $\langle s, A \rangle \in \mathbb{M}$, there exists infinite $B \subset A$ such that

$$\langle s, B \rangle \Vdash_{\mathbb{M}} \phi \text{ or } \langle s, B \rangle \Vdash_{\mathbb{M}} \neg\phi.$$

The decision property doesn't hold for Mathias-Prikry and Laver-Prikry type forcing in general.

$\mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$

When we use $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$, rank argument is important. But we can't define rank for $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ in general. When we use $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$, $\mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$ is significant.

$I^{<\omega}$

When we use \mathbb{L}_{I^*} , rank argument is important. But we can't define rank for \mathbb{M}_{I^*} in general. When we use \mathbb{M}_{I^*} , $I^{<\omega}$ is significant. For an ideal I on ω ,

$$I^{<\omega} = \{A \subset [\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\} : \exists I \in I \forall a \in A (a \cap I \neq \emptyset)\}.$$

Then $I^{<\omega}$ is an ideal on $[\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

$I^{<\omega}$

When we use \mathbb{L}_{I^*} , rank argument is important. But we can't define rank for \mathbb{M}_{I^*} in general. When we use \mathbb{M}_{I^*} , $I^{<\omega}$ is significant. For an ideal I on ω ,

$$I^{<\omega} = \{A \subset [\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\} : \exists I \in I \forall a \in A (a \cap I \neq \emptyset)\}.$$

Then $I^{<\omega}$ is an ideal on $[\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

Theorem

For every sentence ϕ of forcing language, for $\mathbf{s} \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ define

$$X_{\mathbf{s}} = \{\mathbf{t} \in [\omega \setminus \mathbf{s}]^{<\omega} : \exists F \in I^* ((\mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t}) \cap F = \emptyset \wedge \langle \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t}, F \rangle \Vdash \phi)\}$$

Then if $X_{\mathbf{s}} \in (I^{<\omega})^+$, for every $F \in I^*$ with $\mathbf{s} \cap F = \emptyset$, there exists $\langle \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t}, G \rangle \leq \langle \mathbf{s}, F \rangle$ such that $\langle \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t}, G \rangle \Vdash_{\mathbb{M}_{I^*}} \phi$.

If $X_{\mathbf{s}} \in I^{<\omega}$, for every $F \in I^*$ with $\mathbf{s} \cap F = \emptyset$, there exists $\langle \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t}, G \rangle \leq \langle \mathbf{s}, F \rangle$ such that $\langle \mathbf{s} \cup \mathbf{t}, G \rangle \Vdash_{\mathbb{M}_{I^*}} \neg \phi$.

$\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$ -positive set

Proof.

1. Suppose $X_s \in (\mathcal{I}^{<\omega})^+$. Let $F \in \mathcal{I}^*$ with $s \cap F = \emptyset$. Then $[F]^{<\omega} \cap X_s \neq \emptyset$. Let $t \in X_s \cap [F]^{<\omega}$. By definition of X_s , there exists $H \in \mathcal{I}^*$ such that $\langle s \cup t, H \rangle \Vdash \phi$.

$\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$ -positive set

Proof.

1. Suppose $X_s \in (\mathcal{I}^{<\omega})^+$. Let $F \in \mathcal{I}^*$ with $s \cap F = \emptyset$. Then $[F]^{<\omega} \cap X_s \neq \emptyset$. Let $t \in X_s \cap [F]^{<\omega}$. By definition of X_s , there exists $H \in \mathcal{I}^*$ such that $\langle s \cup t, H \rangle \Vdash \phi$.
 Since \mathcal{I}^* is filter, $G = F \cap H \in \mathcal{I}^*$. Since $t \subset F$, $\langle s \cup t, G \rangle \leq \langle s, F \rangle$. So $\langle s \cup t, G \rangle \leq \langle s, F \rangle$ and $\langle s \cup t, G \rangle \Vdash \phi$.

$\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$ -positive set

Proof.

1. Suppose $X_s \in (\mathcal{I}^{<\omega})^+$. Let $F \in \mathcal{I}^*$ with $s \cap F = \emptyset$. Then $[F]^{<\omega} \cap X_s \neq \emptyset$. Let $t \in X_s \cap [F]^{<\omega}$. By definition of X_s , there exists $H \in \mathcal{I}^*$ such that $\langle s \cup t, H \rangle \Vdash \phi$.
Since \mathcal{I}^* is filter, $G = F \cap H \in \mathcal{I}^*$. Since $t \subset F$, $\langle s \cup t, G \rangle \leq \langle s, F \rangle$. So $\langle s \cup t, G \rangle \leq \langle s, F \rangle$ and $\langle s \cup t, G \rangle \Vdash \phi$.
2. Suppose $X_s \in \mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$.

\mathbb{M}_{I^*} and $I^{<\omega}$ -positive set

Proof.

1. Suppose $X_s \in (I^{<\omega})^+$. Let $F \in I^*$ with $s \cap F = \emptyset$. Then $[F]^{<\omega} \cap X_s \neq \emptyset$. Let $t \in X_s \cap [F]^{<\omega}$. By definition of X_s , there exists $H \in I^*$ such that $\langle s \cup t, H \rangle \Vdash \phi$.
 Since I^* is filter, $G = F \cap H \in I^*$. Since $t \subset F$, $\langle s \cup t, G \rangle \leq \langle s, F \rangle$. So $\langle s \cup t, G \rangle \leq \langle s, F \rangle$ and $\langle s \cup t, G \rangle \Vdash \phi$.
2. Suppose $X_s \in I^{<\omega}$.
 Let $I \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\forall x \in X_s (x \cap I \neq \emptyset)$. Let $\langle s, F \rangle \in \mathbb{M}_{I^*}$. Let $H = F \setminus I \in I^*$. Then there exists $\langle s \cup t, G \rangle \leq \langle s, H \rangle$ such that $\langle s \cup t, G \rangle$ decide ϕ . Since $t \cap I = \emptyset$, $t \notin X_s$. Therefore $\langle s \cup t, G \rangle \Vdash \neg\phi$.



$\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ and dominating real

Theorem (Hrušák, Minami)

The following are equivalent.

1. $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ adds a dominating real.
2. $\mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$ is not \mathbf{P}^+ ideal.

Definition

\mathcal{J} is \mathbf{P}^+ -ideal if for every decreasing sequence $\{\mathbf{X}_n : n \in \omega\}$ of \mathcal{J} -positive set, there exists $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{J}^+$ such that $\mathbf{X} \subset^* \mathbf{X}_n$.

Theorem (Hrušák, Minami)

The following are equivalent.

1. \mathbb{M}_{I^*} adds a dominating real.
2. $I^{<\omega}$ is not \mathbf{P}^+ ideal.

Proof. From (1) to (2).

Let \dot{g} be a \mathbb{M}_{I^*} -name for a dominating real. For $f \in \omega^\omega \cap V$, there exists $s_f \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$, $F_f \in I^*$ and $n_f \in \omega$ such that

$$\langle s_f, F_f \rangle \Vdash \forall n \geq n_f (f(n) \leq \dot{g}(n)).$$

Since $\text{cf}(\mathfrak{d}) > \omega$, there exists $s \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ and $n \in \omega$ such that

$$\mathcal{F} = \{f \in \omega^\omega : s_f = s \wedge n_f = n\}$$

is a dominating family. Fix such $s \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ and $n \in \omega$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} X_s = \{t \in [\omega \setminus \max(s)]^{<\omega} : \\ \exists F \in I^* \exists m \geq n (\langle s \cup t, F \rangle \text{ decides } \dot{g}(m))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Claim

$$\begin{aligned} X_s &= \{t \in [\omega \setminus \max(s)]^{<\omega} : \\ &\quad \exists F \in I^* \exists m \geq n (\langle s \cup t, F \rangle \text{ decides } \dot{g}(m))\} \in (I^{<\omega})^+. \end{aligned}$$

Let $z_t = \{m \geq n : \exists F \in I^* (\langle s \cup t, F \rangle \text{ decides } \dot{g}(m))\}$.

Then define $\langle k_t, l_t \rangle \in \omega \times \omega$ for $t \in X_s$ by

$$k_t = \begin{cases} \max(z_t) & \text{if } |z_t| < \omega \\ \min(z_t \setminus \max(t)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Choose $l_t \in \omega$ so that there exists $F \in I^*$ so that

$$\langle s \cup t, F \rangle \Vdash \dot{g}(k_t) = l_t.$$

Define $H : X_s \rightarrow \omega \times \omega$ by $H(t) = \langle k_t, l_t \rangle$.

Claim

For every $m \in \omega$, $H^{-1}[(\omega \setminus m) \times \omega] \in (I^{<\omega})^+$.

Let $K = \{k_t : t \in X_S\}$. Let $\{k_i : i \in \omega\}$ be the increasing enumeration of K . Define $L_i = \{l_t : k_i = k_t \wedge t \in X_S\}$.

Claim

$\exists^\infty i \in \omega (|L_i| = \omega)$.

Proof

Assume to the contrary, $\forall^\infty i \in \omega (|L_i| < \aleph_0)$. Then we can define $h : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ by

$$h(m) = \begin{cases} \max(L_i) & \text{if there exists } i \in \omega \text{ such that } m = k_i \text{ and } |L_i| < \aleph_0. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof.

Since \mathcal{F} is a dominating family, there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $m_0 > n$ such that $\forall m \geq m_0 (h(m) \leq f(m))$.

Proof.

Since \mathcal{F} is a dominating family, there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $m_0 > n$ such that $\forall m \geq m_0 (h(m) \leq f(m))$.

However there exists $t \in H^{-1}[(\omega \setminus m_0) \times \omega] \cap [F_f]^{<\omega}$ since $H^{-1}[(\omega \setminus m_0) \times \omega] \in (I^{<\omega})^+$.

Proof.

Since \mathcal{F} is a dominating family, there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $m_0 > n$ such that $\forall m \geq m_0 (h(m) \leq f(m))$.

However there exists $t \in H^{-1}[(\omega \setminus m_0) \times \omega] \cap [F_f]^{<\omega}$ since $H^{-1}[(\omega \setminus m_0) \times \omega] \in (\mathcal{I}^{<\omega})^+$.

By definition of h , there exists $H \in \mathcal{I}^*$ and $k_t \geq m_0$ such that

$$\langle s \cup t, H \rangle \Vdash \dot{g}(k_t) \leq h(k_t) (\leq f(k_t)).$$

Proof.

Since \mathcal{F} is a dominating family, there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $m_0 > n$ such that $\forall m \geq m_0 (h(m) \leq f(m))$.

However there exists $t \in H^{-1}[(\omega \setminus m_0) \times \omega] \cap [F_f]^{<\omega}$ since $H^{-1}[(\omega \setminus m_0) \times \omega] \in (I^{<\omega})^+$.

By definition of h , there exists $H \in I^*$ and $k_t \geq m_0$ such that

$$\langle \mathbf{s} \cup t, H \rangle \Vdash \dot{g}(k_t) \leq h(k_t) (\leq f(k_t)).$$

However $\langle \mathbf{s}, F_f \rangle \Vdash \forall m \geq n (f(m) < \dot{g}(m))$ and $\langle \mathbf{s}, F_f \rangle$ is compatible with $\langle \mathbf{s} \cup t, H \rangle$. It is contradiction.



Without loss of generality, we can assume for all $i \in \omega$ $|L_i| = \aleph_0$.
Let $Y_m = \{H^{-1}[\bigcup_{m \geq i} L_i]\}$ for $m \geq n$. Then $Y_{m+1} \subset Y_m$.

Claim

$Y_m \in (I^{<\omega})^+$ for $m \geq n$.

Let $Y \subset^* Y_m$ for $m \geq n$. We shall show $Y \in I^{<\omega}$.

Assume to the contrary that $Y \in (I^{<\omega})^+$. Define a function g from ω to ω by

$$g(m) = \begin{cases} \max\{I_t : \exists t \in Y (m = k_t)\} \\ \text{if there exists } t \in Y \text{ such that } k_t = m \\ \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $Y \subset^* Y_m$ for $m \geq n$. We shall show $Y \in I^{<\omega}$.

Assume to the contrary that $Y \in (I^{<\omega})^+$. Define a function g from ω to ω by

$$g(m) = \begin{cases} \max\{l_t : \exists t \in Y (m = k_t)\} \\ \text{if there exists } t \in Y \text{ such that } k_t = m \\ \\ 0 \\ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since \mathcal{F} is a dominating family, $\exists f \in \mathcal{F} (g \leq^* f)$. Let $m_0 \geq n$ such that $g(m) \leq f(m)$ for $m \geq m_0$.

Let $Y \subset^* Y_m$ for $m \geq n$. We shall show $Y \in I^{<\omega}$.

Assume to the contrary that $Y \in (I^{<\omega})^+$. Define a function g from ω to ω by

$$g(m) = \begin{cases} \max\{k_t : \exists t \in Y (m = k_t)\} \\ \text{if there exists } t \in Y \text{ such that } k_t = m \\ \\ 0 \\ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since \mathcal{F} is a dominating family, $\exists f \in \mathcal{F} (g \leq^* f)$. Let $m_0 \geq n$ such that $g(m) \leq f(m)$ for $m \geq m_0$. Since $Y \subset^* Y_m$ for $m \geq n$, $F_f \in I^*$ and $Y \in (I^{<\omega})^+$, there exists $m \geq m_0$ and $t \in Y \cap Y_m \cap F_f$.

Let $Y \subset^* Y_m$ for $m \geq n$. We shall show $Y \in I^{<\omega}$.

Assume to the contrary that $Y \in (I^{<\omega})^+$. Define a function g from ω to ω by

$$g(m) = \begin{cases} \max\{k_t : \exists t \in Y (m = k_t)\} \\ \text{if there exists } t \in Y \text{ such that } k_t = m \\ \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since \mathcal{F} is a dominating family, $\exists f \in \mathcal{F} (g \leq^* f)$. Let $m_0 \geq n$ such that $g(m) \leq f(m)$ for $m \geq m_0$. Since $Y \subset^* Y_m$ for $m \geq n$, $F_f \in I^*$ and $Y \in (I^{<\omega})^+$, there exists $m \geq m_0$ and $t \in Y \cap Y_m \cap F_f$. Since $t \in Y$ there exists $F \in I^*$ such that $\langle s \cup t, F \rangle \Vdash \dot{g}(m) \leq g(m)$.

Let $Y \subset^* Y_m$ for $m \geq n$. We shall show $Y \in I^{<\omega}$.

Assume to the contrary that $Y \in (I^{<\omega})^+$. Define a function g from ω to ω by

$$g(m) = \begin{cases} \max\{I_t : \exists t \in Y (m = k_t)\} \\ \text{if there exists } t \in Y \text{ such that } k_t = m \\ \\ 0 \\ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since \mathcal{F} is a dominating family, $\exists f \in \mathcal{F} (g \leq^* f)$. Let $m_0 \geq n$ such that $g(m) \leq f(m)$ for $m \geq m_0$. Since $Y \subset^* Y_m$ for $m \geq n$, $F_f \in I^*$ and $Y \in (I^{<\omega})^+$, there exists $m \geq m_0$ and $t \in Y \cap Y_m \cap F_f$. Since $t \in Y$ there exists $F \in I^*$ such that $\langle s \cup t, F \rangle \Vdash \dot{g}(m) \leq g(m)$. However $\langle s, F_f \rangle \Vdash \forall m \geq n (f(m) < \dot{g}(m))$ and $\langle s \cup t, F \rangle$ is compatible with $\langle s, F_f \rangle$. It is contradiction. Therefore $Y \in I^{<\omega}$. So $I^{<\omega}$ is not \mathbf{P}^+ -ideal.

From (2) to (1). Let $\langle X_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a decreasing sequence of $(\mathcal{I}^{<\omega})^+$ without pseudointersection in $(\mathcal{I}^{<\omega})^+$. Let $\langle a_k : k \in \omega \rangle$ be an enumeration of $[\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Let \dot{a}_{gen} be a $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ -name for $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ -generic real $(\subset \omega)$. Define $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ -name \dot{g} for a function from ω to ω by

$$\Vdash \dot{g}(n) = \min\{k : a_k \subset [\dot{a}_{gen}]^{<\omega} \cap X_n \wedge \max(\bigcup\{a_m : l < n \wedge m = \dot{g}(l)\}) < \min(a_k)\}.$$

From (2) to (1). Let $\langle X_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ be a decreasing sequence of $(\mathcal{I}^{<\omega})^+$ without pseudointersection in $(\mathcal{I}^{<\omega})^+$. Let $\langle a_k : k \in \omega \rangle$ be an enumeration of $[\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Let \dot{a}_{gen} be a $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ -name for $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ -generic real ($\subset \omega$). Define $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ -name \dot{g} for a function from ω to ω by

$$\Vdash \dot{g}(n) = \min\{k : a_k \subset [\dot{a}_{gen}]^{<\omega} \cap X_n \wedge \max(\bigcup\{a_m : l < n \wedge m = \dot{g}(l)\}) < \min(a_k)\}.$$

We shall show \dot{g} be a dominating real. Let $f \in \omega^\omega \cap V$ and $\langle s, F \rangle \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{I}^*}$. Let

$$I_f = \{a_k \in [\omega]^{<\omega} \setminus \{\emptyset\} : \exists n \in \omega (a_k \in X_n \wedge k \leq f(n))\}.$$

Then $I_f \subset^* X_n$ for every $n \in \omega$. Therefore $I_f \in \mathcal{I}^{<\omega}$ by definition of X_n . Let $\hat{l} \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $\forall a \in I_f (a \cap \hat{l} \neq \emptyset)$. Then $F \setminus \hat{l} \in \mathcal{I}^*$ and $[F \setminus \hat{l}]^{<\omega} \cap I_f = \emptyset$.

Claim

Let $\langle t_n : n < \alpha \rangle$ be a sequence of finite subsets of ω so that

1. $t_n \in [s \cup (F \setminus I)]^{<\omega} \cap X_n$
2. $\max(t_n) < \min(t_{n+1})$
3. $\exists k \in \omega (t_n = a_k \wedge k \leq f(n))$

Then $\alpha \leq |s|$.

Proof of Claim.

If $t \in [F \setminus I]^{<\omega}$, then $t = a_k$ and $t \in X_n$ implies $k > f(n)$ by $[F \setminus I]^{<\omega} \cap I_f = \emptyset$. So by (2), $\alpha \leq |s|$. □

Put $|s|=m$. Then $\langle s, F \setminus I \rangle \leq \langle s, F \rangle$ and

$$\langle s, F \setminus I \rangle \Vdash \forall n > m (f(n) < \dot{g}(n)).$$

ultrafilter case

Definition (Laflamme)

An ultrafilter \mathcal{U} is strong \mathbf{P} -point if for every ω -sequence of closed subset $C_n \subset \mathcal{U}$, there exists a partition of ω into finite intervals I_n such that for any sets $B_n \in C_n$,

$$\bigcup_n (B_n \cap I_n) \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Theorem (Blass-Laflamme)

Suppose \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter. Then the following are equivalent.

1. \mathcal{U} is a strong \mathbf{P} -point.
2. $\mathcal{U}^{<\omega}$ is \mathbf{P}^+ filter.
3. $\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{U}}$ doesn't add a dominating real.

Thank you!

Reference

1. Michael Hrušák and Hiroaki Minami, “Mathias-Prikry type forcing and Laver-Prikry type forcing”, preprint.
2. Andreas Blass, “Strong \mathbf{P} -points and the Hrušák-Minami condition”, preprint.

Appendix: Ultrafilter

Definition

Let \mathcal{U} be a filter on ω .

1. \mathcal{U} is selective ultrafilter if
 $\forall f \in \omega^\omega \exists U \in \mathcal{U} (f \upharpoonright U \text{ is one-to-one or constant}).$
2. \mathcal{U} is nowhere dense ultrafilter if
 $\forall f : \omega \rightarrow 2^\omega \exists U \in \mathcal{U} (F[U] \text{ is nowhere dense}).$
3. \mathcal{U} is rapid if $\forall f \in \omega^\omega \exists U \in \mathcal{U} (|U \cap f(n)| \leq n).$
4. \mathcal{U} is P-point ultrafilter if
 $\forall f \in \omega^\omega \exists U \in \mathcal{U} (f \upharpoonright U \text{ is finite-to-one or constant}).$