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## (+)-covering number

Suppose that $\mathcal{J}$ is a tall, homogeneous ideal on $\omega$. Recall $\operatorname{add}^{*}(\mathcal{J})=$ the minimal size of a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ with no pseudo-union in $\mathcal{J}$, $\operatorname{cov}^{*}(\mathcal{J})=$ the minimal size of a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ such that every infinite set infinitely intersects some element of $\mathcal{F}$.

## (+)-covering number

We define then the (+)-covering number of $\mathcal{J}$ as
$\operatorname{cov}_{+}^{*}(\mathcal{J})=$ the minimal size of a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ such that every $\mathcal{J}$-positive set infinitely intersects some element of $\mathcal{F}$.
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## Antichain number

Let $\mathbb{B}$ be a Boolean algebra. The antichain number of $\mathbb{B}$ is defined as
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We will write $\mathfrak{a}(J)$ for $\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{P}(\omega) / J)$
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- (J.Steprans 2009) $\mathfrak{p} \leq \mathfrak{a}(n w d) \leq \mathfrak{b}$
- (J.Cancino 2023) $\operatorname{add}(\mathcal{M}) \leq \mathfrak{a}(n w d)$
- (J.Brendle 2023) $\mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{a}($ fin $\times$ fin $) \leq \mathfrak{a}$
- (J.Steprans 2009) $\mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{a}(\varnothing \times f i n) \leq a$ ?
- (B.Farkas, L.Soukup 2010) $\mathfrak{b} \leq \mathfrak{a}(J)$ for analytic $P$-ideals
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## Theorem

Suppose that an ideal $\mathcal{J}$ is good. Then we have

$$
\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{J}) \geq \min \left\{\mathfrak{b}, \operatorname{cov}_{+}^{*}(\mathcal{J})\right\} .
$$

| $\mathcal{J}$ | $\operatorname{add}^{*}(\mathcal{J})$ | $\operatorname{cov}_{+}^{*}(\mathcal{J})$ | $\operatorname{cov}^{*}(\mathcal{J})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{nwd}$ | $\omega$ | $\operatorname{add}(\mathcal{M})$ | $\operatorname{cov}(\mathcal{M})$ |
| $\operatorname{fin} \times$ fin | $\omega$ | $\omega$ | $\mathfrak{b}$ |
| $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{D}_{\text {fin }}$ | $\omega$ | $\min \left\{\mathfrak{b}, \operatorname{cov}^{*}\left(\mathcal{E D} \mathcal{D}_{\text {fin }}\right)\right\} \leq$ | $\operatorname{non}(\mathcal{M})$ |
| $\mathcal{Z}$ | $\operatorname{add}(\mathcal{N})$ | $?$ | $\leq \operatorname{non}(\mathcal{M})$ |
| $\mathcal{S o l}$ | $\omega$ | $\operatorname{non}(\mathcal{N})$ | $\operatorname{non}(\mathcal{N})$ |
| $\mathcal{R}$ | $\omega$ | $\mathfrak{c}$ | $\mathfrak{c}$ |
| $\operatorname{conv}$ | $\omega$ | $\mathfrak{c}$ | $\mathfrak{c}$ |

## Proof

## Theorem

Suppose that $\mathcal{J}$ is a good ideal. Then $\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{J}) \geq \min \left\{\mathfrak{b}, \operatorname{cov}_{+}^{*}(\mathcal{J})\right\}$.
Let $\kappa<\min \left\{\mathfrak{b}, \operatorname{cov}_{+}^{*}(\mathcal{J})\right\}$.
Let $\left\{A_{\alpha}: \alpha<\kappa\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{+}$be such that $A_{\alpha} \cap A_{\beta} \in \mathcal{J}$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$. We will construct $C \in \mathcal{J}^{+}$such that $C \cap A_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{J}$ for all $\alpha$ 's.
(1) Using $\kappa<\operatorname{cov}_{+}^{*}(\mathcal{J})$ find $\mathcal{J}$-positive $B_{\alpha}$ 's such that $B_{\alpha} \subseteq A_{\alpha}$ and $B_{\alpha} \cap A_{\beta}$ is finite for $\alpha \neq \beta$.
(1) Using $\kappa<\operatorname{cov}_{+}^{*}(\mathcal{J})$ find $\mathcal{J}$-positive $B_{\alpha}$ 's such that $B_{\alpha} \subseteq A_{\alpha}$ and $B_{\alpha} \cap A_{\beta}$ is finite for $\alpha \neq \beta$.
(2) (goodness of $\mathcal{J}$ ) Every unctbl almost disjoint family $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{+}$ has a ctbl subfamily $\left\{B_{n}: n \in \omega\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ such that: for every $f \in \omega^{\omega}$ there is a sequence $\left\{C_{n}: n \in \omega\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, $C_{n} \subseteq B_{n} \backslash f(n)$ such that $C:=\cup_{n} C_{n}$ is $\mathcal{J}$-positive.
(1) Using $\kappa<\operatorname{cov}_{+}^{*}(\mathcal{J})$ find $\mathcal{J}$-positive $B_{\alpha}$ 's such that $B_{\alpha} \subseteq A_{\alpha}$ and $B_{\alpha} \cap A_{\beta}$ is finite for $\alpha \neq \beta$.
(2) (goodness of $\mathcal{J}$ ) Every unctbl almost disjoint family $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{+}$ has a ctbl subfamily $\left\{B_{n}: n \in \omega\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ such that: for every $f \in \omega^{\omega}$ there is a sequence $\left\{C_{n}: n \in \omega\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$, $C_{n} \subseteq B_{n} \backslash f(n)$ such that $C:=\cup_{n} C_{n}$ is $\mathcal{J}$-positive.
(3) Let $f_{\alpha} \in \omega^{\omega}$ be such that $B_{n} \cap A_{\alpha} \subseteq f_{\alpha}(n)$. Using $\kappa<\mathfrak{b}$ find $f \in \omega^{\omega}$ dominating all $f_{\alpha}$ 's. Use goodness to find desired $C$.

Which ideals are good?

- nwd
- $\varnothing \times$ fin and fin $\times$ fin
- all $F_{\sigma}$ ideals, in particular:
$\mathcal{E D}$, Random graph and Solecki ideal, Van Der Waerden ideal
- all analytic $P$-ideals:
- conv, Ramsey ideal
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- conv, Ramsey ideal

Which Borel (analytic, coanalytic) ideal is not good?
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