COROLLARY, EVERY POINT OF ω^* is a 2^{ω} -Point.

PEFINITION. LET A BE AN INFINITE ALMOST PUSICINT FAMILY CONSISTING.
OF COUNTABLE SUBSETS OF SOME
SET X.

J+(A) = {MEX: | {AEA: | AnM|=w}}

WHICH HAVE AN ALMOST DISJOINT
REFINEMENT? WHAT IS THE STRONGEST STATEMENT ABOUT THE EXISTENCE
OF AN ALMOST DISJOINT REFINEMENT?

 PROOF. SUPPOSE THAT A IS AN ALMOST DISJOINT REFINEMENT OF M. FOR EACH A & A, CHOOSE AN INFINITE ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILY B(A) CONSISTING OF INFINITE SUBSETS OF A. PUT B = U{B(A): A & A}. WHENEVER M 2 A, THEM MAB IS INFINITE FOR EACH B & B(A). SO M & J[†](B).

FOR A CARDINAL IC, ABBREVIATE:

RPC(IC) = "FOR EVERY INFINITE

ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILY AS[K],

J+(A) HAS AN ALMOST DISJOINT

REFINEMENT"

THIS IS THE STATEMENT WE LOOKED FOR.

DEFINITION [HECHLER 1971] AN ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILY & [K] IS CALLED COMPLETELY SEPARABLE, IF & IS INFINITE AND FOR EACH MEJ(A), THERE IS SOME A & WITH ACM, I.E., & IS AN ALMOST DISJOINT REFINEMENT OF J+(A).

OBSERVATION 14. SUPPOSE A IS A COMPLETELY SEPARABLE ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILY. THEN |A| > 2°.

PROOF. CHOOSE {An: new} CA.

THIS IS POSSIBLE, SINCE A IS INFINITE. FOR EACH n>1, SPLIT An INTO 2" DISJOINT INFINITE

PARTS AND ENUMERATE THEN AS

M : A e 2}, FOR EACH fe 2,

LET My = U{Mprn: 1 = n < w}.

EACH SET My BELONGS TO J (A),

SO THERE IS SOME A SA WITH

Ap C My. THE FAMILY {Ap: 2 = 2}

IS OF SIZE 2".

OBSERVATION 15, LET & SE A COMPLETELY SEPARABLE ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILY.

(1) IF M & J (A), THEN BOTH FAMILIES

{MA: AEA AND |AAM |= \omega }, {AEA: ASM } ARE COMPLETELY SEPARABLE.

(ii) IF A'S A SATISFIES A' 1 20, THEN A A' IS COMPLETELY SEPARABLE.

(iti) IF FOR EACH A & A, B(A) & [A] "
THE THE FAMILY { B(A): A & A } IS
COMPLETELY SEPARABLE.
PROOF. TRIVIAL. []

OBSERVATION 16. LET & BE A COMPLETELY SEPARABLE ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILY. THEN FOR EVERY DECREASING SEQUENCE

X_2 X_2 X_2 ... 2 X_2 ... OF SETS

FROM J+(A+), THERE IS SOME

Y \(\) J^*(A+) SATISFYING Y \(\) Y_*X_n

FOR EACH n \(\) \(\).

TROOF. PROCEED BY INDUCTION.
BY COMPLETE SEPARABILITY,
CHOOSE FOR EACH MEW A SET

A ST WITH A S X VA V

PUT Y= UAn.

OBSERVATION IT LET & BE AN INFINITE ALMOST DISCOINT FAMILY SUCH FOR EACH XEJT(B), | {B & B: | B \ X | = \omega } = 200 THEN THERE IS A COMPLETELY SEPA-PABLE ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILY A SATISFYING J'(A)=J+(B). PROOF. FOR EACH XEJT(S) LET B(X) & S RE SUCH THAT B(X) OX IS INFINITE, B(X) + B(X') FOR DISTINCT X, X' & J'(B). LET A CONSIST OF ALL XOBOX) FOR X & J'(B).

PEFINITION. A CHAIN OF LENGTH & IS A FAMILY $S = \{T_{\alpha} : \alpha < \gamma\} \le [\omega]^{\omega}$ SATISFYING $T_{\alpha}^{\gamma}T_{\alpha}^{\gamma}$ WHENEVER.

TWO CHAINS I AND I ARE CALLED DISJOINT IF THERE ARE TET AND T'E T' WITH TOT' FINITE. GIVEN A CHAIN J AND A SET X. LET US SAY X IS BELOW T IF XET FOR FACH TET, X IS COMPATIBLE WITH T IF X OT IS INFINITE FOR EACH TET, X MEETS THE BOUNDARY OF T IF FOR EACH TET THERE IS SOME T'ET WITH XO(TIT') INFINITE.

OBSERVATION 18. LET & RE AN CADINAL OF COUNTABLE OF INALITY, LET T= {T a: 0 < y} BE A CHAIN. THEN THERE IS A FAMILY OF CHAINS

FOR LENGTH 1+0, TO TE,

SUCH THAT FOR EACH XE [W],

IF X MEETS THE BOUNDARY OF THEN | { CC: X MEETS THE BOUNDARY OF TE } = 6.

PROOF CHOOSE A SEQUENCE 80<81<82<... < 82... OF TYPE W, COFINAL IN Y. FOR nEW, LET Ra = The FAMILY {Rn: n< w} IS PARWISE DISJOINT AND CONSISTS OF INFINITE SETS. LET Rn = {z(n,k): kew}. FOR A MAPPING F: W+W, PUT $B(\xi) = \{ r(n,k) : n \in \omega, k < f(n) \}.$

CHOOSE A FAMILY {f; } < b } c & with no upper bound in the order &*, and such that for each \$< 9 < b, B(f,) > B(f,) is writing, and each f; is increasing.

FOR EACH & & OF COUNTABLE COFINALITY, SELECT A STRICTLY INCREASING SEQUENCE < 1: iew CONVERGING TO & AND DEFINE

To = To {B(fi) \ U B(fii):jew}

CLEARLY, EACH To IS A CHAIN

OF LENGTH X+W.

SUPPOSE X & W MEETS THE BOUNDARY OF J. HENCE X HAS AN INFINITE INTERSECTION WITH INFINITELY MANY R. S. THE SAME IS TRUE FOR THE

SET X B(f), WITH AN ARBITRARY fe^wc), BECAUSE FOR EACH f
AND FOR EACH NEW, R_n∩B(f) IS
FINITE.

AND THE CONSTRUCTION GUARANTEES THAT X MEETS THE BOUNDARY OF \mathcal{T}_{g} .

THEOREM. THERE EXISTS A COMPLETELY SEPARABLE ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILY. PROOF. CONSIDER A TREE OF HEIGHT WA, CONSISTING OF ALL MAPPINGS S: & -> & WITH ALL VALUES &(B) LIMIT ORDINALS OF COUNTABLE OFINA-LITY; CALL THIS TREE ! FOR EACH SET, WE SHALL FIND A TOWER TO OF COUNTABLE LENGTH AND A SET AS, WHICH IS BELOW J. THE FAMILY [A: SET] WILL BE AS REQUIRED. PROCEED BY TRANSFINITE INDUC-TION TO WY. START: LET TO BE AN ARBITRARY TOWER OF LENGTH W, LET A BE AN ARBITRARY SET BELOW T.

WE KNOW ALL TOWERS I FOR S: \$ -> 6, SET, AND ALL SETS

AS EACH AS BELOW IS.

GIVEN A, WE HAVE TO DEFINE TOWERS TAN AND SETS AND FOR ALL \$ < B, of () = w. SINCE AS IS BELOW T, WE SHALL APPLY OBSERVATION 18, CHOOSING THE UNBOUNDED FAMILY (; 5 < 6) IN SUCH A WAY THAT A SB(fo). KNOWING ALL J. FOR 1:0- 6, SET, CHOOSE AS INFINITE AND BELOW TARBITRARILY.

INDUCTION STEP, N < CO, OL LIMIT: KNOWING ALL & AND A FOR ALL SE WITH dom(s) Ca, DEFINE FOR A: N-> & A ET, THE TOWER I SIMPLY AS UTIPE.

IT REMAINS TO FIND SETS A. PENCTE BY E THE FAMILY OF ALL X SWCH THAT THE FAMILY

\$ J: ser, dom(s) = a, THE SET X MEETS THE BOUNDARY OF T

IS OF SIZE 20.

ASSIGN TO EACH XEX ONE A: X - &, A E C, SUCH THAT X MEETS THE BOUNDARY OF J IN A ONE-TO-ONE WAY, DENCTE THIS & AS A(X).

THEN LET AN BE AN ARBITRARY INFINITE SET BELOW J. FOR ALL & \$ {A(X): XE E}, FOR XE WE DEMAND MORECVER ANSX. THIS WERES. THE FAMILY [A: SET] IS ALMOST PISJOINT: SUPPOSE s, te [, Act: DENOTE & = dom (A), BY INDUCTION STEP & HOWAY, WE CHOOSE FOR EXTENDING I A FAMILY (4: 146) WITH A C B (.). THE CONSTRUCTION IN OBSERVATION 18 GUARANTEES THAT EACH TOWER TO CONTAINS SOME HEMBER DISJOINT WITH BOOK SO ASEB(fo), ANB(fo) IS FINITE.

SUPPOSE &, te [ARE INCOMPARABLE. CHOOSE MINIMAL W< W, SAMSFYING ST & + 2 X . THIS & MUST BE A SUCCESSOR ORDINAL, 0 = B+1. DENOTE BY P=ATB= #18. THEN J 2 Trap AND J 2 Trap. ASSUME A (B) < t(B). THEN THE SET A ST B (FA(B)) AND A = B(fe(A) > B(fa(B)).

THE FAMILY {A: AEP} IS COMPLETELY SEPARABLE: SUPPOSE XEW,

{AEP: |X \ A_| = \omega_| is infinite.

THEN THERE IS SOME LEP SUCH
THAT X HEETS THE BOUNDARY

SHALLEST ONE WITH THE SET K=

{AC [| X \ A_b | = \omega & dom(b) \cap \alpha}

INFINITE. IF X = B+1, THEN FOR SOME LET, dom(x)=B, {sek: tcs} is INFINITE. BY THE CONSTRUCTION, THERE IS AN INCREASING SEQUENCE OF LIMIT ORDINALS IN b, (En: new) WITH EACH E & K, THE UNBOWN DED FAMILY OF MAPPINGS IN W WAS {ff: f< b}; THE SET B(fin) B(fin-1) & Ten AND Atign IS BELOW Ton. THEFORE FOR } = Sup & WE HAVE THAT X HEETS THE BOUNDARY OF THE

SINCE FOR EACH n, Xn A ne = = w. IF & IS A LIMIT CROINAL, CONSI-DER THE SET (FOR SOME SEK, As IS BELOW I } = T. SINCE T IS COUNTABLE TREE AND ALL LEVELS OF T EXCEPT POSSIBLY THE LAST ONE ARE FINITE, THERE IS A COFINAL BRANCH IN T. CHOOSING to ctc ... et c ... IN , COFINAL PART OF THIS BRANCH, THEN THE TOWER TEF FOR & = Ut SATISFIES THAT X MEETS THE BOUNDARY OF T.

WE HAVE VERIFIED THAT FOR X & J*({A,: A & I'}) THERE IS SOME & & I' SUCH THAT X MEETS

MEETS THE BOUNDARY OF J.

SUPPOSE dom(s) = &. BY OBSERVATION 18, THERE ARE G-MANY

\$\frac{1}{2} \text{SWITH dom(\frac{1}{2})} = \text{SWITH BOUNDARY}

THAT X HEETS THE BOUNDARY

OF \(\frac{1}{2} \), AND FOR EACH SUCH \(\frac{1}{2} \)

THERE ARE G-HANY \(\frac{1}{2} \) WITH \(\dom(\frac{1}{2}) = \alpha + 2 \) SUCH ...

SO WHEN CONSTRUCTING

ALL A WITH COM(S) = \alpha + \omega,

WE HAVE X & IN THIS STEP

OF RECURSION. SO FOR SOME

A WITH COM (S) = \alpha + \omega WE

HAVE GUARANTEED THAT A & X. 0

CCROLLARY. LET & BE A COUNTABLE ALMOST DISJOINT FAHILY, LET & BE A DENSE SUBSET OF P(O)/Fin.
THEN THERE IS A COMPLETELY SEPA-RABLE ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILY A 2 C, SUCH THAT FOR EACH ACLIVE A E D. MOREOVER, & REFINES J (2). U

THEOREM. ASSUME & = W. THEN RPC(W) HOLDS TRUE. PROOF. LET & BE AN ARBITRARY MAD FAMILY ON W. FIX A SPLITTING FAMILY {Q: a < w, }; DENOTE Q (0)=Q, Q (1) = W Q. FOR EACH & < W, AND EACH MAPPING 1 ol → 2, THE FILTER 5, GENERATED BY {OB(AB)): B< a} HAS A

COUNTABLE BASIS, SO THERE IS A TOWER T, WHICH IS A BASIS OF \$.

FOR EACH & < W, AND FOR EACH A: & -> 2, THERE IS A COMPLETELY SEPARABLE ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILY DA, CONSISTING OF SETS BELOW JAND REFINING ALL SETS, WHICH HEET THE BOUNDARY OF J. PUT Da = U {9,: & e < 2}.

THE FAMILY 9 IS COMPLETELY SEPARABLE. WE CAN ALSO CHOOSE 9 IN SUCH A WAY THAT FOR EACH DE 9 THERE IS A UNIQUE BE 8 SATISFYING DEB.

PUT A = 9 AND FOR & < W,

LET A = {DEB : (YA < x) (YA < x)

DOA IS FINITE. }

THE FAMILY $A = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_i} A_{\alpha}$ is the required almost disjoint refinement of $J^{\dagger}(B)$. Obviously, A is almost disjoint. So if $M \in J^{\dagger}(B)$ we have to find some $A \in A$ with $A \in M$

HOWEVER, WE NEED LESS. IT IS ENOUGH TO PROVE THAT FOR SOME $\alpha < \omega_1$, M \in J⁺(A_{α}).

TO SEE THIS, SUPPOSE THAT FOR SOME α , ME $J^{\dagger}(A_{\alpha})$. Choose the α to be the shallest one. If $\alpha=0$, then $A_{\alpha}=9$, and 9 is completely separable, hence M contains some $D\in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}=4$. If $\alpha>0$, then for each $\beta<\alpha$,

THE SET { A & La: | AnH | = w} IS FINITE THERE IS AN INFINITE COUNTABLE SUBSET I'S A SUCH THAT FOR EACH A'EN! IN' MI = W AND BY THE CHOICE OF A, An A IS FINITE FOR EACH ACULE. CONSEQUENTLY, THERE IS A SUBSET M'CM, SUCH THAT HI IS ALMOST DISJOINT WITH ALL AFULE AND H'A A' IS INFINITE FOR EACH H' & A! HENCE M' & J(S), SO FOR SOME DESSAIDEM! NOW D MUST BELONG TO AL, SINCE IT IS ALMOST DISJOINT WITH ALL ACUAB

SO FIX AN MEJ*(\$) AND WE HAVE TO SHOW THAT FOR SOME α_{c} , MEJ*(A_{c}). CHOOSE α_{c} < ω_{c} such THAT FOR SOME a_{c} : α_{c} \rightarrow 2 WE HAVE THAT M MEETS THE BOUNDARY OF T_{c} AND THAT FOR EACH T_{c} T_{c} , MAT c J*(\mathcal{B}).

WE ARE DONE. BUT IF $M \notin J^{\dagger}(A_{\beta})$ FOR ALL $\beta \leq \alpha_{\delta}$, THEN THERE IS A COUNTABLE SUBSET $B_{\delta} \in B$ SUCH THAT FOR EACH $\beta \leq \alpha_{\delta}$ AND FOR EACH $A \in A_{\beta}$, IF $|A_{\Delta}M| = \omega_{\delta}$. THEN $A \in B$ FOR SOME $B \in B_{\delta}$.

THERE IS A SET M'S M WITH

- a) M' ∈ J+(\$);
- E) M' n B IS FINITE FOR EACH BES
- 9 MONT IS INFINITE FOR EACH

OBSERVE: THE SET M' DOES NOT MEET THE BOUNDARY OF J.—
IN THE OPPOSITE CASE THE WOULD EXIST SOME DED, DEM' AND SINCE DEB FOR NO BEB,
DE Ad, CONTRARY TO OUR
ASSUMPTION.

SUCH THAT MONT IS BELOW TO.

CHOOSE BEB SUCH THAT

MONTOB IS INFINITE AND PUT

Mo = MonT > Bo.

WITH THE SET M; LET $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$ BE SUCH THAT FOR SOME $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$, $\alpha_1 : \alpha_2 \rightarrow 2$ WE HAVE THAT M, MEETS

THE BOUNDARY OF α_1 AND FOR EACH TE α_2 , Monte J(38).

ASSUME THAT WE WERE UNLICKY AGAIN: FOR EACH $\beta \in \alpha_1$, $M_f \in J^*(A_g)$. APPLY THE PREVIOUS REASONING TO GET COUNTABLE $B_1 \subseteq B_2$, $B_2 \in B$ AND $M_1 \subseteq M_2$, $M_1 \in J^*(B)$. CONTINUE: $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$, B_2 , B_2 , M_2

CONTINUE: 02 7 04, 152, 172, 173

WETC. IF WE NEEDED ALL WMANY STEPS, THEN WE GET

E Sup on AND A = U.S.

SINCE P(W)/Fin HAS A STRONG COUNTABLE SEPARATION PROPERTY THERE IS A SET LEM SUCH THAT L MAB FOR ALL REW AND LABIZW FOR EACH BEUR, CLEARLY, THE SET LE J'(5) AND L MEET THE BOUNDARY OF J. SO LEJ'(9). F De D SATISFIES DEL, THE D IS ALMOST DISJOINT WITH EACH BE U Bn, SO DER. THUS LE J'(A,), SO ME J'(L) AS WELL.

PROBLEM. IS THERE A COMPLETELY SEPARABLE MAD FAMILY ?

THEOREM. THE FOLLOWING ARE EQUIVALENT:

- (1) RPC (w);
- (ii) FOR EACH INFINITE MAD FAMILY & ON W, J+(B) HAS AN ALMOST DISJOINT REFINEMENT BY A COMPLETELY SEPARABLE ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILY;
 - (iii) THERE IS A BASE TREE T SUCH THAT EVERY MAD FAMILY LET IS COMPLETELY SEPARABLE.

PROOF. (iii) \rightarrow (ii) \rightarrow (i) IS TRIVIAL. (i) \rightarrow (iii): LET $\Theta = \{ \mathcal{A}_{\alpha} : \alpha < h \}$ BE AN ARRITRARY BASE MATRIX. PROCEEDING BY A TRANSFINITE INDUCTION, FIND A NEW BASE MATRIX

- a) FOR EACH of the Be Ac;
- 6) 131 = 20;
 - c) FOR EACH & Ch, Set IS

OF J'(B) - HERE (1) IS USED.

FOR EACH &< & AND FOR EACH BESS CHOOSE A UNIQUE C(B) & BZ+1 SATISFYING C(B) & B.

THE FAMILY {C(B): BE US,}

IS DENSE IN (9(W), 5") AND

LET 2 5 {C(B):B & U.S.

BE AN ARBITRARY MAD FAMILY AND

LET ME J'(9) BE ARBITRARY FOR EACH & < & LET \$ (M) = { B & B , n & : | B n M | = w }. LET &< & BE THE FIRST ONE WITH BER (M) INFINITE. CASE 1: & = B+1. WE HAVE \$ (M) NFINITE. THE COLLECTION US (M) IS FINITE AND 9 IS ALMOST DISJOINT, HENCE EACH BESS (M) HAS AN INFINITE INTERSECTION ALSO WITH M' = M - U U \$ (M), SINCE 9 € {C(B): BE U B }, WE GET THAT M'E J+ (Bo-1). BY c), THERE 15 SOME BE BE WITH BEM!

SINCE B IS DISJOINT WITH UUS (M) AND SINCE S IS MAXIMAL, THERE MUST BE SOME DE WITH DEM CASE 2: & IS A LIMIT ORDINAL. CONSIDER A FAMILY & BESS : FOR EACH B< AND EACH B' & B (M), BOB' IS FINITE := B'. THERE IS A SET M'S M SUCH THAT M' & J' (B') AND M' B IS FINITE FOR EACH BE U B (M). BY c), THERE IS SOME BE BE WITH RSH' AND WE HAY CONTINUE AS IN CASE 1.

OBSERVATION 19. SUPPOSE THAT
EACH DENSE SUBSET OF ([\omegattantal, state)]
CONTAINS A COMPLETELY SEPARABLE
MAD FAMILY. THEN RPC(\omegattantal)
HOLDS TRUE.

- NOTED, FOR A MAD FAMILY Q CONSIDER $\mathfrak{D} = \{D \in [\omega]^{\omega}: FOR SOME Q \in Q, D \in Q\}.$ ANY COMPLETELY SEPARABLE MAD FAMILY $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$ is the almost disjoint refinement of J(q).

SAHARON SHELAH PROVED IN 2009 THE STRONGEST KNOWN STATEMENT ABOUT $RPC(\omega)$.