THEOREM (SHELAH). ASSUME A < a.
THEN RPC(W) HOLDS.

PROOF. LET & BE AN ARBITRARY DENSE SUBSET OF [W]" WE WILL FIND A COMPLETELY SEPA-RABLE MAD FAMILY & 9.

FIX A SPLITTING FAMILY $\{C_{\alpha}: \alpha < \alpha\}$ AND DENOTE $C_{\alpha}^{1} = C_{\alpha}, C_{\alpha}^{2} = \omega \setminus C_{\alpha}$ CONSIDER A TREE $T \subseteq C_{\alpha}^{2}$.

NOTATION:

succ(T) = $\{A \in T : dom(A) \mid S\}$ A SUCCESSOR ORDINAL, $cl(T) = \{A \in A^2 : \forall \alpha \in dom(A)\}$ $alan \in T\}$

THE PROOF WILL GO BY INDUCTION TO 2" WE SHALL BUILD A

A SEQUENCE OF PAIRS

 $\langle T_{\eta}, \langle A_{s} : s \in succ(T_{\eta}) \rangle$ FOR $\eta < 2^{\omega}$ IN SUCH A WAY THAT $|T_{\eta}| \leq \omega + |\eta|$ AND FOR EACH $\eta < \xi < 2^{\omega}$ To is a subtree of ξ .

WE DEMAND :

- a) IF A, t & succ (Ty) ARE SUCH
 THAT AS t, THEN | A A | < w;
- FOR EACH ONE CHOMICA), A SET OF

 CANDIDATES WILL BE DG =

 {DED: YOUR dom(a)

 IDAAGE | CW & DS* CA(A)

 IDAAGE | CW & DS* CA(A)

ENUMERATE $[\omega] = \langle M_{\eta} : \eta < 2^{\omega} \rangle$ IN SUCH A WAY THAT EACH SET APPEARS 2^{ω} - TIMES.

START WITH T = Ø.

IF $n < 2^{\omega}$ IS A LIMIT ORDINAL, LET $T_n = \bigcup \{T_{\xi} : \xi < \gamma \}$.

SUCCESSOR STEP: WE KNOW!

(Ty, (As: SE succ(Ty))) AND My.

IF THERE IS A FINITE SET

{ m, m, m} & suce (Ty) such THAT

My 5* As - As - ... U As 1

THEN LET Tot1 = To.

IN THE OPPOSITE CASE WE NEED TO EXTEND TO BY ADDING SOME AND AND AN WITH AS MY

FORGET THE SUBSCRIPT : T = T, M=M. DENOTE BY 1 THE IDEAL GENERATED BY {As: SE succ(T)}. LET US CONSIDER TWO SUBSETS OF T, DEPENDING ON M: FM = FM U FZ, WHERE F1 = { sec((T): (Y X € 1) [M~X] ~ K, + Ø }, F= {secl(T): THE SET {teT: At # \$ & set& & | An M | = w IS INFINITE } \$ LM = { SET: SCO) & FM & SCA) & FM }.

THERE ARE SEVERAL CASES TO

- CASE (1): THERE IS SOME DEFINE,
- CASE 2: NOT CASE 1 AND
- CASE 3): NONE OF THE ABOVE.

IN CASE (1), THE & MUST BE IN FA,
ACCORDING TO THE DEFINITIONS
OF CE(T) AND OF FM.

SUBCASE 1A: dom(4) IS A

SUCCESSOR ORDINAL.

PICK SOME M' & [M] " X /

THEN PICK A & [M'] SUCH THAT

M' A A IS INFINITE. THEN EXTEND

T TO TU {A}.

SUBCASE 1B: dom (4) IS A LIMIT ORDINAL.

LET & = done (s). SINCE THERE IS AN INFINITE SET IN TO, KKA. FOR EACH X =], WE KNOW THAT [MXX] n K & Ø. SINCE CO U C1 = W, THERE MUST BE SOME i e {0, 1} WITH [(Mnci) \ X] n 3 + p WHENEVER X & J. AS BEFORE, LET &= S(i), A & [MOC] BE SUCH THAT ALEJE AND THERE IS SOME M'EJG, M'IA, INFI-NITE, ASM'SM. EXTEND T TO TU {s, t}.

SO, IN BOTH SUBCASES WE SUCCEED-ED TO FIND SOME A SM. CASE (2) SINCE SHE = Ø, LET 3 = U{A: BE FM}. SUBCASE ZA: 3 E FM. SINCE WE ASSUME NOT CASE (1), WE HAVE FM ST AND SO dom(3) KS. PUT & = dom(3). DECOMPOSE M = MOU MOU MERE M2= MAAZ, IF AZ IS DEFINED, I.E, IF OL IS A SUCCESSOR ORDINAL M2 = Ø OTHERWISE; M = (M \ M2) 1 C2; My = (M ~ M2) ~ C. SINCE SEFT, WE HAVE THAT (AKe 1) [W - X] UN + D.

HENCE THE SAME MUST HOLD FOR ONE SET Mi. BUT M2 SAM AND SO [M, A] = Ø. SINCE SPEM = Ø, IT CANNOT HOLD SHULTANEOUSLY FOR BOTH 0,1. SO THERE IS PRECISELY ONE ZE SO, 15 WITH THE PROPERTY THAT (YXE) [M:X] " JC + . AS Min A = \$, WE HAVE THAT BOXING SINCE MIGM, FMIGFM. SO STYITE FM WHICH CONTRADICTS THE DEFINITION

SO THE SUBCASE 2A NEVER HAPPENS.

SUBCASE 2B: 3 & F. . & = dom (8) MUST BE A LIHIT ORDINAL IN THIS CASE, & & A. FOR EACH & < & CONSIDER THE NODE 3100 <1-3(0)>= to SINCE 4pg = Ø, tx & Fm. IN PARTICULAR, t € FM. SO THERE IS A FINITE FAMILY A = {As: A & succ(T)} SUCH THAT [M - UA] " The = Ø. THEN THE FAMILY USA USA (A) IS OF SIZE \$ | Q | & b < &, SO ITS TRACE ON M CANNOT BE A MAD FAMILY ON M. SO THERE IS AN INFINITE M'SM SUCH THAT M'n A KW FOR ALL A BELONGING

10 UA ~ {A / (x : x < 2).

CLEARLY, AS M'SM, WE HAVE ALSO

NOW, WE ALWAYS REACH A CONTRA-

- IF THERE IS SOME & & SUCH THAT

Come splits M! For a minimal of

WITH THIS PROPERTY WE HAVE

THAT FOR EACH XEJ, MAX IS

IS FINITE AND CONSEQUENTLY

STON CONSEQUENTLY

TOO. BUT THIS CONTRADICTS TO THE

ASSUMPTION SPLM = Ø.

- IF NO & < & WITH C SPEITING M' EXISTS, THEN:

THAT {Cx: x < A} IS A SPLITTING

FAMILY;

IF & < A, THEN ETTHER

[M', Cx] " TKy (o) # Ø, OR

[M', Cx] " TKy (o) # Ø.

IN BOTH CASES WE HAVE A CONTRA-DICTION WITH THE DEFINITION OF &. SO CASE (2) NEVER HAPPENS.

CASE (3). NOTICE THAT "NOT CASE (1)
FOR M" IMPLIES "NOT CASE (1)
FOR M" " FOR EACH INFINITE
M' S M. WE HAVE PROVED ALREADY

THAT CASE (2) NEVER HAPPENS.

KNOW THAT FOR EACH INFINITE M' & M, SPCM & Ø.

LET US DEFINE FOR EACH

12 E W AND FOR EACH \$ E "2

A HAPPING & (P) & FOLM BY

MOUCTION AS FOLLOWS:

OF SPEM WITH THE MINIMAL dom.

SUPPOSE A(p) is known, $i \in \{0,1\}$. LET $A(p) \in A(p)$ BE THE UNIQUE ELEMENT OF SPEM SUCH THAT $A(p) \in A(p) \cap A(p) \cap A(p) \in A(p) \cap A($

FOR EACH & E 2, LET S(f) = U s(fin).

IT IS EASY TO CHECK THAT ALL A(P) FOR PE CW2 BELONG TO T: SINCE EACH A(P) & F. A(P) & CE(T) AND FROM THE ASSUMPTION "NOT CASE (1), A(P) ET. HOWEVER, IT IS ALSO EASY TO CHECK THAT FOR EACH \$ 2, s(f) & Fm. SINCE ITI < 2% THERE MUST BE SOME LE 2 WITH A(4) E CE(T) IT. BUT THIS CONTRADICTS THE ASSUMPTION "NOT CASE (1)"

THEOREM (SHELAH), ASSUME &=Q AND ASSUME THAT THERE IS A FAMILY 9 5 [3] SUCH THAT 19 = A AND FOR EACH ZE[S] THERE IS SOME PEP WITH |PAZIO THEN RPC (W) HOLDS. THEOREM (SHELAH). ASSUME 370 AND ASSUME THAT THERE IS A FAMILY P ∈ [s] SUCH THAT 191=1 AND FOR EACH ZE[6] THERE IS SOME PEP WITH |PAZ = W, HAVING MOREOVER SOME SPECIAL STRUCTURE. THEN RPC (W) HOLDS.

COROLLARY, 200 × X -> RPC(W).