

ALMOST DISJOINT REFINEMENT BY COUNTABLE SETS

THEOREM (BERNSTEIN, SIERPIŃSKI,
KURATOWSKI, ...): LET κ BE AN
INFINITE CARDINAL, $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$
A FAMILY OF SETS WITH $|A_\alpha| = \kappa$
FOR EACH α .

THEN THERE IS A PAIRWISE
DISJOINT FAMILY $\{D_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$
WITH $|D_\alpha| = \kappa$ AND $D_\alpha \subseteq A_\alpha$
FOR EACH α .

PROOF A STANDARD INDUCTION. \square

— A DISJOINT REFINEMENT

DEFINITION. A FAMILY \mathcal{A} IS AN ALMOST DISJOINT REFINEMENT OF A FAMILY \mathcal{M} , IF FOR EACH $M \in \mathcal{M}$ THERE IS AN $A \in \mathcal{A}$ WITH $A \subseteq M$, EACH $A \in \mathcal{A}$ IS COUNTABLY INFINITE AND ANY TWO DISTINCT MEMBERS OF \mathcal{A} ARE ALMOST DISJOINT.

WHICH SUBFAMILIES OF $[\omega]^\omega$ HAVE AN ALMOST DISJOINT REFINEMENT?

THEOREM (BALCAR, VOJTA'S 1980)
EACH FREE ULTRAFILTER ON ω HAS AN ALMOST DISJOINT REFINEMENT.

THE BASE MATRIX TREE.

A MAD FAMILY ON ω IS A FAMILY $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ SUCH THAT

- (i) ANY TWO MEMBERS ARE ALMOST DISJOINT;
- (ii) \mathcal{A} IS A MAXIMAL FAMILY SATISFYING (i).

OBSERVATION 1. THERE IS A COLLECTION \mathcal{B} CONSISTING OF MAD FAMILIES ON ω SUCH

THAT FOR EACH $M \in [\omega]^\omega$ THERE IS SOME $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}$ SUCH THAT M INTERSECTS AT LEAST TWO MEMBERS OF \mathcal{A} IN AN INFINITE SET.

(*) PROOF. FOR $X \in [\omega]^\omega$ CHOOSE AN ARBITRARY MAD $\mathcal{A}(X)$

SUCH THAT $x \in \mathcal{A}(x)$. PUT
 $\mathcal{A} = \{ \mathcal{A}(x) : x \in [\omega]^\omega \}$. GIVEN
 ARBITRARY $M \in [\omega]^\omega$, SELECT
 $x \in M$ WITH x AND $M \setminus x$
 INFINITE. BY MAXIMALITY OF
 $\mathcal{A}(x)$, THE SET M MUST MEET
 ALSO SOME OTHER SET IN $\mathcal{A}(x)$,
 DISTINCT FROM x . \square

DEFINITION. h IS THE MINIMAL
 SIZE OF A FAMILY \mathcal{A} , CONSIST-
 ING OF MAD FAMILIES SATISFY-
 ING $(*)$.

OBSERVATION 2. $\omega < h \leq 2^\omega$

PROOF. $h \leq 2^\omega$ BY OBSERVATION 1.
 IF $\{ \mathcal{A}_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega \}$ IS A COLLECTION
 OF MAD FAMILIES, PICK $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$

SO THAT $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ GENERATE
 A UNIFORM FILTER. THIS IS POSSIBLE
 BY THE MAXIMALITY OF ALL \mathcal{A}_n 'S.
 THEN CHOOSE $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < \dots$
 WITH $x_n \in \bigcap_{i \leq n} A_i$. THE SET
 $X = \{x_n : n \in \omega\}$ MEETS ONLY ONE
 ELEMENT OF \mathcal{A}_n IN AN INFI-
 NITE INTERSECTION. SO $\omega < h$. \square

NOTATION. A FAMILY Θ , CONSISTING
 OF MAD FAMILIES ON ω

- A MATRIX

A MATRIX, SATISFYING (*)

- A SHATTERING MATRIX

SUPPOSE \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} ARE TWO MAD
 FAMILIES ON ω . $\mathcal{A} \prec \mathcal{B}$

(\mathcal{A} IS FINER THAN \mathcal{B}) IF FOR EACH $A \in \mathcal{A}$ THERE IS A $B \in \mathcal{B}$ WITH $A \subseteq^* B$ ($\equiv A \setminus B$ IS FINITE).

OBSERVATION 3. LET $\kappa < \aleph$ AND SUPPOSE THAT $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ IS A MATRIX. THEN THERE IS A MAD FAMILY \mathcal{B} SUCH THAT FOR ALL $\alpha < \kappa$, $\mathcal{B} \perp A_\alpha$.

PROOF. THERE IS AT LEAST ONE SET $B \in [\omega]^\omega$ SUCH THAT FOR EACH $\alpha < \kappa$, B MEETS ONLY ONE $A \in A_\alpha$ IN AN INFINITE INTERSECTION.

(REASON: $\kappa < \aleph$ PLUS MINIMALITY OF \mathcal{A})
LET \mathcal{B} BE A MAXIMAL FAMILY, WHICH IS ALMOST DISJOINT AND CONSISTS FROM SUCH B 'S ONLY.

\mathcal{B} IS A MAD FAMILY: IF NOT,

THEN THERE IS AN INFINITE SET M ,
SUCH THAT THERE IS NO INFINITE
 $C \subseteq M$ WITH THE PROPERTY THAT
 C MEETS ONLY ONE ELEMENT OF \mathcal{A}_α
IN AN INFINITE INTERSECTION.

SO $\{A \cap M : A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha, |A \cap M| = \omega\}$
 $= \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ IS A MAD FAMILY ON M
AND $\{\mathcal{B}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ IS A SHATTERING
MATRIX ON M . ANY BIJECTION FROM
 M ONTO ω SHOWS NOW THAT $\kappa \geq h$,
A CONTRADICTION.

SO \mathcal{B} IS A MAD FAMILY AND
IS FINER THAN ALL \mathcal{A}_α 'S. \square

OBSERVATION 4. THERE IS A SHATTER-
-ING MATRIX $\{\mathcal{B}_\alpha : \alpha < h\}$ WITH
THE PROPERTY THAT FOR ANY
 $\alpha < \beta < h$, $\mathcal{B}_\beta \prec \mathcal{B}_\alpha$.

PROOF. TRANSFINITE INDUCTION. CHOOSE
ARBITRARILY A SHATTERING MATRIX
 $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < h\}$ AND PUT $B_0 = A_0$.
KNOWING B_α FOR ALL $\alpha < \beta < h$,
APPLY OBSERVATION 3 TO THE MATRIX
 $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \leq \beta\} \cup \{B_\alpha : \alpha < \beta\}$ TO
GET B_β . \square

NOTATION. A MATRIX $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\alpha : \alpha < h\}$
SUCH THAT FOR EACH $\alpha < \beta < h$,
 $A_\beta \prec A_\alpha$ - A **REFINING** MATRIX

OBSERVATION 5. h IS A REGULAR
CARDINAL.

PROOF. BY OBSERVATION 4, THERE IS
A MATRIX $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < h\}$ WHICH
IS SHATTERING AND **REFINING**.

LET I BE COFINAL SUBSET OF h .

8

THEN THE MATRIX $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ IS ALSO SHATTERING, SO $|I| = h$. \square

OBSERVATION 6. LET $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < h\}$ BE A SHATTERING AND REFINING MATRIX, LET $M \in [\omega]^\omega$. THEN THERE IS SOME $\alpha < h$ SUCH THAT $|\{A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha : A \cap M \text{ IS INFINITE}\}| = 2^\omega$.

PROOF. SINCE THE MATRIX IS SHATTERING, THERE IS SOME $\alpha_0 < h$ AND TWO DISTINCT $A_0, A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_0}$ SUCH

THAT $|A_0 \cap M| = \omega = |A_1 \cap M|$. THE SET

$A_0 \cap M$ IS INFINITE, SO THERE IS

SOME $\beta_0 < h$ AND TWO DISTINCT

$A_{00}, A_{01} \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta_0}$ SUCH THAT

$|A_{00} \cap A_0 \cap M| = \omega = |A_{01} \cap A_0 \cap M|$,

SIMILARLY, THERE IS SOME $\beta_1 < \beta_0$ AND

$A_{101} A_{11} \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta_1}$ SUCH THAT

$$|A_{10} \cap A_1 \cap M| = \omega = |A_{11} \cap A_1 \cap M|$$

THAT $\alpha_1 = \max\{\beta_0, \beta_1\}$. SINCE

THE MATRIX IS REFINING, WE CAN

ASSUME THAT $A_{00}, A_{01}, A_{10}, A_{11}$

BELONG TO \mathcal{A}_{α_1} . CONTINUING BY

INDUCTION, WE FIND AN INCREAS-

ING SEQUENCE $\langle \alpha_n : n < \omega \rangle$

AND DISTINCT SETS $A_\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{\alpha_n}$

FOR ALL $\varphi \in {}^{m+1}2$, SUCH THAT

$|A_\varphi \cap M| = \omega$ AND FOR $\varphi \subseteq \psi$,

$A_\varphi \supseteq A_\psi$. - LET $\alpha = \sup\{\alpha_n : n\}$.

IN \mathcal{A}_α , FOR EACH $f \in {}^\omega 2$, THERE

IS SOME $A_f \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ SUCH THAT

$A_f \subseteq^* A_{f \upharpoonright \pi}$ FOR ALL $\pi \in \omega$ AND

$A_f \cap M$ IS INFINITE. \square

OBSERVATION 7. THERE IS A SHATTERING AND REFINING MATRIX

$\{\mathcal{A}_\alpha : \alpha < h\}$ SUCH THAT FOR

EACH $M \in [\omega]^\omega$ THERE IS SOME

$\alpha < h$ AND $A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ WITH $A \subseteq M$.

PROOF. CHOOSE AN ARBITRARY

SHATTERING AND REFINING MATRIX

$\{\mathcal{B}_\alpha : \alpha < h\}$.

TRANSFINITE INDUCTION: SUPPOSE

$\alpha < h$ AND SUPPOSE THAT ALL

\mathcal{A}_β 'S FOR $\beta < \alpha$ ARE ALREADY

KNOWN.

BY OBSERVATION 3, THERE IS A MAD
FAMILY \mathcal{C}_α SUCH THAT

$\mathcal{C}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{P}_\beta$ FOR ALL $\beta \leq \alpha$, AND

$\mathcal{C}_\alpha \cap \mathcal{A}_\beta$ FOR ALL $\beta < \alpha$.

CONSIDER $\mathcal{M}_\alpha = \{M \subseteq \omega : \text{THE SET}$
 $\{C \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha : |C \cap M| = \omega\} \text{ HAS SIZE } 2^\omega\}$.

HAVING $|\mathcal{M}_\alpha| \leq 2^\omega$, APPLY BERN-
STEIN-SIERPIŃSKI-KURATOWSKI AND

ASSIGN TO EACH $M \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ SOME
 $C(M) \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ WITH $M \cap C(M)$ INFINITE
AND WITH $C(M) \neq C(M')$ FOR
DISTINCT $M, M' \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$.

LET \mathcal{A}_α BE THE COLLECTION
OF ALL $M \cap C(M)$ FOR $M \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$,
ALL INFINITE $C(M) \setminus M$ FOR $M \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$,
AND OF ALL $C \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha \setminus \{C(M) : M \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha\}$.

CLEARLY, THE RESULTING MATRIX
 $\{\mathcal{A}_\alpha : \alpha < h\}$ IS SHATTERING
AND REFINING. IF $M \in [\omega]^\omega$,
THEN BY OBSERVATION 6, FOR SOME
 $\alpha < h$ WE HAVE

$$|\{B \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha : |M \cap B| = \omega\}| = 2^\omega.$$

CLEARLY, FOR THIS α , $M \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$
AND HENCE $M \ni C(M) \cap M \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$. \square

OBSERVATION 8. LET $\mathcal{M} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$
BE A FAMILY OF SIZE $< 2^\omega$.

THEN THERE IS A MAD FAMILY \mathcal{A}
SUCH THAT EACH $M \in \mathcal{M}$ MEETS
AT LEAST 2 MEMBERS OF \mathcal{A}
IN AN INFINITE INTERSECTION.

PROOF. LET \mathcal{A} BE A MAXIMAL AND
ALMOST DISJOINT SUBFAMILY OF

$\{A \subseteq \omega : |A| = \omega \text{ \& } (\forall M \in \mathcal{M}) M \not\subseteq^* A\}$.

\mathcal{A} IS AS REQUIRED. INDEED, IF $X \in [\omega]^\omega$,

THEN THERE IS AN ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILY \mathcal{C} ON X OF SIZE 2^ω . SINCE $|\mathcal{M}| < 2^\omega$, THERE MUST EXIST SOME

$C \in \mathcal{C}$ SUCH THAT NO $M \in \mathcal{M}$ SATISFIES $M \subseteq^* C$. THUS \mathcal{A} IS MAD.

GIVEN $M \in \mathcal{M}$, THERE IS SOME $A \in \mathcal{A}$ WITH $|A \cap M| = \omega$ BY MAXIMALITY OF \mathcal{A} . BUT $|M \setminus A| = \omega$

AND SO THERE IS ALSO $A' \in \mathcal{A}$, $A' \neq A$ WITH $|A' \cap M| = \omega$. \square

OBSERVATION 9. $\mathfrak{h}_\omega \leq \text{cf}(2^\omega)$.

PROOF. EXPRESS

$[\omega]^\omega = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{M}_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cf}(2^\omega) \}$

SUCH THAT FOR EACH α , $|\mathcal{M}_\alpha| < 2^\omega$.

APPLY OBSERVATION 8 TO GET \mathcal{A}_α .

THE MATRIX $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < cf(2^\omega)\}$ IS SHATTERING. \square

OBSERVATION 10. $h \leq s$.

PROOF. COMPARE THE DEFINITIONS:

$h = \min \{|\theta| : \theta \text{ IS A SHATTERING MATRIX}\}$

$s = \min \{|\theta| : \theta \text{ IS A SHATTERING MATRIX, CONSISTING OF MAD FAMILIES OF SIZE } 2\}$. \square

OBSERVATION 11. $h \leq b$.

PROOF. FOR $X \in [\omega]^\omega$, LET e_x BE ITS ENUMERATION FUNCTION; I.E. e_x IS

A STRICTLY INCREASING MAPPING FROM ω ONTO X .

FIX A FAMILY $\{f_\alpha : \alpha < b\} \subseteq {}^\omega\omega$ UNBOUNDED IN $({}^\omega\omega, \leq^*)$.

PUT A_α TO BE A MAD FAMILY OF SETS A WITH $f_\alpha < e_A$.

THE MATRIX $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < b\}$ IS CLEARLY SHATTERING. \square

OBSERVATION 12. $t \leq h$.

PROOF. CHOOSE A SHATTERING AND REFINING MATRIX $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < h\}$ AND

CONSIDER ANY MAXIMAL FAMILY

$\mathcal{C} \subseteq \bigcup \{A_\alpha : \alpha < h\}$ LINEARLY OR-

DERED BY \subseteq^* . THEN $|\mathcal{C}| \leq h$

BECAUSE $|\mathcal{C} \cap A_\alpha| \leq 1$ AND \mathcal{C}

IS A NOWHERE DENSE TOWER, \square

HENCE $t \leq |\mathcal{C}|$.

DEFINITION. LET \mathcal{B} BE A BOOLEAN ALGEBRA, κ, λ, μ CARDINALS.

\mathcal{B} IS (κ, λ, μ) -DISTRIBUTIVE, IF

FOR EACH FAMILY $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ OF PARTITIONS OF UNITY SUCH THAT

$(\forall \alpha < \kappa) |P_\alpha| \leq \lambda$, THERE IS

A PARTITION OF UNITY, Q , WITH THE PROPERTY THAT $(\forall q \in Q)(\forall \alpha < \kappa)$

$$|\{p \in P_\alpha : p \wedge q > 0\}| < \mu.$$

A BOOLEAN ALGEBRA B IS NOWHERE (κ, λ, μ) -DISTRIBUTIVE, IF FOR EACH $b \in B^+$, $B \upharpoonright b$ IS NOT (κ, λ, μ) -DISTRIBUTIVE.

IN THE CASE WHEN THERE IS NO RESTRICTION ON THE SIZE OF P_α 'S, WE SHALL SPEAK ABOUT (κ, \cdot, μ) -DISTRIBUTIVITY OR NOWHERE (κ, \cdot, μ) -DISTRIBUTIVITY.

$$h = \min \{ \kappa : \mathcal{P}(\omega) / \mathcal{P}_{fin} \text{ IS NOT } (\kappa, \cdot, 2)\text{-DISTRIBUTIVE} \}$$

THEOREM (BASE TREE) [BALCAR, PELANT, 9]

(A) $\kappa = \min \{ \kappa : \mathcal{P}(\omega) / \text{fin} \text{ IS NOT } (\kappa, \cdot, 2^\omega)\text{-DISTRIBUTIVE} \}$

(B) THERE EXISTS A FAMILY

$T \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega) / \text{fin}$ SUCH THAT

(i) T IS A DENSE SUBSET OF $\mathcal{P}(\omega) / \text{fin}$

(ii) $\langle T, \supseteq \rangle$ IS A TREE OF HEIGHT κ

(iii) EACH LEVEL T_α IS A PARTITION OF UNITY

(iv) EACH $t \in T$ HAS 2^ω IMMEDIATE SUCCESSORS.

PROOF. $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\aleph_1}$ OBSERVATION π . \square

A TREE T AS IN (B) - A **BASE TREE**

THEOREM [BALCAR, VOJTÁŠ]. LET

$\{R_\pi : \pi \in \omega\}$ BE A PARTITION
OF ω . THEN THE FAMILY

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ M \subseteq \omega : \limsup_{\pi \in \omega} |M \cap R_\pi| = \infty \right\}$$

HAS AN ALMOST DISJOINT REFI-
NEMENT.

PROOF. FOR $M \in \mathcal{M}$, LET

$$\text{dom}(M) = \left\{ \pi \in \omega : M \cap R_\pi \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

WE MAY AND SHALL ASSUME THAT
FOR EACH $M \in \mathcal{M}$ AND ANY TWO
 $n < k$, $n, k \in \text{dom}(M)$,

$$|M \cap R_n| < |M \cap R_k| < \omega.$$

A **TRANSVERSAL** IS AN INFINITE
SUBSET OF ω , WHICH MEETS
EACH R_π IN AT MOST 1 POINT.
AN ALMOST DISJOINT REFINEMENT

WE ARE LOOKING FOR, WILL CONSIST OF TRANSVERSALS.

FIX A BASE TREE ON ω AND REPRESENT ITS LEVELS AS MAD FAMILIES

\mathcal{A}_α FOR $\alpha < h$.

TRANSFINITE INDUCTION TO h :

LET $\mathcal{M}_\alpha = \{M \in \mathcal{M} : |\{A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha : A \subseteq^* \text{dom}(M)\}| = 2^{\aleph_1}\}$

FOR EACH $M \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$, CHOOSE

$A(M) \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$ SUCH THAT FOR $M \neq M'$,

$A(M) \cap A(M')$ IS FINITE.

FOR EACH $M \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$, CHOOSE A TRANSVERSAL $T(M) \subseteq M$ SUCH

THAT $\text{dom } T(M) = A(M)$ AND

$T(M)$ IS ALMOST DISJOINT WITH

ALL ELEMENTS OF THE REFINEMENT CONSTRUCTED UP TO NOW.

THIS IS ALWAYS POSSIBLE!

INDEED, IF A AND A' ARE DISTINCT MEMBERS OF $\bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \mathcal{A}_\beta$ AND

$$A = \text{dom } T(M), \quad A' = \text{dom } T(M')$$

AND A, A' ARE ALMOST DISJOINT, THEN $T(M)$ AND $T(M')$ ARE ALMOST DISJOINT AS WELL.

AND, A AND A' ARE NOT ALMOST DISJOINT FOR $A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$, IF $A' \in \mathcal{A}_\beta$ FOR SOME $\beta < \alpha$, AND IN SUCH A CASE SUCH A' IS UNIQUE AND SATISFIES $A \subseteq^* A'$.

THEREFORE, THE NUMBER OF TRANSVERSALS, WHICH MEET THE SET $\bigcup \{M \cap R_\pi : \pi \in A\}$ IN AN INFINITE INTERSECTION, IS OF SIZE AT MOST $|\alpha| < \kappa \leq \mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{a}$.

SO THERE IS A ROOM FOR ANOTHER ALMOST DISJOINT $T(M)$. \square

COROLLARY. THE FAMILY OF ALL SUBSETS OF ω WHICH HAVE A POSITIVE UPPER BANACH DENSITY HAS AN ALMOST DISJOINT REFINEMENT.

A SET $A \subseteq \omega$ HAS A POSITIVE UPPER BANACH DENSITY IF THERE IS A SEQUENCE OF INTERVALS

$\langle I_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ OF INCREASING LENGTHS

SUCH THAT

$$\limsup_{n \in \omega} \frac{|I_n \cap A|}{|I_n|} > 0$$

LET $\{R_n : n \in \omega\}$ BE DEFINED

BY $R_n = [n^m, (n+1)^{m+1})$.

[SZEMERÉDI 1975] EVERY SET OF POSITIVE UPPER BANACH DENSITY CONTAINS ARBITRARILY LONG FINITE ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS.

So, IF THE SET M HAS A POSITIVE UPPER BANACH DENSITY, THEN

$$\limsup_{N \in \mathcal{W}} |M \cap R_N| = \infty.$$

THE THEOREM APPLIES.

COROLLARY. THE FAMILY OF ALL SUBSETS OF \mathbb{R} WHICH HAVE INFINITELY MANY ACCUMULATION POINTS HAS AN ALMOST DISJOINT REFINEMENT.

- NOTICE THAT THE PROOF OF BALCAR-VOJTA'S THEOREM DID NOT MAKE ANY USE FROM THE FACT THAT R_n 'S ARE COUNTABLE.

WHAT WAS REALLY NEEDED WAS THE FACT THAT $|M| \leq 2^{\aleph_1}$.

GIVEN A REAL α , THEN THE

FAMILY OF ALL COUNTABLE SUBSETS
OF \mathbb{R} WITH x AS A POINT FROM THE
SECOND DERIVED SET, HAS AN ALMOST
DISJOINT REFINEMENT BY SEQUENCES
CONVERGING TO x INDEED, CONSIDER

$$R_n = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : 2^{-n-1} < |x-t| \leq 2^{-n}\}.$$

AND SEQUENCES CONVERGING TO x
ARE ALMOST DISJOINT FROM SEQUEN-
CES CONVERGING TO y , FOR $x \neq y$.

FINALLY, FOR THOSE SETS, WHICH
HAVE INFINITELY MANY ACCUMULA-
TION POINTS, BUT AN EMPTY
SECOND DERIVED SET, CHOOSE

$$R_n = [-n-1, -n) \cup [n, n+1).$$

COROLLARY. LET $\kappa \leq 2^\omega$. THE
FAMILY $\mathcal{M} = \{M \subseteq \kappa : \text{ORDERTYPE}(M) = \omega^2\}$
HAS AN ALMOST DISJOINT REFINEMENT.

- SIMILARLY AS BEFORE,
DO IT SEPARATELY FOR EACH $\alpha < \kappa$,
WHICH IS A LIMIT OF COUNTABLY
MANY LIMIT ORDINALS.

PROOF OF BALCAR-VOJTÁŠ THEOREM.

LET \mathcal{U} BE A UNIFORM ULTRAFILTER ON ω .

LET τ BE THE LENGTH OF A MAXIMAL \subseteq^* -DECREASING SUBSET OF \mathcal{U} .

WE HAVE A FAMILY $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \tau\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$

SATISFYING, BY MAXIMALITY, THAT

$$(\forall U \in \mathcal{U}) (\exists \alpha < \tau) |U \setminus U_\alpha| = \omega.$$

WE CAN ASSUME THAT FOR EACH

$$\alpha < \beta < \tau, |U_\alpha \setminus U_\beta| = \omega.$$

CASE $\tau = \omega$: PUT $R_n = \bigcap_{i < n} U_i \setminus U_n$

WE HAVE A PARTITION $\{R_n : n \in \omega\}$

SUCH THAT FOR EACH $U \in \mathcal{U}$,

$\{n \in \omega : |U \cap R_n| = \omega\}$ IS INFINITE.

APPLY PREVIOUS THEOREM.

CASE $\tau > \omega$: FOR $\alpha < \tau$ WITH $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega$, CHOOSE AN INCREASING SEQUENCE $\langle \alpha_n : n < \omega \rangle$, COFINAL IN α . PUT $R_n^\alpha = \bigcap_{i < n} U_{\alpha_i} \setminus (U_{\alpha_n} \cup U_{\alpha_n})$. $\{R_n^\alpha : n < \omega\}$ IS A PARTITION OF $\omega \setminus U_\alpha$; APPLY PREVIOUS THEOREM TO GET AN ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILY CONSISTING OF TRANSVERSALS CONTAINED IN $\omega \setminus U_\alpha$; CALL IT \mathcal{T}_α .

\mathcal{T}_α IS ALMOST DISJOINT. IF $\alpha < \beta < \tau$

ARE TWO ORDINALS OF COUNTABLE COFINALITY, $T \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ AND $T' \in \mathcal{T}_\beta$, THEN

$T' \subseteq U_\alpha$ AND $T \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset$, SO T AND

T' ARE ALMOST DISJOINT.

LET $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{T}_\alpha : \alpha < \tau, \text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega \}$.

\mathcal{T} IS THE REQUIRED ALMOST DISJOINT

REFINEMENT OF \mathcal{U} : INDEED, IF
 $U \in \mathcal{U}$ IS ARBITRARY, THEN THERE
 IS SOME $\alpha_0 < \tau$ WITH $U \setminus U_{\alpha_0}$
 INFINITE. FOR $U \cap U_{\alpha_0}$, THERE
 IS SOME $\alpha_1 < \tau$ WITH $U \cap U_{\alpha_0} \setminus U_{\alpha_1}$
 INFINITE. PROCEEDING FURTHER,
 WE GET A STRICTLY INCREASING
 SEQUENCE $\langle \alpha_n : n < \omega \rangle$, LET α
 BE ITS SUPREMUM. NOW, $U \cap R_{\alpha}^{\omega}$
 IS INFINITE FOR INFINITELY MANY
 n 's, SO THERE IS SOME $T \in \bigcup_{\alpha} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$
 WITH $T \subseteq U$. □

ORIGINS OF THE PROBLEM.

A TOPOLOGICAL SPACE ω^* IS NOT EXTREMALLY DISCONNECTED.

THEREFORE THERE IS A POINT $p \in \omega^*$ AND TWO DISJOINT OPEN SETS U, V SUCH THAT $p \in \overline{U} \cap \overline{V}$.

DEFINITION. LET X BE A TOPOLOGICAL SPACE, $p \in X$, κ A CARDINAL NUMBER.

A POINT p IS CALLED A κ -POINT, IF THERE IS A PAIRWISE DISJOINT FAMILY \mathcal{V} OF OPEN SETS, $|\mathcal{V}| = \kappa$, SUCH THAT $p \in \overline{V}$ FOR EACH $V \in \mathcal{V}$.

~ REPLACING POINT p BY SET $Z \subseteq X$ AND REQUIRING $Z \subseteq \overline{V}$ IN THE ABOVE, THE SET Z IS A κ -SET.

QUESTION: [R.S. PIERCE, 1967]

IS THERE A 3-POINT IN ω^* ?

USING STANDARD IDENTIFICATION

$$\omega^* = \beta\omega \setminus \omega = \text{cl}(\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin})$$

WE HAVE POINTS IN ω^* IDENTIFIED WITH FREE ULTRAFILTERS ON ω .

FOR $A \subseteq \omega$, $A^* = \text{cl}_{\beta\omega}(A) \cap \omega^*$.

THEOREM: THE FOLLOWING ARE EQUIVALENT FOR A SET $Z \subseteq \omega^*$:

(i) Z IS A 2^ω -SET

(ii) A FAMILY $\mathcal{M} = \{M \subseteq \omega : M^* \cap Z \neq \emptyset\}$ HAS AN ALMOST DISJOINT REFINEMENT.

PROOF. (i) \rightarrow (ii): LET \mathcal{V} BE A SET OF PAIRWISE DISJOINT OPEN SUBSETS OF ω^* WITH $|\mathcal{V}| = 2^\omega$ AND $Z \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{V}}$ FOR EACH $V \in \mathcal{V}$. SINCE $|\mathcal{M}| \leq 2^\omega$, CHOOSE FOR EACH $M \in \mathcal{M}$ SOME $V(M) \in \mathcal{V}$ WITH $V(M) \neq V(M')$ FOR DISTINCT $M, M' \in \mathcal{M}$.

FOR EACH $M \in \mathcal{M}$ AND EACH $V \in \mathcal{V}$
WE HAVE THAT THE SET $M^* \cap V$
IS A NON-EMPTY OPEN SUBSET
IN ω^* . INDEED, $M^* \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ AND
 $Z \subseteq \overline{V}$, SO $M^* \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

SO WE CAN FOR EACH $M \in \mathcal{M}$
CHOOSE AN INFINITE SET $A(M) \subseteq M$
WITH $A(M)^* \subseteq M^* \cap V(M)$. THE FAMILY
 $\{A(M) : M \in \mathcal{M}\}$ IS APPARENTLY A
REFINEMENT OF \mathcal{M} AND IS ALSO
ALMOST DISJOINT, FOR IF $M \neq M'$,
THEN $A(M)^* \subseteq V(M)$ AND $A(M')^* \subseteq V(M')$
AND $V(M), V(M')$ WERE CHOSEN
DISTINCT, HENCE DISJOINT.

(ii) \rightarrow (i): LET \mathcal{A} BE AN ALMOST
DISJOINT REFINEMENT OF A FAMILY
 \mathcal{M} . FOR EACH $A \in \mathcal{A}$, FIX AN

ALMOST DISJOINT FAMILY $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < 2^\omega\}$
OF SUBSETS OF A . PUT

$$V_\alpha = \bigcup \{A_\alpha^* : A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

AS A UNION OF CLOPEN SUBSETS
OF ω^* , EACH V_α IS OPEN.

IF $\alpha \neq \beta$, THEN $V_\alpha \cap V_\beta = \emptyset$: THE
SETS A_α, A_β ARE ALMOST

DISJOINT, SINCE \mathcal{A} IS ALMOST DISJOINT
AS WELL AS EACH $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < 2^\omega\}$.

LET $\alpha < 2^\omega$ BE ARBITRARY, LET

$\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{Z}$ BE ARBITRARY AND LET

$M \in \mathcal{U}$ BE ARBITRARY. THEN THERE

IS SOME $A \in \mathcal{A}$ WITH $A \subseteq M$.

SO ALSO $A_\alpha \subseteq M$. THUS $A_\alpha^* \subseteq M^*$

AND SO $M^* \cap V_\alpha \neq \emptyset$. CONSEQUENTLY

$\mathcal{U} \in \overline{V_\alpha}$ AND FINALLY $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \overline{V_\alpha}$. \square