

Distributivity spectra and fresh functions

Wolfgang Wohofsky

joint work with Vera Fischer and Marlene Koelbing

Universität Wien (Kurt Gödel Research Center)

wolfgang.wohofsky@gmx.at

Winter School in Abstract Analysis 2022
1st of February 2022

Definition

\mathbb{P} is **λ -distributive** if it does not add a function $f : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ with $f \notin V$.
 $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}) :=$ least λ such that \mathbb{P} is **not** λ -distributive (the **distributivity** of \mathbb{P}).

Let $\lambda = \mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$, and let $f : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ witness this, i.e., $f \notin V$.

Note that $f \upharpoonright \gamma \in V$ for every $\gamma < \lambda$ (f is not just **new**, but even **"fresh"**).

Definition (Fresh function spectrum)

We say that $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$ if in some extension of V by \mathbb{P} ,

there exists a **fresh function on λ** ,

i.e., a function $f : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ with

- 1 $f \notin V$, but
- 2 $f \upharpoonright \gamma \in V$ for every $\gamma < \lambda$.

Note: $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) \iff \text{cf}(\lambda) \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$

So from now on, we only talk about **regular** cardinals λ .

Definition

\mathbb{P} is **λ -distributive** if it does not add a function $f : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ with $f \notin V$.
 $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}) :=$ least λ such that \mathbb{P} is **not** λ -distributive (the **distributivity** of \mathbb{P}).

Let $\lambda = \mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$, and let $f : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ witness this, i.e., $f \notin V$.

Note that $f \upharpoonright \gamma \in V$ for every $\gamma < \lambda$ (f is not just **new**, but even **“fresh”**).

Definition (Fresh function spectrum)

We say that $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$ if in some extension of V by \mathbb{P} ,

there exists a **fresh function on λ** ,

i.e., a function $f : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ with

- 1 $f \notin V$, but
- 2 $f \upharpoonright \gamma \in V$ for every $\gamma < \lambda$.

Note: $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) \iff \text{cf}(\lambda) \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$

So from now on, we only talk about **regular** cardinals λ .

Definition

\mathbb{P} is **λ -distributive** if it does not add a function $f : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ with $f \notin V$.
 $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}) :=$ least λ such that \mathbb{P} is **not** λ -distributive (the **distributivity** of \mathbb{P}).

Let $\lambda = \mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$, and let $f : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ witness this, i.e., $f \notin V$.

Note that $f \upharpoonright \gamma \in V$ for every $\gamma < \lambda$ (f is not just **new**, but even **“fresh”**).

Definition (Fresh function spectrum)

We say that $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$ if in some extension of V by \mathbb{P} ,

there exists a **fresh function on λ** ,

i.e., a function $f : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ with

- 1 $f \notin V$, but
- 2 $f \upharpoonright \gamma \in V$ for every $\gamma < \lambda$.

Note: $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) \iff \text{cf}(\lambda) \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$

So from now on, we only talk about **regular** cardinals λ .

Definition

\mathbb{P} is **λ -distributive** if it does not add a function $f : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ with $f \notin V$.
 $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}) :=$ least λ such that \mathbb{P} is **not** λ -distributive (the **distributivity** of \mathbb{P}).

Let $\lambda = \mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$, and let $f : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ witness this, i.e., $f \notin V$.

Note that $f \upharpoonright \gamma \in V$ for every $\gamma < \lambda$ (f is not just **new**, but even **“fresh”**).

Definition (Fresh function spectrum)

We say that $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$ if in some extension of V by \mathbb{P} ,

there exists a **fresh function on λ** ,

i.e., a function $f : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ with

- 1 $f \notin V$, but
- 2 $f \upharpoonright \gamma \in V$ for every $\gamma < \lambda$.

Note: $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) \iff \text{cf}(\lambda) \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$

So from now on, we only talk about **regular** cardinals λ .

- $\min(\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})) = \mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$

Proposition

If $\lambda > |\mathbb{P}|$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Proof (Sketch).

- assume towards contradiction that $\dot{f} : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ is fresh
- for each $\gamma < \lambda$, fix $p_\gamma \in \mathbb{P}$ such that p_γ **decides** $\dot{f} \upharpoonright \gamma$
- λ regular, so there exists p^* with $p_\gamma = p^*$ for **unboundedly** many γ
- so p^* decides \dot{f} (and hence \dot{f} is not new) □

- $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) \subseteq [\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}), |\mathbb{P}|]$

Example: Let \mathbb{C} be the usual ω -Cohen forcing (with $|\mathbb{C}| = \omega$).

$$\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{C}) = \{\omega\}$$

- $\min(\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})) = \mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$

Proposition

If $\lambda > |\mathbb{P}|$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Proof (Sketch).

- assume towards contradiction that $\dot{f} : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ is fresh
- for each $\gamma < \lambda$, fix $p_\gamma \in \mathbb{P}$ such that p_γ **decides** $\dot{f} \upharpoonright \gamma$
- λ regular, so there exists p^* with $p_\gamma = p^*$ for **unboundedly** many γ
- so p^* decides \dot{f} (and hence \dot{f} is not new) □

- $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) \subseteq [\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}), |\mathbb{P}|]$

Example: Let \mathbb{C} be the usual ω -Cohen forcing (with $|\mathbb{C}| = \omega$).

$$\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{C}) = \{\omega\}$$

- $\min(\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})) = \mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$

Proposition

If $\lambda > |\mathbb{P}|$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Proof (Sketch).

- assume towards contradiction that $\dot{f} : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ is fresh
- for each $\gamma < \lambda$, fix $p_\gamma \in \mathbb{P}$ such that p_γ **decides** $\dot{f} \upharpoonright \gamma$
- λ regular, so there exists p^* with $p_\gamma = p^*$ for **unboundedly** many γ
- so p^* decides \dot{f} (and hence \dot{f} is not new) □

- $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) \subseteq [\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}), |\mathbb{P}|]$

Example: Let \mathbb{C} be the usual ω -Cohen forcing (with $|\mathbb{C}| = \omega$).

$$\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{C}) = \{\omega\}$$

- $\min(\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})) = \mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$

Proposition

If $\lambda > |\mathbb{P}|$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Proof (Sketch).

- assume towards contradiction that $\dot{f} : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ is fresh
- for each $\gamma < \lambda$, fix $p_\gamma \in \mathbb{P}$ such that p_γ **decides** $\dot{f} \upharpoonright \gamma$
- λ regular, so there exists p^* with $p_\gamma = p^*$ for **unboundedly** many γ
- so p^* decides \dot{f} (and hence \dot{f} is not new) □

- $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) \subseteq [\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}), |\mathbb{P}|]$

Example: Let \mathbb{C} be the usual ω -Cohen forcing (with $|\mathbb{C}| = \omega$).

$$\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{C}) = \{\omega\}$$

- $\min(\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})) = \mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$

Proposition

If $\lambda > |\mathbb{P}|$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Proof (Sketch).

- assume towards contradiction that $\dot{f} : \lambda \rightarrow \text{Ord}$ is fresh
- for each $\gamma < \lambda$, fix $p_\gamma \in \mathbb{P}$ such that p_γ **decides** $\dot{f} \upharpoonright \gamma$
- λ regular, so there exists p^* with $p_\gamma = p^*$ for **unboundedly** many γ
- so p^* decides \dot{f} (and hence \dot{f} is not new) □

- $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) \subseteq [\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}), |\mathbb{P}|]$

Example: Let \mathbb{C} be the usual ω -Cohen forcing (with $|\mathbb{C}| = \omega$).

$$\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{C}) = \{\omega\}$$

Theorem

If \mathbb{P} satisfies $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ is χ -c.c. and $\lambda \geq \chi$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Let \mathbb{C}_μ be the forcing for adding μ many ω -Cohen reals (μ arbitrary).

$$\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{C}_\mu) = \{\omega\}$$

Is \mathbb{P} being χ -c.c. sufficient? No: consider a Suslin tree T (on ω_1)

Theorem

If \mathbb{P} is χ -c.c. and $\lambda > \chi$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Lemma (Kurepa)

Let λ be a regular cardinal and $\chi < \lambda$, and let T be a tree of height λ all whose levels are of size less than χ . Then

- T has a cofinal branch;
- (assuming T is well-pruned) there exists $\gamma < \lambda$ such that T does not split above level γ .

Theorem

If \mathbb{P} satisfies $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ is χ -c.c. and $\lambda \geq \chi$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Let \mathbb{C}_μ be the forcing for adding μ many ω -Cohen reals (μ arbitrary).

$$\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{C}_\mu) = \{\omega\}$$

Is \mathbb{P} being χ -c.c. sufficient? No: consider a Suslin tree T (on ω_1)

Theorem

If \mathbb{P} is χ -c.c. and $\lambda > \chi$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Lemma (Kurepa)

Let λ be a regular cardinal and $\chi < \lambda$, and let T be a tree of height λ all whose levels are of size less than χ . Then

- T has a cofinal branch;
- (assuming T is well-pruned) there exists $\gamma < \lambda$ such that T does not split above level γ .

Theorem

If \mathbb{P} satisfies $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ is χ -c.c. and $\lambda \geq \chi$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Let \mathbb{C}_μ be the forcing for adding μ many ω -Cohen reals (μ arbitrary).

$$\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{C}_\mu) = \{\omega\}$$

Is \mathbb{P} being χ -c.c. sufficient? No: consider a Suslin tree T (on ω_1)

Theorem

If \mathbb{P} is χ -c.c. and $\lambda > \chi$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Lemma (Kurepa)

Let λ be a regular cardinal and $\chi < \lambda$, and let T be a tree of height λ all whose levels are of size less than χ . Then

- T has a cofinal branch;
- (assuming T is well-pruned) there exists $\gamma < \lambda$ such that T does not split above level γ .

Theorem

If \mathbb{P} satisfies $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ is χ -c.c. and $\lambda \geq \chi$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Let \mathbb{C}_μ be the forcing for adding μ many ω -Cohen reals (μ arbitrary).

$$\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{C}_\mu) = \{\omega\}$$

Is \mathbb{P} being χ -c.c. sufficient? No: consider a Suslin tree T (on ω_1)

Theorem

If \mathbb{P} is χ -c.c. and $\lambda > \chi$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Lemma (Kurepa)

Let λ be a regular cardinal and $\chi < \lambda$, and let T be a tree of height λ all whose levels are of size less than χ . Then

- T has a cofinal branch;
- (assuming T is well-pruned) there exists $\gamma < \lambda$ such that T does not split above level γ .

Theorem

If \mathbb{P} satisfies $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ is χ -c.c. and $\lambda \geq \chi$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Let \mathbb{C}_μ be the forcing for adding μ many ω -Cohen reals (μ arbitrary).

$$\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{C}_\mu) = \{\omega\}$$

Is \mathbb{P} being χ -c.c. sufficient? No: consider a Suslin tree T (on ω_1)

Theorem

If \mathbb{P} is χ -c.c. and $\lambda > \chi$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Lemma (Kurepa)

Let λ be a regular cardinal and $\chi < \lambda$, and let T be a tree of height λ all whose levels are of size less than χ . Then

- T has a cofinal branch;
- (assuming T is well-pruned) there exists $\gamma < \lambda$ such that T does not split above level γ .

Theorem

If \mathbb{P} satisfies $\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ is χ -c.c. and $\lambda \geq \chi$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Let \mathbb{C}_μ be the forcing for adding μ many ω -Cohen reals (μ arbitrary).

$$\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{C}_\mu) = \{\omega\}$$

Is \mathbb{P} being χ -c.c. sufficient? No: consider a Suslin tree T (on ω_1)

Theorem

If \mathbb{P} is χ -c.c. and $\lambda > \chi$, then $\lambda \notin \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Lemma (Kurepa)

Let λ be a regular cardinal and $\chi < \lambda$, and let T be a tree of height λ all whose levels are of size less than χ . Then

- T has a cofinal branch;
- (assuming T is well-pruned) there exists $\gamma < \lambda$ such that T does not split above level γ .

Possible fresh function spectra under GCH

Let A be a set of regular cardinals.

Is there a (homogeneous!) forcing \mathbb{P} such that $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = A$?

Examples under GCH:

- $FRESH(\mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = \{\lambda\}$
 - ▶ $<\lambda$ -closed
 - ▶ $|\mathbb{C}(\lambda)| = \lambda$
- $FRESH(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}(\omega_1)) = \{\omega, \omega_1\}$
 - ▶ If a function is fresh, it **remains fresh** in any extension.
- $FRESH(\mathbb{C}(\omega_1) \times \mathbb{C}(\omega_3)) = \{\omega_1, \omega_3\}$
- More generally: if A is finite, then $FRESH(\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = A$

What if A is infinite?

Possible fresh function spectra under GCH

Let A be a set of regular cardinals.

Is there a (homogeneous!) forcing \mathbb{P} such that $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = A$?

Examples under GCH:

- $FRESH(\mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = \{\lambda\}$
 - ▶ $<\lambda$ -closed
 - ▶ $|\mathbb{C}(\lambda)| = \lambda$
- $FRESH(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}(\omega_1)) = \{\omega, \omega_1\}$
 - ▶ If a function is fresh, it **remains fresh** in any extension.
- $FRESH(\mathbb{C}(\omega_1) \times \mathbb{C}(\omega_3)) = \{\omega_1, \omega_3\}$
- More generally: if A is finite, then $FRESH(\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = A$

What if A is infinite?

Possible fresh function spectra under GCH

Let A be a set of regular cardinals.

Is there a (homogeneous!) forcing \mathbb{P} such that $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = A$?

Examples under GCH:

- $FRESH(\mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = \{\lambda\}$
 - ▶ $<\lambda$ -closed
 - ▶ $|\mathbb{C}(\lambda)| = \lambda$
- $FRESH(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}(\omega_1)) = \{\omega, \omega_1\}$
 - ▶ If a function is fresh, it **remains fresh** in any extension.
- $FRESH(\mathbb{C}(\omega_1) \times \mathbb{C}(\omega_3)) = \{\omega_1, \omega_3\}$
- More generally: if A is finite, then $FRESH(\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = A$

What if A is infinite?

Possible fresh function spectra under GCH

Let A be a set of regular cardinals.

Is there a (homogeneous!) forcing \mathbb{P} such that $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = A$?

Examples under GCH:

- $FRESH(\mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = \{\lambda\}$
 - ▶ $<\lambda$ -closed
 - ▶ $|\mathbb{C}(\lambda)| = \lambda$
- $FRESH(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}(\omega_1)) = \{\omega, \omega_1\}$
 - ▶ If a function is fresh, it **remains fresh** in any extension.
- $FRESH(\mathbb{C}(\omega_1) \times \mathbb{C}(\omega_3)) = \{\omega_1, \omega_3\}$
- More generally: if A is finite, then $FRESH(\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = A$

What if A is infinite?

Possible fresh function spectra under GCH

Let A be a set of regular cardinals.

Is there a (homogeneous!) forcing \mathbb{P} such that $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = A$?

Examples under GCH:

- $FRESH(\mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = \{\lambda\}$
 - ▶ $<\lambda$ -closed
 - ▶ $|\mathbb{C}(\lambda)| = \lambda$
- $FRESH(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}(\omega_1)) = \{\omega, \omega_1\}$
 - ▶ If a function is fresh, it **remains fresh** in any extension.
- $FRESH(\mathbb{C}(\omega_1) \times \mathbb{C}(\omega_3)) = \{\omega_1, \omega_3\}$
- More generally: if A is finite, then $FRESH(\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = A$

What if A is infinite?

Definition

A set A of regular cardinals is **Easton closed** if for every limit point α of A ,

- α regular, not Mahlo $\Rightarrow \alpha \in A$,
- α singular $\Rightarrow \alpha^+ \in A$.

The **Easton-closure** (A) is ... (what you would guess).

Let ${}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{P}_\lambda$ denote the **Easton product** of the \mathbb{P}_λ :

- full support at singular limits,
- bounded support at regular limits (i.e., inaccessibles).

Theorem (GCH)

Let A be a set of regular cardinals. Then

$$\text{FRESH}({}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = \text{Easton-closure}(A).$$

- If A is Easton closed, then $\text{FRESH}({}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = A$.
- So each Easton closed set appears as a fresh function spectrum!

Definition

A set A of regular cardinals is **Easton closed** if for every limit point α of A ,

- α regular, not Mahlo $\Rightarrow \alpha \in A$,
- α singular $\Rightarrow \alpha^+ \in A$.

The **Easton-closure** (A) is ... (what you would guess).

Let ${}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{P}_\lambda$ denote the **Easton product** of the \mathbb{P}_λ :

- full support at singular limits,
- bounded support at regular limits (i.e., inaccessibles).

Theorem (GCH)

Let A be a set of regular cardinals. Then

$$\text{FRESH}({}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = \text{Easton-closure}(A).$$

- If A is Easton closed, then $\text{FRESH}({}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = A$.
- So each Easton closed set appears as a fresh function spectrum!

Definition

A set A of regular cardinals is **Easton closed** if for every limit point α of A ,

- α regular, not Mahlo $\Rightarrow \alpha \in A$,
- α singular $\Rightarrow \alpha^+ \in A$.

The **Easton-closure** (A) is ... (what you would guess).

Let ${}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{P}_\lambda$ denote the **Easton product** of the \mathbb{P}_λ :

- full support at singular limits,
- bounded support at regular limits (i.e., inaccessibles).

Theorem (GCH)

Let A be a set of regular cardinals. Then

$$\text{FRESH}({}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = \text{Easton-closure}(A).$$

- If A is Easton closed, then $\text{FRESH}({}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = A$.
- So each Easton closed set appears as a fresh function spectrum!

Definition

A set A of regular cardinals is **Easton closed** if for every limit point α of A ,

- α regular, not Mahlo $\Rightarrow \alpha \in A$,
- α singular $\Rightarrow \alpha^+ \in A$.

The **Easton-closure** (A) is ... (what you would guess).

Let ${}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{P}_\lambda$ denote the **Easton product** of the \mathbb{P}_λ :

- full support at singular limits,
- bounded support at regular limits (i.e., inaccessibles).

Theorem (GCH)

Let A be a set of regular cardinals. Then

$$\text{FRESH}({}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = \text{Easton-closure}(A).$$

- If A is Easton closed, then $\text{FRESH}({}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = A$.
- So each Easton closed set appears as a fresh function spectrum!

Definition

A set A of regular cardinals is **Easton closed** if for every limit point α of A ,

- α regular, not Mahlo $\Rightarrow \alpha \in A$,
- α singular $\Rightarrow \alpha^+ \in A$.

The **Easton-closure** (A) is ... (what you would guess).

Let ${}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{P}_\lambda$ denote the **Easton product** of the \mathbb{P}_λ :

- full support at singular limits,
- bounded support at regular limits (i.e., inaccessibles).

Theorem (GCH)

Let A be a set of regular cardinals. Then

$$\text{FRESH}({}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = \text{Easton-closure}(A).$$

- If A is Easton closed, then $\text{FRESH}({}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)) = A$.
- So each Easton closed set appears as a fresh function spectrum!

Why Easton closure?

Example

Let α be the first inaccessible and $A \subseteq \alpha$ an unbounded subset of regular cardinals. Then ${}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ adds an α -Cohen real.

${}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ is bounded support product and hence adds an α -Cohen real.

In particular, $\alpha \in \text{FRESH}({}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda))$.

Lemma

Let α be a Mahlo cardinal and $A \subseteq \alpha$. Then $\alpha \notin \text{FRESH}({}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda))$.

Why Easton closure?

Example

Let α be the first inaccessible and $A \subseteq \alpha$ an unbounded subset of regular cardinals. Then ${}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ adds an α -Cohen real.

${}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ is bounded support product and hence adds an α -Cohen real.

In particular, $\alpha \in \text{FRESH}({}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda))$.

Lemma

Let α be a Mahlo cardinal and $A \subseteq \alpha$. Then $\alpha \notin \text{FRESH}({}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda))$.

Why Easton closure?

Example

Let α be the first inaccessible and $A \subseteq \alpha$ an unbounded subset of regular cardinals. Then ${}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ adds an α -Cohen real.

${}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ is bounded support product and hence adds an α -Cohen real.

In particular, $\alpha \in \text{FRESH}({}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda))$.

Lemma

Let α be a Mahlo cardinal and $A \subseteq \alpha$. Then $\alpha \notin \text{FRESH}({}^E\prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda))$.

Why Easton closure?

Example

Let α be the first inaccessible and $A \subseteq \alpha$ an unbounded subset of regular cardinals. Then ${}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ adds an α -Cohen real.

${}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ is bounded support product and hence adds an α -Cohen real.

In particular, $\alpha \in \text{FRESH}({}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda))$.

Lemma

Let α be a Mahlo cardinal and $A \subseteq \alpha$. Then $\alpha \notin \text{FRESH}({}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda))$.

Why Easton sets?

Example

Let α be the first inaccessible and $A \subseteq \alpha$ an unbounded subset of regular cardinals. Then ${}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ adds an α -Cohen real.

${}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ is bounded support product and hence adds an α -Cohen real.

In particular, $\alpha \in \text{FRESH}({}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda))$.

Example (GCH)

Let $A \subseteq \aleph_\omega$ be an unbounded subset of regular cardinals. Then ${}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ adds an \aleph_ω^+ -Cohen real.

Follows from a paper of Shelah, which uses **pcf theory**.

In particular, $\aleph_\omega^+ \in \text{FRESH}({}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda))$.

Why Easton sets?

Example

Let α be the first inaccessible and $A \subseteq \alpha$ an unbounded subset of regular cardinals. Then ${}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ adds an α -Cohen real.

${}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ is bounded support product and hence adds an α -Cohen real.

In particular, $\alpha \in \text{FRESH}({}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda))$.

Example (GCH)

Let $A \subseteq \aleph_\omega$ be an unbounded subset of regular cardinals. Then ${}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ adds an \aleph_ω^+ -Cohen real.

Follows from a paper of Shelah, which uses **pcf theory**.

In particular, $\aleph_\omega^+ \in \text{FRESH}({}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda))$.

Why Easton sets?

Example

Let α be the first inaccessible and $A \subseteq \alpha$ an unbounded subset of regular cardinals. Then ${}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ adds an α -Cohen real.

${}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ is bounded support product and hence adds an α -Cohen real.

In particular, $\alpha \in \text{FRESH}({}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda))$.

Example (GCH)

Let $A \subseteq \aleph_\omega$ be an unbounded subset of regular cardinals. Then ${}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda)$ adds an \aleph_ω^+ -Cohen real.

Follows from a paper of Shelah, which uses **pcf theory**.

In particular, $\aleph_\omega^+ \in \text{FRESH}({}^E \prod_{\lambda \in A} \mathbb{C}(\lambda))$.

- Easton closed sets are realizable by Easton products of Cohen forcings.
- Such products do not collapse any cardinals.

Conjecture (GCH?)

Whenever \mathbb{P} does not collapse cardinals, then $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$ is Easton closed.

If we allow \mathbb{P} to collapse cardinals, we can do a bit more.

Proposition

If \mathbb{P} **collapses** λ to $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$, then $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

It follows that $[\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}), \lambda] \subseteq \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Example (under CH): Let $\text{Coll}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ be the forcing collapsing ω_2 to ω_1 with countable conditions.

$$\text{FRESH}(\text{Coll}(\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$$

- Easton closed sets are realizable by Easton products of Cohen forcings.
- Such products do not collapse any cardinals.

Conjecture (GCH?)

Whenever \mathbb{P} does not collapse cardinals, then $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$ is Easton closed.

If we allow \mathbb{P} to collapse cardinals, we can do a bit more.

Proposition

If \mathbb{P} **collapses** λ to $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$, then $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

It follows that $[\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}), \lambda] \subseteq \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Example (under CH): Let $\text{Coll}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ be the forcing collapsing ω_2 to ω_1 with countable conditions.

$$\text{FRESH}(\text{Coll}(\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$$

- Easton closed sets are realizable by Easton products of Cohen forcings.
- Such products do not collapse any cardinals.

Conjecture (GCH?)

Whenever \mathbb{P} does not collapse cardinals, then $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$ is Easton closed.

If we allow \mathbb{P} to collapse cardinals, we can do a bit more.

Proposition

If \mathbb{P} **collapses** λ to $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$, then $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

It follows that $[\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}), \lambda] \subseteq \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Example (under CH): Let $\text{Coll}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ be the forcing collapsing ω_2 to ω_1 with countable conditions.

$$\text{FRESH}(\text{Coll}(\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$$

- Easton closed sets are realizable by Easton products of Cohen forcings.
- Such products do not collapse any cardinals.

Conjecture (GCH?)

Whenever \mathbb{P} does not collapse cardinals, then $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$ is Easton closed.

If we allow \mathbb{P} to collapse cardinals, we can do a bit more.

Proposition

If \mathbb{P} **collapses** λ to $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$, then $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

It follows that $[\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}), \lambda] \subseteq \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Example (under CH): Let $\text{Coll}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ be the forcing collapsing ω_2 to ω_1 with countable conditions.

$$\text{FRESH}(\text{Coll}(\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$$

- Easton closed sets are realizable by Easton products of Cohen forcings.
- Such products do not collapse any cardinals.

Conjecture (GCH?)

Whenever \mathbb{P} does not collapse cardinals, then $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$ is Easton closed.

If we allow \mathbb{P} to collapse cardinals, we can do a bit more.

Proposition

If \mathbb{P} **collapses** λ to $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$, then $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

It follows that $[\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}), \lambda] \subseteq \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Example (under CH): Let $\text{Coll}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ be the forcing collapsing ω_2 to ω_1 with countable conditions.

$$\text{FRESH}(\text{Coll}(\omega_1, \omega_2)) = \{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$$

If we allow \mathbb{P} to collapse cardinals, we can get the following spectra:

- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Coll}(\aleph_0, \aleph_\omega)) = \{\aleph_n : n \in \omega\}$
 - ▶ since $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ does not belong to it, this set is not Easton closed
- More generally: for ξ singular, and μ regular with $\mu \leq \text{cf}(\xi)$,
 $\text{FRESH}(\text{Coll}(\mu, \xi)) = [\mu, \xi)$
 - ▶ since ξ^+ does not belong to it, this set is not Easton closed
- for ξ inaccessible, and μ regular with $\mu \leq \xi$,
 $\text{FRESH}(\text{Coll}(\mu, <\xi)) = [\mu, \xi)$
 - ▶ since ξ does not belong to it, this set is not Easton closed

If we allow \mathbb{P} to collapse cardinals, we can get the following spectra:

- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Coll}(\aleph_0, \aleph_\omega)) = \{\aleph_n : n \in \omega\}$
 - ▶ since $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ does not belong to it, this set is not Easton closed
- More generally: for ξ singular, and μ regular with $\mu \leq \text{cf}(\xi)$, $\text{FRESH}(\text{Coll}(\mu, \xi)) = [\mu, \xi)$
 - ▶ since ξ^+ does not belong to it, this set is not Easton closed
- for ξ inaccessible, and μ regular with $\mu \leq \xi$, $\text{FRESH}(\text{Coll}(\mu, <\xi)) = [\mu, \xi)$
 - ▶ since ξ does not belong to it, this set is not Easton closed

If we allow \mathbb{P} to collapse cardinals, we can get the following spectra:

- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Coll}(\aleph_0, \aleph_\omega)) = \{\aleph_n : n \in \omega\}$
 - ▶ since $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ does not belong to it, this set is not Easton closed
- More generally: for ξ singular, and μ regular with $\mu \leq \text{cf}(\xi)$,
 $\text{FRESH}(\text{Coll}(\mu, \xi)) = [\mu, \xi)$
 - ▶ since ξ^+ does not belong to it, this set is not Easton closed
- for ξ inaccessible, and μ regular with $\mu \leq \xi$,
 $\text{FRESH}(\text{Coll}(\mu, <\xi)) = [\mu, \xi)$
 - ▶ since ξ does not belong to it, this set is not Easton closed

We do not know whether non-trivial spectra below \aleph_ω are possible:

Question

Let $A \subsetneq \{\aleph_n : n \in \omega\}$ be infinite. Is $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) = A$ for some \mathbb{P} ?

What about non-trivial spectra below a (non-Mahlo) inaccessible ξ ?

Question

Let $A \subseteq \xi$ be an unbounded and co-unbounded set of regular cardinals. Is $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) = A$ for some \mathbb{P} ?

Omitting fresh function spectra

Let A be a set of regular cardinals.

Does there (always?) exist a forcing \mathbb{P} such that $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = A$?

Remember:

$$FRESH(\mathbb{C}) = \{\omega\}$$

Is there a forcing \mathbb{P} with $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = \{\omega_1\}$?

Yes, if:

- **CH holds** (then $\mathbb{C}(\omega_1)$ does the job),
- there **exists a Suslin tree** (then the Suslin tree does the job).

Is it provable in ZFC?

Omitting fresh function spectra

Let A be a set of regular cardinals.

Does there (always?) exist a forcing \mathbb{P} such that $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = A$?

Remember:

$$FRESH(\mathbb{C}) = \{\omega\}$$

Is there a forcing \mathbb{P} with $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = \{\omega_1\}$?

Yes, if:

- **CH holds** (then $\mathbb{C}(\omega_1)$ does the job),
- there **exists a Suslin tree** (then the Suslin tree does the job).

Is it provable in ZFC?

Omitting fresh function spectra

Let A be a set of regular cardinals.

Does there (always?) exist a forcing \mathbb{P} such that $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = A$?

Remember:

$$FRESH(\mathbb{C}) = \{\omega\}$$

Is there a forcing \mathbb{P} with $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = \{\omega_1\}$?

Yes, if:

- **CH holds** (then $\mathbb{C}(\omega_1)$ does the job),
- there **exists a Suslin tree** (then the Suslin tree does the job).

Is it provable in ZFC?

Omitting fresh function spectra

Let A be a set of regular cardinals.

Does there (always?) exist a forcing \mathbb{P} such that $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = A$?

Remember:

$$FRESH(\mathbb{C}) = \{\omega\}$$

Is there a forcing \mathbb{P} with $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = \{\omega_1\}$?

Yes, if:

- **CH holds** (then $\mathbb{C}(\omega_1)$ does the job),
- there **exists a Suslin tree** (then the Suslin tree does the job).

Is it provable in ZFC?

Omitting fresh function spectra

Let A be a set of regular cardinals.

Does there (always?) exist a forcing \mathbb{P} such that $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = A$?

Remember:

$$FRESH(\mathbb{C}) = \{\omega\}$$

Is there a forcing \mathbb{P} with $FRESH(\mathbb{P}) = \{\omega_1\}$?

Yes, if:

- **CH holds** (then $\mathbb{C}(\omega_1)$ does the job),
- there **exists a Suslin tree** (then the Suslin tree does the job).

Is it provable in ZFC?

Definition

Todorčević's maximality principle is the following assertion:

If \mathbb{P} is a forcing which adds a fresh subset of ω_1 , then

- \mathbb{P} collapses ω_1 , or
- \mathbb{P} collapses ω_2 .

It is consistent, relative to the existence of an inaccessible cardinal.

Theorem

Assume Todorčević's maximality principle, and “ $0^\#$ does not exist”.

Then for every forcing with $\omega_1 \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$,

- $\omega \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$, or
- $\omega_2 \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

In particular, there is no forcing \mathbb{P} with $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) = \{\omega_1\}$.

Definition

Todorčević's maximality principle is the following assertion:

If \mathbb{P} is a forcing which adds a fresh subset of ω_1 , then

- \mathbb{P} collapses ω_1 , or
- \mathbb{P} collapses ω_2 .

It is consistent, relative to the existence of an inaccessible cardinal.

Theorem

Assume Todorčević's maximality principle, and “ $0^\#$ does not exist”.

Then for every forcing with $\omega_1 \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$,

- $\omega \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$, or
- $\omega_2 \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

In particular, there is no forcing \mathbb{P} with $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) = \{\omega_1\}$.

Definition

Todorčević's maximality principle is the following assertion:

If \mathbb{P} is a forcing which adds a fresh subset of ω_1 , then

- \mathbb{P} collapses ω_1 , or
- \mathbb{P} collapses ω_2 .

It is consistent, relative to the existence of an inaccessible cardinal.

Theorem

Assume Todorčević's maximality principle, and “ $0^\#$ does not exist”.

Then for every forcing with $\omega_1 \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$,

- $\omega \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$, or
- $\omega_2 \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

In particular, there is no forcing \mathbb{P} with $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) = \{\omega_1\}$.

Definition

Todorčević's maximality principle is the following assertion:

If \mathbb{P} is a forcing which adds a fresh subset of ω_1 , then

- \mathbb{P} collapses ω_1 , or
- \mathbb{P} collapses ω_2 .

It is consistent, relative to the existence of an inaccessible cardinal.

Theorem

Assume Todorčević's maximality principle, and “ $0^\#$ does not exist”.

Then for every forcing with $\omega_1 \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$,

- $\omega \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$, or
- $\omega_2 \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

In particular, there is no forcing \mathbb{P} with $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) = \{\omega_1\}$.

Definition

Todorčević's maximality principle is the following assertion:

If \mathbb{P} is a forcing which adds a fresh subset of ω_1 , then

- \mathbb{P} collapses ω_1 , or
- \mathbb{P} collapses ω_2 .

It is consistent, relative to the existence of an inaccessible cardinal.

Theorem

Assume Todorčević's maximality principle, and “ $0^\#$ does not exist”.

Then for every forcing with $\omega_1 \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$,

- $\omega \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$, or
- $\omega_2 \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

In particular, there is no forcing \mathbb{P} with $\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P}) = \{\omega_1\}$.

Tree forcings on ω

- c.c.c. forcings:
 - ▶ Cohen forcing
 - ▶ random forcing
 - ▶ Hechler forcing

$$FRESH(\dots) = \{\omega\}$$

- Axiom A forcings, with antichains of size continuum
 - ▶ Mathias forcing
 - ▶ Sacks forcing
 - ▶ Silver forcing
 - ▶ Laver forcing
 - ▶ Miller forcing
 - ▶ ...

What can we say about their fresh function spectra?

$$\{\omega\} \subseteq FRESH(\dots) \subseteq [\omega, \mathfrak{c}]$$

Tree forcings on ω

- c.c.c. forcings:

- ▶ Cohen forcing
- ▶ random forcing
- ▶ Hechler forcing

$$FRESH(\dots) = \{\omega\}$$

- Axiom A forcings, with antichains of size continuum

- ▶ Mathias forcing
- ▶ Sacks forcing
- ▶ Silver forcing
- ▶ Laver forcing
- ▶ Miller forcing
- ▶ ...

What can we say about their fresh function spectra?

$$\{\omega\} \subseteq FRESH(\dots) \subseteq [\omega, \mathfrak{c}]$$

Tree forcings on ω

- c.c.c. forcings:

- ▶ Cohen forcing
- ▶ random forcing
- ▶ Hechler forcing

$$FRESH(\dots) = \{\omega\}$$

- Axiom A forcings, with antichains of size continuum

- ▶ Mathias forcing
- ▶ Sacks forcing
- ▶ Silver forcing
- ▶ Laver forcing
- ▶ Miller forcing
- ▶ ...

What can we say about their fresh function spectra?

$$\{\omega\} \subseteq FRESH(\dots) \subseteq [\omega, \mathfrak{c}]$$

Tree forcings on ω

- c.c.c. forcings:

- ▶ Cohen forcing
- ▶ random forcing
- ▶ Hechler forcing

$$FRESH(\dots) = \{\omega\}$$

- Axiom A forcings, with antichains of size continuum

- ▶ Mathias forcing
- ▶ Sacks forcing
- ▶ Silver forcing
- ▶ Laver forcing
- ▶ Miller forcing
- ▶ ...

What can we say about their fresh function spectra?

$$\{\omega\} \subseteq FRESH(\dots) \subseteq [\omega, \mathfrak{c}]$$

Recall:

Proposition

If \mathbb{P} **collapses** λ to $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$, then $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

It follows that $[\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}), \lambda] \subseteq \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Recall that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin})$.

Theorem (Base Matrix Theorem; Balcar-Pelant-Simon)

$\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ collapses \mathfrak{c} to \mathfrak{h} .

Corollary

$\text{FRESH}(\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}) = [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{c}]$.

Recall:

Proposition

If \mathbb{P} **collapses** λ to $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$, then $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

It follows that $[\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}), \lambda] \subseteq \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Recall that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin})$.

Theorem (Base Matrix Theorem; Balcar-Pelant-Simon)

$\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ collapses \mathfrak{c} to \mathfrak{h} .

Corollary

$\text{FRESH}(\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}) = [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{c}]$.

Recall:

Proposition

If \mathbb{P} **collapses** λ to $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P})$, then $\lambda \in \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

It follows that $[\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{P}), \lambda] \subseteq \text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})$.

Recall that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}(\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin})$.

Theorem (Base Matrix Theorem; Balcar-Pelant-Simon)

$\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$ collapses \mathfrak{c} to \mathfrak{h} .

Corollary

$\text{FRESH}(\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}) = [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{c}]$.

Theorem

- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Mathias}) = \{\omega\} \cup [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{c}]$
 - ▶ Proof is based on $\text{Mathias} \cong \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin} * \text{Mathias}(G)$
- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Sacks}) = \{\omega\}$
 - ▶ Proof is somewhat similar to the proof of **minimality**
- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Silver}) \supseteq \{\omega\} \cup [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{c}]$
 - ▶ ... *Silver* is still **minimal for reals**
 - ▶ but not minimal:
 $\text{Silver} \cong \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin} * \text{Gregorieff}(\mathcal{I})$
- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Miller}) = \{\omega\}$
 - ▶ Proof as for *Sacks*, but more complicated!

Theorem

- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Mathias}) = \{\omega\} \cup [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{c}]$
 - ▶ Proof is based on $\text{Mathias} \cong \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin} * \text{Mathias}(G)$
- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Sacks}) = \{\omega\}$
 - ▶ Proof is somewhat similar to the proof of **minimality**
- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Silver}) \supseteq \{\omega\} \cup [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{c}]$
 - ▶ ... *Silver* is still **minimal for reals**
 - ▶ but not minimal:
 $\text{Silver} \cong \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin} * \text{Gregorieff}(\mathcal{I})$
- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Miller}) = \{\omega\}$
 - ▶ Proof as for *Sacks*, but more complicated!

Theorem

- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Mathias}) = \{\omega\} \cup [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{c}]$
 - ▶ Proof is based on $\text{Mathias} \cong \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin} * \text{Mathias}(G)$
- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Sacks}) = \{\omega\}$
 - ▶ Proof is somewhat similar to the proof of **minimality**
- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Silver}) \supseteq \{\omega\} \cup [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{c}]$
 - ▶ ... *Silver* is still **minimal for reals**
 - ▶ but not minimal:
 $\text{Silver} \cong \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin} * \text{Gregorieff}(\mathcal{I})$
- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Miller}) = \{\omega\}$
 - ▶ Proof as for *Sacks*, but more complicated!

Theorem

- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Mathias}) = \{\omega\} \cup [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{c}]$
 - ▶ Proof is based on $\text{Mathias} \cong \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin} * \text{Mathias}(G)$
- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Sacks}) = \{\omega\}$
 - ▶ Proof is somewhat similar to the proof of **minimality**
- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Silver}) \supseteq \{\omega\} \cup [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{c}]$
 - ▶ ... *Silver* is still **minimal for reals**
 - ▶ but not minimal:
 $\text{Silver} \cong \mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin} * \text{Gregorieff}(\mathcal{I})$
- $\text{FRESH}(\text{Miller}) = \{\omega\}$
 - ▶ Proof as for *Sacks*, but more complicated!

- $\text{FRESH}(Laver) = ?$
 - ▶ *Laver* is (fully) minimal
 - ▶ yet not clear whether $\text{FRESH}(Laver) = \{\omega\}$ is provable

Question

Is there a forcing \mathbb{P} which is *minimal*, yet $|\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})| \geq 2$?

- $\text{FRESH}(Laver) = ?$
 - ▶ *Laver* is (fully) minimal
 - ▶ yet not clear whether $\text{FRESH}(Laver) = \{\omega\}$ is provable

Question

Is there a forcing \mathbb{P} which is **minimal**, yet $|\text{FRESH}(\mathbb{P})| \geq 2$?

Fresh subsets vs. fresh functions

Proposition

$$\text{FRESH}(Namba) = \{\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2\}.$$

Let κ be a measurable cardinal.

Proposition

$$\text{FRESH}(Prikry_\kappa) = \{\omega, \kappa\}.$$

Fresh subsets vs. fresh functions

Proposition

$$\text{FRESH}(Namba) = \{\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2\}.$$

Let κ be a measurable cardinal.

Proposition

$$\text{FRESH}(Prikry_\kappa) = \{\omega, \kappa\}.$$

Thank you for your attention and enjoy the Winter School. . .



Vienna, Stephansplatz (first district), during first lockdown, 9th April 2020

Thank you for your attention and enjoy the Winter School. . .



Vienna, Graben (first district), during first lockdown, 9th April 2020

Thank you for your attention and enjoy the Winter School...



Old KGRC (Josephinum), during first lockdown, 9th April 2020

Thank you for your attention and enjoy the Winter School...



Augarten, 3rd December 2020