

# Non-meager filters

Daria Perkowska

Uniwersytet Wrocławski

# Overview

1. Types of filters
2. Hierarchy of filters
3. Filters and forcing

# Types of filters

For a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  we define  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\mathcal{F}$  as the quotient algebra of the equivalence relation defined by

$$A \sim B \iff A \Delta B \in \mathcal{F}^c,$$

where  $\mathcal{F}^c$  denotes the ideal dual to the filter  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}^c = \{I \subseteq \omega : I^c \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

## Types of filters

- A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  **supports a measure** if  $\mathcal{F} = \{A : \mu(A) = 1\}$  for some probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\omega$ .
- A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is **ccc** if  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\mathcal{F}$  is ccc.
- A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is **non-meager** if it is non-meager as a subset of  $2^\omega$
- A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is **Fréchet** if it contains all cofinite subsets of  $\omega$ .

# Ultrafilters and filters supporting measure

## Theorem

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ultrafilter, then  $\mathcal{F}$  supports measure.

# Ultrafilters and filters supporting measure

## Theorem

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ultrafilter, then  $\mathcal{F}$  supports measure.

## Proof

Take any ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  and measure  $\mu$  on  $\omega$  such that:

- $\mu(A) = 0$  iff  $A \notin \mathcal{U}$
- $\mu(A) = 1$  iff  $A \in \mathcal{U}$

With this measure we can write  $\mathcal{U}$  as  $\{A : \mu(A) = 1\}$ , so  $\mathcal{U}$  is a filter supporting measure.

# Ultrafilters and filters supporting measure

## Proposition

There exists a filter that supports measure but which is not an ultrafilter.

# Ultrafilters and filters supporting measure

## Proposition

There exists a filter that supports measure but which is not an ultrafilter.

## Proof

Take a non-principal ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ A : \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \frac{|A \cap n|}{n} = 1 \right\}.$$

Then, the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter

# Ultrafilters and filters supporting measure

## Proposition

There exists a filter that supports measure but which is not an ultrafilter.

## Proof

Take a non-principal ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ A : \lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \frac{|A \cap n|}{n} = 1 \right\}.$$

Then, the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter, but clearly not an ultrafilter.

# Filters supporting measure and ccc filters

## Proposition

Every filter  $\mathcal{F}$  supporting measure is ccc.

# Filters supporting measure and ccc filters

## Proposition

Every filter  $\mathcal{F}$  supporting measure is ccc.

## Proof

Since  $\mathcal{F}$  supports measure, then there exists  $\mu$  such that

$$\mathcal{F} = \{A : \mu(A) = 1\}.$$

So we have that the elements of the dual ideal are the sets of measure 0. Suppose, towards the contradiction, that we can find an uncountable family  $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  of subsets of  $\omega$  such that  $\mu(A_\alpha \Delta A_\beta) = 0$  for each  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and  $\mu(A_\alpha) > 0$  for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Without loss of generality, passing to an uncountable subfamily if needed, we may assume that there is  $a > 0$  such that  $\mu(A_\alpha) > a$  for each  $\alpha$ . This is a contradiction as  $\mu(A_0 \cup \dots \cup A_m) > 1$  for  $m > 1/a$ .

# Filters supporting measure and ccc filters

## Theorem

There is a filter which is ccc but does not support a measure.

# Filters supporting measure and ccc filters

## Theorem

There is a filter which is ccc but does not support a measure.

## Proof

First, note that there is a complete Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{A}$  of size  $\mathfrak{c}$  which is ccc but which does not support a measure (i.e. there is no measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(A) > 0$  for each nonzero element  $A$  of  $\mathbb{A}$ ), e.g. the Gaifman algebra.

# Filters supporting measure and ccc filters

## Theorem

There is a filter which is ccc but does not support a measure.

## Proof

First, note that there is a complete Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{A}$  of size  $\mathfrak{c}$  which is ccc but which does not support a measure (i.e. there is no measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(A) > 0$  for each nonzero element  $A$  of  $\mathbb{A}$ ), e.g. the Gaifman algebra. We claim that there is a homomorphism  $\varphi: \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  which is onto.

This follows from the Fichtenholz-Kantorowicz theorem saying that there is an independent family  $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}}$  of subsets of  $\omega$ . We can define a function  $f: \{X_\alpha: \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  which is onto. Then, by Sikorski extension theorem, we can extend  $f$  to a homomorphism  $\varphi: \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the filter dual to the kernel of  $\varphi$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{A}$  and so  $\mathcal{F}$  is a ccc filter which does not support a measure.

# ccc filters and non-meager filters

## Proposition

Every ccc filter is non-meager.

# ccc filters and non-meager filters

## Proposition

Every ccc filter is non-meager.

## Theorem

A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is meager if and only if there is an interval partition  $(I_n)$  such that for each infinite  $N$  we have  $\bigcup_{n \in N} I_n \notin \mathcal{F}^c$ .

# ccc filters and non-meager filters

## Proposition

Every ccc filter is non-meager.

## Theorem

A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is meager if and only if there is an interval partition  $(I_n)$  such that for each infinite  $N$  we have  $\bigcup_{n \in N} I_n \notin \mathcal{F}^c$ .

## Proposition

There is a non-meager filter which is not ccc.

# Hierarchy of filters

## Corollary

ultra  $\implies$  supporting measure  $\implies$  ccc  $\implies$  non-meager.

# Filters and forcing

We will force with a complete Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{A}$ . Let  $G$  be an  $\mathbb{A}$ -generic. By  $\dot{U}$  we will denote an  $\mathbb{A}$ -name for a non-principal ultrafilter in  $\mathcal{P}(\omega) \cap V[G]$ .

# Filters and forcing

We will force with a complete Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{A}$ . Let  $G$  be an  $\mathbb{A}$ -generic. By  $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$  we will denote an  $\mathbb{A}$ -name for a non-principal ultrafilter in  $\mathcal{P}(\omega) \cap V[G]$ .

## Example

Suppose  $\dot{\mathcal{U}}$  is such that  $1 \Vdash \dot{\mathcal{U}}$  is non-principal. Then  $1 \Vdash \dot{\mathcal{U}}$  extends the filter consisting of co-finite subsets of  $\omega$ .

# Filters and forcing

We will force with a complete Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{A}$ . Let  $G$  be an  $\mathbb{A}$ -generic. By  $\dot{U}$  we will denote an  $\mathbb{A}$ -name for a non-principal ultrafilter in  $\mathcal{P}(\omega) \cap V[G]$ .

## Example

Suppose  $\dot{U}$  is such that  $1 \Vdash \dot{U}$  is non-principal. Then  $1 \Vdash \dot{U}$  extends the filter consisting of co-finite subsets of  $\omega$ .

## Example

Consider the forcing with  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/fin$ . This forcing adds generically an ultrafilter. Consider the name

$$\dot{U} = \{\langle A, A \rangle : A \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)/fin\}.$$

A generic 'reads' this name as an ultrafilter on  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ . Notice that if  $A \in \mathcal{P}(\omega) \cap V$  is a co-infinite set, then  $A^c \Vdash A \notin \dot{U}$ . So, there is no ground model filter  $\mathcal{F}$  bigger than the Frechet filter for which  $1 \Vdash \dot{U}$  extends  $\mathcal{F}$ .

# Filters and forcing

## Theorem

Let  $\dot{U}$  be as above. There exists a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\omega$  in  $V$  such that:

- $1 \Vdash \dot{U}$  extends  $\mathcal{F}$ ,
- there exists an injective Boolean homomorphism  $\psi: \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ .

# Filters and forcing

## Theorem

Let  $\dot{U}$  be as above. There exists a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\omega$  in  $V$  such that:

- $1 \Vdash \dot{U}$  extends  $\mathcal{F}$ ,
- there exists an injective Boolean homomorphism  $\psi: \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ .

## Corollary

If  $\mathbb{A}$  is ccc, then every ultrafilter from  $\mathcal{P}(\omega) \cap V[G]$  extends a ccc filter  $\mathcal{F}$  from the ground model.

# Filters and forcing

## Theorem

Let  $\dot{U}$  be as above. There exists a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\omega$  in  $V$  such that:

- $1 \Vdash \dot{U}$  extends  $\mathcal{F}$ ,
- there exists an injective Boolean homomorphism  $\psi: \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ .

## Corollary

If  $\mathbb{A}$  is ccc, then every ultrafilter from  $\mathcal{P}(\omega) \cap V[G]$  extends a ccc filter  $\mathcal{F}$  from the ground model.

## Corollary

If  $\mathbb{B}$  is the random forcing and  $G$  is a  $\mathbb{B}$ -generic, then every ultrafilter from  $V[G]$  extends a measure supporting filter  $\mathcal{F}$  from ground model.

# Filters and forcing

## Proposition

For an  $\mathbb{A}$ -name  $\dot{U}$  for an ultrafilter let  $\phi: \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  be defined by  $\phi(A) = \llbracket A \in \dot{U} \rrbracket$ . Then  $\phi$  is a Boolean homomorphism.

# Filters and forcing

## Proposition

For an  $\mathbb{A}$ -name  $\dot{U}$  for an ultrafilter let  $\phi: \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  be defined by  $\phi(A) = \llbracket A \in \dot{U} \rrbracket$ . Then  $\phi$  is a Boolean homomorphism.

## Proof of the theorem

Let  $\phi$  be the homomorphism promised by the above proposition.

Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F \in \mathcal{P}(\omega) \cap V : \phi(F) = 1\}.$$

Notice that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter on  $\omega$  (as  $\phi$  is a Boolean homomorphism).

**Claim.**  $1 \Vdash \dot{U}$  extends  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Indeed, if  $\phi(F) = 1$ , then  $\llbracket F \in \dot{U} \rrbracket = 1$  and so  $1 \Vdash F \in \dot{U}$ .

# Filters and forcing

**Claim.** There exists an injective Boolean homomorphism

$$\psi: \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}.$$

Define  $\psi: \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$  by

$$\psi([A]_{\mathcal{F}}) = \phi(A).$$

Since  $\phi$  is homomorphism we only have to check that  $\psi$  is well defined and it is injective.

- $\psi$  is well defined

Take  $A, B$  such that  $[A]_{\mathcal{F}} = [B]_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Then  $A\Delta B \in \ker(\phi)$ , so  $\phi(A\Delta B) = 0$ . Hence  $\phi(A)\Delta\phi(B) = 0$ , so  $\psi([A]_{\mathcal{F}}) = \psi([B]_{\mathcal{F}})$ .

- $\psi$  is injective

Take  $[A]_{\mathcal{F}}, [B]_{\mathcal{F}}$ , such that  $[A]_{\mathcal{F}} \neq [B]_{\mathcal{F}}$ , then  $A\Delta B \notin \ker(\phi)$  so  $\phi(A)\Delta\phi(B) \neq 0$ . Hence  $\psi([A]_{\mathcal{F}}) \neq \psi([B]_{\mathcal{F}})$ .