

The tower spectrum

Jonathan Schilhan

Kurt Gödel Research Center

Hejnice, 2020

generous support through FWF Project Y1012-N35



The Spectrum Tower, Warsaw

Definition

A *tower* is a sequence $\langle x_\alpha : \alpha < \delta \rangle$ of infinite subsets of ω , such that

- $\forall \alpha < \beta < \delta (x_\beta \subseteq^* x_\alpha)$, where $x_\beta \subseteq^* x_\alpha$ iff $|x_\beta \setminus x_\alpha| < \omega$
- $\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \alpha < \delta (x \not\subseteq^* x_\alpha)$

Question

What is the least δ such that there is a tower of length δ ?

The answer is the regular cardinal \mathfrak{t} . We will ask:

Question

For what δ is there a tower of length δ ? More specifically: For which regular cardinals κ is there a tower of length κ ?

The answer is the *tower spectrum* that we will denote with \mathcal{T} .

Definition

A *tower* is a sequence $\langle x_\alpha : \alpha < \delta \rangle$ of infinite subsets of ω , such that

- $\forall \alpha < \beta < \delta (x_\beta \subseteq^* x_\alpha)$, where $x_\beta \subseteq^* x_\alpha$ iff $|x_\beta \setminus x_\alpha| < \omega$
- $\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \alpha < \delta (x \not\subseteq^* x_\alpha)$

Question

What is the least δ such that there is a tower of length δ ?

The answer is the regular cardinal \mathfrak{t} . We will ask:

Question

For what δ is there a tower of length δ ? More specifically: For which regular cardinals κ is there a tower of length κ ?

The answer is the *tower spectrum* that we will denote with \mathcal{T} .

Definition

A *tower* is a sequence $\langle x_\alpha : \alpha < \delta \rangle$ of infinite subsets of ω , such that

- $\forall \alpha < \beta < \delta (x_\beta \subseteq^* x_\alpha)$, where $x_\beta \subseteq^* x_\alpha$ iff $|x_\beta \setminus x_\alpha| < \omega$
- $\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \alpha < \delta (x \not\subseteq^* x_\alpha)$

Question

What is the least δ such that there is a tower of length δ ?

The answer is the regular cardinal \mathfrak{t} . We will ask:

Question

For what δ is there a tower of length δ ? More specifically: For which regular cardinals κ is there a tower of length κ ?

The answer is the *tower spectrum* that we will denote with \mathcal{T} .

Definition

A *tower* is a sequence $\langle x_\alpha : \alpha < \delta \rangle$ of infinite subsets of ω , such that

- $\forall \alpha < \beta < \delta (x_\beta \subseteq^* x_\alpha)$, where $x_\beta \subseteq^* x_\alpha$ iff $|x_\beta \setminus x_\alpha| < \omega$
- $\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \alpha < \delta (x \not\subseteq^* x_\alpha)$

Question

What is the least δ such that there is a tower of length δ ?

The answer is the regular cardinal \mathfrak{t} . We will ask:

Question

For what δ is there a tower of length δ ? More specifically: For which regular cardinals κ is there a tower of length κ ?

The answer is the *tower spectrum* that we will denote with \mathcal{T} .

Definition

$$\mathcal{T} := \{\kappa : \kappa \text{ regular and there is a tower of length } \kappa\}$$

Obviously $\mathcal{T} \subseteq [\aleph_1, 2^{\aleph_0}]$.

Main goal: control \mathcal{T} .

This has been done for mad families before:

Theorem (Blass; Shelah, Spinas)

(GCH) Let \mathcal{C} be a set of uncountable cardinals so that

- \mathcal{C} is closed under singular limits,
- \mathcal{C} has a maximum,
- $\max \mathcal{C}$ has uncountable cofinality,
- $\aleph_1 \in \mathcal{C}$.

Then there is a ccc forcing extension in which $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}$.

Definition

$$\mathcal{T} := \{\kappa : \kappa \text{ regular and there is a tower of length } \kappa\}$$

Obviously $\mathcal{T} \subseteq [\aleph_1, 2^{\aleph_0}]$.

Main goal: control \mathcal{T} .

This has been done for mad families before:

Theorem (Blass; Shelah, Spinas)

(GCH) Let \mathcal{C} be a set of uncountable cardinals so that

- *\mathcal{C} is closed under singular limits,*
- *\mathcal{C} has a maximum,*
- *$\max \mathcal{C}$ has uncountable cofinality,*
- *$\aleph_1 \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Then there is a ccc forcing extension in which $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}$.

What is the main idea?

Hechler defined a ccc poset $\mathbb{H}_{\text{mad}}(\kappa)$ for adding a mad family of size κ by finite approximations.

Given \mathcal{C} as above we simply force with $\mathbb{P} := \prod_{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}}^{<\omega} \mathbb{H}_{\text{mad}}(\kappa)$.

Using a modification of $\mathbb{H}_{\text{mad}}(\kappa)$ adding a tower of length κ we could show the following:

Theorem (S.)

Assume there are infinitely many weakly compact cardinals. Let $C \subseteq \omega \setminus \{0\}$. Then there is a forcing extension in which for every $n \in \omega$,

$$\aleph_{2n} \in \mathcal{T} \leftrightarrow n \in C.$$

This is unsatisfying.

What is the main idea?

Hechler defined a ccc poset $\mathbb{H}_{\text{mad}}(\kappa)$ for adding a mad family of size κ by finite approximations.

Given \mathcal{C} as above we simply force with $\mathbb{P} := \prod_{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}}^{<\omega} \mathbb{H}_{\text{mad}}(\kappa)$.

Using a modification of $\mathbb{H}_{\text{mad}}(\kappa)$ adding a tower of length κ we could show the following:

Theorem (S.)

Assume there are infinitely many weakly compact cardinals. Let $C \subseteq \omega \setminus \{0\}$. Then there is a forcing extension in which for every $n \in \omega$,

$$\aleph_{2n} \in \mathcal{T} \leftrightarrow n \in C.$$

This is unsatisfying.

What is the main idea?

Hechler defined a ccc poset $\mathbb{H}_{\text{mad}}(\kappa)$ for adding a mad family of size κ by finite approximations.

Given \mathcal{C} as above we simply force with $\mathbb{P} := \prod_{\kappa \in \mathcal{C}}^{<\omega} \mathbb{H}_{\text{mad}}(\kappa)$.

Using a modification of $\mathbb{H}_{\text{mad}}(\kappa)$ adding a tower of length κ we could show the following:

Theorem (S.)

Assume there are infinitely many weakly compact cardinals. Let $C \subseteq \omega \setminus \{0\}$. Then there is a forcing extension in which for every $n \in \omega$,

$$\aleph_{2n} \in \mathcal{T} \leftrightarrow n \in C.$$

This is unsatisfying.

Instead we have a **new idea**. Let us outline a general framework:
 Let L be a lattice with a top element $l_{\text{top}} \in L$ and δ an ordinal. Let $\{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha : I \in L, \alpha \leq \delta\}$ be a set of complete boolean algebras such that $\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha \leq \mathbb{B}_k^\beta$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $I \leq k$. Then we call this an amalgamation system if:

- 1 $\forall I \in L \forall \alpha \in \lim(\delta + 1) (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{\beta < \alpha} \mathbb{B}_I^\beta)$,
- 2 $\forall I \in \lim L \forall \alpha \leq \delta (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{k < I} \mathbb{B}_k^\alpha)$ ¹
- 3 $\forall k_0, k_1 \leq I \in L \forall \alpha, \beta \leq \gamma \leq \delta$

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_I^\gamma} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{k_0 \wedge k_1}^{\min(\alpha, \beta)}).$$

Lemma

Suppose that l_{top} is a limit, $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ is σ -directed, $\omega < \text{cf}(\delta)$ and $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ is ccc. Then whenever \dot{x} is a $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ -name for a real, there is $I \in L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, $\alpha < \delta$ and a \mathbb{B}_I^α -name \dot{y} , such that $\Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$.

¹ $I \in \lim L \Leftrightarrow \forall k_0, \dots, k_n < I \exists k' (k_0, \dots, k_n < k' < I)$ 

Instead we have a **new idea**. Let us outline a general framework:

Let L be a lattice with a top element $l_{\text{top}} \in L$ and δ an ordinal. Let $\{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha : I \in L, \alpha \leq \delta\}$ be a set of complete boolean algebras such that $\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha \leq \mathbb{B}_k^\beta$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $I \leq k$. Then we call this an amalgamation system if:

- 1 $\forall I \in L \forall \alpha \in \lim(\delta + 1) (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{\beta < \alpha} \mathbb{B}_I^\beta)$,
- 2 $\forall I \in \lim L \forall \alpha \leq \delta (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{k < I} \mathbb{B}_k^\alpha)$ ¹
- 3 $\forall k_0, k_1 \leq I \in L \forall \alpha, \beta \leq \gamma \leq \delta$

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_I^\gamma} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{k_0 \wedge k_1}^{\min(\alpha, \beta)}).$$

Lemma

Suppose that l_{top} is a limit, $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ is σ -directed, $\omega < \text{cf}(\delta)$ and $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ is ccc. Then whenever \dot{x} is a $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ -name for a real, there is $I \in L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, $\alpha < \delta$ and a \mathbb{B}_I^α -name \dot{y} , such that $\Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$.

¹ $I \in \lim L \Leftrightarrow \forall k_0, \dots, k_n < I \exists k' (k_0, \dots, k_n < k' < I)$ 

Instead we have a **new idea**. Let us outline a general framework: Let L be a lattice with a top element $l_{\text{top}} \in L$ and δ an ordinal. Let $\{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha : I \in L, \alpha \leq \delta\}$ be a set of complete boolean algebras such that $\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha \leq \mathbb{B}_k^\beta$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $I \leq k$. Then we call this an amalgamation system if:

- 1 $\forall I \in L \forall \alpha \in \lim(\delta + 1) (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{\beta < \alpha} \mathbb{B}_I^\beta)$,
- 2 $\forall I \in \lim L \forall \alpha \leq \delta (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{k < I} \mathbb{B}_k^\alpha)$ ¹
- 3 $\forall k_0, k_1 \leq I \in L \forall \alpha, \beta \leq \gamma \leq \delta$

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_I^\gamma} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{k_0 \wedge k_1}^{\min(\alpha, \beta)}).$$

Lemma

Suppose that l_{top} is a limit, $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ is σ -directed, $\omega < \text{cf}(\delta)$ and $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ is ccc. Then whenever \dot{x} is a $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ -name for a real, there is $I \in L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, $\alpha < \delta$ and a \mathbb{B}_I^α -name \dot{y} , such that $\Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$.

¹ $I \in \lim L \Leftrightarrow \forall k_0, \dots, k_n < I \exists k' (k_0, \dots, k_n < k' < I)$ 

Instead we have a **new idea**. Let us outline a general framework: Let L be a lattice with a top element $l_{\text{top}} \in L$ and δ an ordinal. Let $\{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha : I \in L, \alpha \leq \delta\}$ be a set of complete boolean algebras such that $\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha \leq \mathbb{B}_k^\beta$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $I \leq k$. Then we call this an amalgamation system if:

- 1 $\forall I \in L \forall \alpha \in \lim(\delta + 1) (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{\beta < \alpha} \mathbb{B}_I^\beta)$,
- 2 $\forall I \in \lim L \forall \alpha \leq \delta (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{k < I} \mathbb{B}_k^\alpha)^1$
- 3 $\forall k_0, k_1 \leq I \in L \forall \alpha, \beta \leq \gamma \leq \delta$

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_I^\gamma} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{k_0 \wedge k_1}^{\min(\alpha, \beta)}).$$

Lemma

Suppose that l_{top} is a limit, $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ is σ -directed, $\omega < \text{cf}(\delta)$ and $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ is ccc. Then whenever \dot{x} is a $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ -name for a real, there is $I \in L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, $\alpha < \delta$ and a \mathbb{B}_I^α -name \dot{y} , such that $\Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$.

¹ $I \in \lim L \Leftrightarrow \forall k_0, \dots, k_n < I \exists k' (k_0, \dots, k_n < k' < I)$ 

Instead we have a **new idea**. Let us outline a general framework:
 Let L be a lattice with a top element $l_{\text{top}} \in L$ and δ an ordinal. Let $\{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha : I \in L, \alpha \leq \delta\}$ be a set of complete boolean algebras such that $\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha \leq \mathbb{B}_k^\beta$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $I \leq k$. Then we call this an amalgamation system if:

- 1 $\forall I \in L \forall \alpha \in \text{lim}(\delta + 1) (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{\beta < \alpha} \mathbb{B}_I^\beta)$,
- 2 $\forall I \in \text{lim } L \forall \alpha \leq \delta (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{k < I} \mathbb{B}_k^\alpha)^1$
- 3 $\forall k_0, k_1 \leq I \in L \forall \alpha, \beta \leq \gamma \leq \delta$

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_I^\gamma} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{k_0 \wedge k_1}^{\min(\alpha, \beta)}).$$

Lemma

Suppose that l_{top} is a limit, $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ is σ -directed, $\omega < \text{cf}(\delta)$ and $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ is ccc. Then whenever \dot{x} is a $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ -name for a real, there is $I \in L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, $\alpha < \delta$ and a \mathbb{B}_I^α -name \dot{y} , such that $\Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$.

¹ $I \in \text{lim } L \Leftrightarrow \forall k_0, \dots, k_n < I \exists k' (k_0, \dots, k_n < k' < I)$ 

Instead we have a **new idea**. Let us outline a general framework: Let L be a lattice with a top element $l_{\text{top}} \in L$ and δ an ordinal. Let $\{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha : I \in L, \alpha \leq \delta\}$ be a set of complete boolean algebras such that $\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_k^\beta$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $I \leq k$. Then we call this an amalgamation system if:

- 1 $\forall I \in L \forall \alpha \in \lim(\delta + 1) (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{\beta < \alpha} \mathbb{B}_I^\beta)$,
- 2 $\forall I \in \lim L \forall \alpha \leq \delta (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{k < I} \mathbb{B}_k^\alpha)^1$
- 3 $\forall k_0, k_1 \leq I \in L \forall \alpha, \beta \leq \gamma \leq \delta$

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_I^\gamma} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{k_0 \wedge k_1}^{\min(\alpha, \beta)}).$$

Lemma

Suppose that l_{top} is a limit, $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ is σ -directed, $\omega < \text{cf}(\delta)$ and $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ is ccc. Then whenever \dot{x} is a $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ -name for a real, there is $I \in L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, $\alpha < \delta$ and a \mathbb{B}_I^α -name \dot{y} , such that $\Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$.

¹ $I \in \lim L \Leftrightarrow \forall k_0, \dots, k_n < I \exists k' (k_0, \dots, k_n < k' < I)$ 

Instead we have a **new idea**. Let us outline a general framework: Let L be a lattice with a top element $l_{\text{top}} \in L$ and δ an ordinal. Let $\{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha : I \in L, \alpha \leq \delta\}$ be a set of complete boolean algebras such that $\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha \leq \mathbb{B}_k^\beta$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $I \leq k$. Then we call this an amalgamation system if:

- 1 $\forall I \in L \forall \alpha \in \lim(\delta + 1) (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{\beta < \alpha} \mathbb{B}_I^\beta)$,
- 2 $\forall I \in \lim L \forall \alpha \leq \delta (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{k < I} \mathbb{B}_k^\alpha)^1$
- 3 $\forall k_0, k_1 \leq I \in L \forall \alpha, \beta \leq \gamma \leq \delta$

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_I^\gamma} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{k_0 \wedge k_1}^{\min(\alpha, \beta)}).$$

Lemma

Suppose that l_{top} is a limit, $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ is σ -directed, $\omega < \text{cf}(\delta)$ and $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ is ccc. Then whenever \dot{x} is a $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ -name for a real, there is $I \in L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, $\alpha < \delta$ and a \mathbb{B}_I^α -name \dot{y} , such that $\Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$.

¹ $I \in \lim L \leftrightarrow \forall k_0, \dots, k_n < I \exists k' (k_0, \dots, k_n < k' < I)$

Instead we have a **new idea**. Let us outline a general framework: Let L be a lattice with a top element $l_{\text{top}} \in L$ and δ an ordinal. Let $\{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha : I \in L, \alpha \leq \delta\}$ be a set of complete boolean algebras such that $\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha \leq \mathbb{B}_k^\beta$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $I \leq k$. Then we call this an amalgamation system if:

- 1 $\forall I \in L \forall \alpha \in \lim(\delta + 1) (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{\beta < \alpha} \mathbb{B}_I^\beta)$,
- 2 $\forall I \in \lim L \forall \alpha \leq \delta (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{k < I} \mathbb{B}_k^\alpha)^1$
- 3 $\forall k_0, k_1 \leq I \in L \forall \alpha, \beta \leq \gamma \leq \delta$

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_I^\gamma} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{k_0 \wedge k_1}^{\min(\alpha, \beta)}).$$

Lemma

Suppose that l_{top} is a limit, $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ is σ -directed, $\omega < \text{cf}(\delta)$ and $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ is ccc. Then whenever \dot{x} is a $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ -name for a real, there is $I \in L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, $\alpha < \delta$ and a \mathbb{B}_I^α -name \dot{y} , such that $\Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$.

¹ $I \in \lim L \leftrightarrow \forall k_0, \dots, k_n < I \exists k' (k_0, \dots, k_n < k' < I)$

Instead we have a **new idea**. Let us outline a general framework: Let L be a lattice with a top element $l_{\text{top}} \in L$ and δ an ordinal. Let $\{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha : I \in L, \alpha \leq \delta\}$ be a set of complete boolean algebras such that $\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha \leq \mathbb{B}_k^\beta$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $I \leq k$. Then we call this an amalgamation system if:

- 1 $\forall I \in L \forall \alpha \in \lim(\delta + 1) (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{\beta < \alpha} \mathbb{B}_I^\beta)$,
- 2 $\forall I \in \lim L \forall \alpha \leq \delta (\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha = \varinjlim_{k < I} \mathbb{B}_k^\alpha)^1$
- 3 $\forall k_0, k_1 \leq I \in L \forall \alpha, \beta \leq \gamma \leq \delta$

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_I^\gamma} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_{k_0}^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_1}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{k_0 \wedge k_1}^{\min(\alpha, \beta)}).$$

Lemma

Suppose that l_{top} is a limit, $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ is σ -directed, $\omega < \text{cf}(\delta)$ and $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ is ccc. Then whenever \dot{x} is a $\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\delta$ -name for a real, there is $I \in L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, $\alpha < \delta$ and a \mathbb{B}_I^α -name \dot{y} , such that $\Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$.

¹ $I \in \lim L \leftrightarrow \forall k_0, \dots, k_n < I \exists k' (k_0, \dots, k_n < k' < I)$.

The actual construction (under GCH):

Given a lattice L as above and a regular cardinal $\lambda \geq |L|$ let $\{l_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ enumerate $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ such that every l appears λ many times.

- We start with \mathbb{B}_l^0 the trivial Boolean algebra for every $l \in L$.
- Suppose that \mathbb{B}_l^α has been defined for $\alpha < \gamma \leq \lambda$ and all $l \in L$, then:
 - γ limit: let $\mathbb{B}_l^\gamma = \lim_{\rightarrow \alpha < \gamma} \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha$,
 - $\gamma = \alpha + 1$: given l_α , let \dot{Q}_α be a $\mathbb{B}_{l_\alpha}^\alpha$ -name for a σ -centered forcing given by some book-keeping function. We define:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{B}_l^{\alpha+1} &:= \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha \text{ if } l_\alpha < l \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{B}_l^{\alpha+1} &:= \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha \text{ else.}\end{aligned}$$

Note that this makes sense since by induction $\mathbb{B}_{l_\alpha}^\alpha \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha$ for every $l > l_\alpha$.

The actual construction (under GCH):

Given a lattice L as above and a regular cardinal $\lambda \geq |L|$ let $\{l_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ enumerate $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ such that every l appears λ many times.

- We start with \mathbb{B}_l^0 the trivial Boolean algebra for every $l \in L$.
- Suppose that \mathbb{B}_l^α has been defined for $\alpha < \gamma \leq \lambda$ and all $l \in L$, then:
 - γ limit: let $\mathbb{B}_l^\gamma = \lim_{\rightarrow \alpha < \gamma} \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha$,
 - $\gamma = \alpha + 1$: given l_α , let \dot{Q}_α be a $\mathbb{B}_{l_\alpha}^\alpha$ -name for a σ -centered forcing given by some book-keeping function. We define:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{B}_l^{\alpha+1} &:= \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha \text{ if } l_\alpha < l \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{B}_l^{\alpha+1} &:= \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha \text{ else.}\end{aligned}$$

Note that this makes sense since by induction $\mathbb{B}_{l_\alpha}^\alpha \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha$ for every $l > l_\alpha$.

The actual construction (under GCH):

Given a lattice L as above and a regular cardinal $\lambda \geq |L|$ let $\{l_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ enumerate $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ such that every l appears λ many times.

- We start with \mathbb{B}_l^0 the trivial Boolean algebra for every $l \in L$.
- Suppose that \mathbb{B}_l^α has been defined for $\alpha < \gamma \leq \lambda$ and all $l \in L$, then:
 - γ limit: let $\mathbb{B}_l^\gamma = \lim_{\rightarrow \alpha < \gamma} \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha$,
 - $\gamma = \alpha + 1$: given l_α , let \dot{Q}_α be a $\mathbb{B}_{l_\alpha}^\alpha$ -name for a σ -centered forcing given by some book-keeping function. We define:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{B}_l^{\alpha+1} &:= \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha \text{ if } l_\alpha < l \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{B}_l^{\alpha+1} &:= \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha \text{ else.}\end{aligned}$$

Note that this makes sense since by induction $\mathbb{B}_{l_\alpha}^\alpha \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha$ for every $l > l_\alpha$.

The actual construction (under GCH):

Given a lattice L as above and a regular cardinal $\lambda \geq |L|$ let $\{l_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ enumerate $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ such that every l appears λ many times.

- We start with \mathbb{B}_l^0 the trivial Boolean algebra for every $l \in L$.
- Suppose that \mathbb{B}_l^α has been defined for $\alpha < \gamma \leq \lambda$ and all $l \in L$, then:

- γ limit: let $\mathbb{B}_l^\gamma = \lim_{\rightarrow \alpha < \gamma} \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha$,
- $\gamma = \alpha + 1$: given l_α , let \dot{Q}_α be a $\mathbb{B}_{l_\alpha}^\alpha$ -name for a σ -centered forcing given by some book-keeping function. We define:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{B}_l^{\alpha+1} &:= \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha \text{ if } l_\alpha < l \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{B}_l^{\alpha+1} &:= \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha \text{ else.}\end{aligned}$$

Note that this makes sense since by induction $\mathbb{B}_{l_\alpha}^\alpha \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha$ for every $l > l_\alpha$.

The actual construction (under GCH):

Given a lattice L as above and a regular cardinal $\lambda \geq |L|$ let $\{l_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ enumerate $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ such that every l appears λ many times.

- We start with \mathbb{B}_l^0 the trivial Boolean algebra for every $l \in L$.
- Suppose that \mathbb{B}_l^α has been defined for $\alpha < \gamma \leq \lambda$ and all $l \in L$, then:
 - γ limit: let $\mathbb{B}_l^\gamma = \varinjlim_{\alpha < \gamma} \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha$,
 - $\gamma = \alpha + 1$: given l_α , let \dot{Q}_α be a $\mathbb{B}_{l_\alpha}^\alpha$ -name for a σ -centered forcing given by some book-keeping function. We define:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{B}_l^{\alpha+1} &:= \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha \text{ if } l_\alpha < l \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{B}_l^{\alpha+1} &:= \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha \text{ else.}\end{aligned}$$

Note that this makes sense since by induction $\mathbb{B}_{l_\alpha}^\alpha \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha$ for every $l > l_\alpha$.

The actual construction (under GCH):

Given a lattice L as above and a regular cardinal $\lambda \geq |L|$ let $\{l_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ enumerate $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ such that every l appears λ many times.

- We start with \mathbb{B}_l^0 the trivial Boolean algebra for every $l \in L$.
- Suppose that \mathbb{B}_l^α has been defined for $\alpha < \gamma \leq \lambda$ and all $l \in L$, then:
 - γ limit: let $\mathbb{B}_l^\gamma = \varinjlim_{\alpha < \gamma} \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha$,
 - $\gamma = \alpha + 1$: given l_α , let \dot{Q}_α be a $\mathbb{B}_{l_\alpha}^\alpha$ -name for a σ -centered forcing given by some book-keeping function. We define:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{B}_l^{\alpha+1} &:= \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha \text{ if } l_\alpha < l \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{B}_l^{\alpha+1} &:= \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha \text{ else.}\end{aligned}$$

Note that this makes sense since by induction $\mathbb{B}_{l_\alpha}^\alpha \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha$ for every $l > l_\alpha$.

The actual construction (under GCH):

Given a lattice L as above and a regular cardinal $\lambda \geq |L|$ let $\{l_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ enumerate $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$ such that every l appears λ many times.

- We start with \mathbb{B}_l^0 the trivial Boolean algebra for every $l \in L$.
- Suppose that \mathbb{B}_l^α has been defined for $\alpha < \gamma \leq \lambda$ and all $l \in L$, then:
 - γ limit: let $\mathbb{B}_l^\gamma = \varinjlim_{\alpha < \gamma} \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha$,
 - $\gamma = \alpha + 1$: given l_α , let \dot{Q}_α be a $\mathbb{B}_{l_\alpha}^\alpha$ -name for a σ -centered forcing given by some book-keeping function. We define:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{B}_l^{\alpha+1} &:= \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha \text{ if } l_\alpha < l \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{B}_l^{\alpha+1} &:= \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha \text{ else.}\end{aligned}$$

Note that this makes sense since by induction $\mathbb{B}_{l_\alpha}^\alpha \triangleleft \mathbb{B}_l^\alpha$ for every $l > l_\alpha$.

Lemma

$\{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha : \alpha \leq \lambda, I \in L\}$ is an amalgamation system of CBAs.
Moreover $\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda$ has the ccc (and in particular all \mathbb{B}_I^α 's).

Proof.

This is an induction on $\alpha \leq \lambda$. The most interesting is the amalgamation requirement.

The ccc follows since $\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda$ is just a fsi of ccc forcings (since σ -centered forcings stay ccc in any extension).



Lemma

$\{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha : \alpha \leq \lambda, I \in L\}$ is an amalgamation system of CBAs.
Moreover $\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda$ has the ccc (and in particular all \mathbb{B}_I^α 's).

Proof.

This is an induction on $\alpha \leq \lambda$. The most interesting is the amalgamation requirement.

The ccc follows since $\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda$ is just a fsi of ccc forcings (since σ -centered forcings stay ccc in any extension).



Lemma

$\{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha : \alpha \leq \lambda, I \in L\}$ is an amalgamation system of CBAs.
Moreover $\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda$ has the ccc (and in particular all \mathbb{B}_I^α 's).

Proof.

This is an induction on $\alpha \leq \lambda$. The most interesting is the amalgamation requirement.

The ccc follows since $\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda$ is just a fsi of ccc forcings (since σ -centered forcings stay ccc in any extension).



Definition

Let $X \subseteq L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$ then X is called κ -unbounded if $|X| = \kappa$ and for any $Y \subseteq X$,

$$Y \text{ is bounded} \rightarrow |Y| < \kappa.$$

Theorem

Assume that $\kappa < \lambda$ and there is no κ -unbounded subset in $L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} \models \kappa \notin \mathcal{T}.$$

Proof.

Suppose $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is forced to be a tower. For each $\xi < \kappa$ we can assume that \dot{x}_ξ is a $\mathbb{B}_{k_\xi}^{\alpha_\xi}$ name for some $\alpha_\xi < \lambda$ and $k_\xi \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$. As $\kappa < \lambda$ we have that $\sup_{\xi < \kappa} \alpha_\xi = \alpha < \lambda$. Moreover since there is no κ -unbounded subset of L , there is $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ so that $\{k_\xi : \xi \in X\}$ is bounded, say by $I \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$. Then $\langle x_\xi : \xi \in X \rangle$ is added by \mathbb{B}_I^α .

Definition

Let $X \subseteq L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$ then X is called κ -unbounded if $|X| = \kappa$ and for any $Y \subseteq X$,

$$Y \text{ is bounded} \rightarrow |Y| < \kappa.$$

Theorem

Assume that $\kappa < \lambda$ and there is no κ -unbounded subset in $L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} \models \kappa \notin \mathcal{T}.$$

Proof.

Suppose $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is forced to be a tower. For each $\xi < \kappa$ we can assume that \dot{x}_ξ is a $\mathbb{B}_{k_\xi}^{\alpha_\xi}$ name for some $\alpha_\xi < \lambda$ and $k_\xi \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$. As $\kappa < \lambda$ we have that $\sup_{\xi < \kappa} \alpha_\xi = \alpha < \lambda$. Moreover since there is no κ -unbounded subset of L , there is $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ so that $\{k_\xi : \xi \in X\}$ is bounded, say by $I \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$. Then $\langle x_\xi : \xi \in X \rangle$ is added by \mathbb{B}_I^α .

Definition

Let $X \subseteq L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$ then X is called κ -unbounded if $|X| = \kappa$ and for any $Y \subseteq X$,

$$Y \text{ is bounded} \rightarrow |Y| < \kappa.$$

Theorem

Assume that $\kappa < \lambda$ and there is no κ -unbounded subset in $L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} \models \kappa \notin \mathcal{T}.$$

Proof.

Suppose $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is forced to be a tower. For each $\xi < \kappa$ we can assume that \dot{x}_ξ is a $\mathbb{B}_{k_\xi}^{\alpha_\xi}$ name for some $\alpha_\xi < \lambda$ and $k_\xi \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$. As $\kappa < \lambda$ we have that $\sup_{\xi < \kappa} \alpha_\xi = \alpha < \lambda$. Moreover since there is no κ -unbounded subset of L , there is $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ so that $\{k_\xi : \xi \in X\}$ is bounded, say by $I \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$. Then $\langle x_\xi : \xi \in X \rangle$ is added by \mathbb{B}_I^α .

Definition

Let $X \subseteq L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$ then X is called κ -unbounded if $|X| = \kappa$ and for any $Y \subseteq X$,

$$Y \text{ is bounded} \rightarrow |Y| < \kappa.$$

Theorem

Assume that $\kappa < \lambda$ and there is no κ -unbounded subset in $L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} \models \kappa \notin \mathcal{T}.$$

Proof.

Suppose $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is forced to be a tower. For each $\xi < \kappa$ we can assume that \dot{x}_ξ is a $\mathbb{B}_{k_\xi}^{\alpha_\xi}$ name for some $\alpha_\xi < \lambda$ and

$k_\xi \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$. As $\kappa < \lambda$ we have that $\sup_{\xi < \kappa} \alpha_\xi = \alpha < \lambda$.

Moreover since there is no κ -unbounded subset of L , there is $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ so that $\{k_\xi : \xi \in X\}$ is bounded, say by $I \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$.

Then $\langle x_\xi : \xi \in X \rangle$ is added by \mathbb{B}_I^α .

Definition

Let $X \subseteq L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$ then X is called κ -unbounded if $|X| = \kappa$ and for any $Y \subseteq X$,

$$Y \text{ is bounded} \rightarrow |Y| < \kappa.$$

Theorem

Assume that $\kappa < \lambda$ and there is no κ -unbounded subset in $L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} \models \kappa \notin \mathcal{T}.$$

Proof.

Suppose $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is forced to be a tower. For each $\xi < \kappa$ we can assume that \dot{x}_ξ is a $\mathbb{B}_{k_\xi}^{\alpha_\xi}$ name for some $\alpha_\xi < \lambda$ and

$k_\xi \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$. As $\kappa < \lambda$ we have that $\sup_{\xi < \kappa} \alpha_\xi = \alpha < \lambda$.

Moreover since there is no κ -unbounded subset of L , there is $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ so that $\{k_\xi : \xi \in X\}$ is bounded, say by $I \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$.

Then $\langle x_\xi : \xi \in X \rangle$ is added by \mathbb{B}_I^α .

Definition

Let $X \subseteq L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$ then X is called κ -unbounded if $|X| = \kappa$ and for any $Y \subseteq X$,

$$Y \text{ is bounded} \rightarrow |Y| < \kappa.$$

Theorem

Assume that $\kappa < \lambda$ and there is no κ -unbounded subset in $L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} \models \kappa \notin \mathcal{T}.$$

Proof.

Suppose $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is forced to be a tower. For each $\xi < \kappa$ we can assume that \dot{x}_ξ is a $\mathbb{B}_{k_\xi}^{\alpha_\xi}$ name for some $\alpha_\xi < \lambda$ and $k_\xi \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$. As $\kappa < \lambda$ we have that $\sup_{\xi < \kappa} \alpha_\xi = \alpha < \lambda$. Moreover since there is no κ -unbounded subset of L , there is $X \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ so that $\{k_\xi : \xi \in X\}$ is bounded, say by $I \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$. Then $\langle x_\xi : \xi \in X \rangle$ is added by \mathbb{B}_I^α .

Proof.

(...) But our book-keeping function will give at some later stage $\beta \geq \alpha$, $I_\beta = I$ and \dot{Q}_β a forcing diagonalizing $\langle x_\xi : \xi \in X \rangle$. \square

Theorem

Assume that there is a strictly increasing unbounded sequence $\langle k_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ in $L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}^\lambda}_{I_{\text{top}}} \models \kappa \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Note that such a sequence gives a κ -unbounded set.

Proof.

(...) But our book-keeping function will give at some later stage $\beta \geq \alpha$, $I_\beta = I$ and $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_\beta$ a forcing diagonalizing $\langle x_\xi : \xi \in X \rangle$. \square

Theorem

Assume that there is a strictly increasing unbounded sequence $\langle k_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ in $L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}^\lambda}_{I_{\text{top}}} \models \kappa \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Note that such a sequence gives a κ -unbounded set.

Theorem

Assume that there is a strictly increasing unbounded sequence $\langle k_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ in $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} \models \kappa \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Proof.

$\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is constructed inductively such that \dot{x}_ξ is a $\mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi}$ name for a real for some $\alpha_\xi < \lambda$.

Assume we are given $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$. Let $\alpha := \sup_{\xi < \gamma} \alpha_\xi < \lambda$. Then $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ is added by $\mathbb{B}_{k_\gamma}^\alpha$. Our book-keeping function returns at some later stage $\beta \geq \alpha$, $l_\beta = k_\gamma$ and \dot{Q}_β a name for a forcing adding a pseudointersection of $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ (namely Mathias forcing relative to the generated filter). We let $\alpha_\gamma = \beta + 1$ and \dot{x}_γ a name for the generic added by \dot{Q}_β .

Theorem

Assume that there is a strictly increasing unbounded sequence $\langle k_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ in $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} \models \kappa \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Proof.

$\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is constructed inductively such that \dot{x}_ξ is a $\mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi}$ name for a real for some $\alpha_\xi < \lambda$.

Assume we are given $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$. Let $\alpha := \sup_{\xi < \gamma} \alpha_\xi < \lambda$. Then $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ is added by $\mathbb{B}_{k_\gamma}^\alpha$. Our book-keeping function returns at some later stage $\beta \geq \alpha$, $l_\beta = k_\gamma$ and \dot{Q}_β a name for a forcing adding a pseudointersection of $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ (namely Mathias forcing relative to the generated filter). We let $\alpha_\gamma = \beta + 1$ and \dot{x}_γ a name for the generic added by \dot{Q}_β .

Theorem

Assume that there is a strictly increasing unbounded sequence $\langle k_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ in $L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} \models \kappa \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Proof.

$\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is constructed inductively such that \dot{x}_ξ is a $\mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi}$ name for a real for some $\alpha_\xi < \lambda$.

Assume we are given $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$. Let $\alpha := \sup_{\xi < \gamma} \alpha_\xi < \lambda$. Then $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ is added by $\mathbb{B}_{k_\gamma}^\alpha$. Our book-keeping function returns at some later stage $\beta \geq \alpha$, $I_\beta = k_\gamma$ and \dot{Q}_β a name for a forcing adding a pseudointersection of $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ (namely Mathias forcing relative to the generated filter). We let $\alpha_\gamma = \beta + 1$ and \dot{x}_γ a name for the generic added by \dot{Q}_β .

Theorem

Assume that there is a strictly increasing unbounded sequence $\langle k_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ in $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} \models \kappa \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Proof.

$\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is constructed inductively such that \dot{x}_ξ is a $\mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi}$ name for a real for some $\alpha_\xi < \lambda$.

Assume we are given $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$. Let $\alpha := \sup_{\xi < \gamma} \alpha_\xi < \lambda$. Then $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ is added by $\mathbb{B}_{k_\gamma}^\alpha$. Our book-keeping function returns at some later stage $\beta \geq \alpha$, $l_\beta = k_\gamma$ and \dot{Q}_β a name for a forcing adding a pseudointersection of $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ (namely Mathias forcing relative to the generated filter). We let $\alpha_\gamma = \beta + 1$ and \dot{x}_γ a name for the generic added by \dot{Q}_β .

Theorem

Assume that there is a strictly increasing unbounded sequence $\langle k_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ in $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} \models \kappa \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Proof.

$\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is constructed inductively such that \dot{x}_ξ is a $\mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi}$ name for a real for some $\alpha_\xi < \lambda$.

Assume we are given $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$. Let $\alpha := \sup_{\xi < \gamma} \alpha_\xi < \lambda$. Then $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ is added by $\mathbb{B}_{k_\gamma}^\alpha$. Our book-keeping function returns at some later stage $\beta \geq \alpha$, $l_\beta = k_\gamma$ and \dot{Q}_β a name for a forcing adding a pseudointersection of $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ (namely Mathias forcing relative to the generated filter). We let $\alpha_\gamma = \beta + 1$ and \dot{x}_γ a name for the generic added by \dot{Q}_β .

Theorem

Assume that there is a strictly increasing unbounded sequence $\langle k_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ in $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} \models \kappa \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Proof.

$\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is constructed inductively such that \dot{x}_ξ is a $\mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi}$ name for a real for some $\alpha_\xi < \lambda$.

Assume we are given $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$. Let $\alpha := \sup_{\xi < \gamma} \alpha_\xi < \lambda$. Then $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ is added by $\mathbb{B}_{k_\gamma}^\alpha$. Our book-keeping function returns at some later stage $\beta \geq \alpha$, $l_\beta = k_\gamma$ and \dot{Q}_β a name for a forcing adding a pseudointersection of $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ (namely Mathias forcing relative to the generated filter). We let $\alpha_\gamma = \beta + 1$ and \dot{x}_γ a name for the generic added by \dot{Q}_β .

Theorem

Assume that there is a strictly increasing unbounded sequence $\langle k_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ in $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}_{l_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} \models \kappa \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Proof.

$\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is constructed inductively such that \dot{x}_ξ is a $\mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi}$ name for a real for some $\alpha_\xi < \lambda$.

Assume we are given $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$. Let $\alpha := \sup_{\xi < \gamma} \alpha_\xi < \lambda$. Then $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ is added by $\mathbb{B}_{k_\gamma}^\alpha$. Our book-keeping function returns at some later stage $\beta \geq \alpha$, $l_\beta = k_\gamma$ and \dot{Q}_β a name for a forcing adding a pseudointersection of $\langle \dot{x}_\xi : \xi < \gamma \rangle$ (namely Mathias forcing relative to the generated filter). We let $\alpha_\gamma = \beta + 1$ and \dot{x}_γ a name for the generic added by \dot{Q}_β .

Proof.

(...) Claim: $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ will be a tower.

Suppose \dot{x} is a name for a real. Then there is $\alpha < \lambda$ and $I \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$ so that \dot{x} is added by \mathbb{B}_I^α . Let $\xi < \kappa$ be such that $k_\xi \not\leq I$ and assume wlog that $\alpha_\xi \leq \alpha$. Then we have that

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi}).$$

$\mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} = \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta * \dot{Q}_\beta$ since $k_\xi < k_{\xi+1}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} = \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta$ since $k_\xi \not\leq I \wedge k_{\xi+1}$.

But then

$\text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta * \dot{Q}_\beta / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta) = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta) * \dot{Q}_\beta$.

In particular the real added by \dot{Q}_β , namely x_ξ , is going to be generic over $V^{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha} \ni x$. This guarantees that $x \not\leq^* x_\xi$.



Proof.

(...) Claim: $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ will be a tower.

Suppose \dot{x} is a name for a real. Then there is $\alpha < \lambda$ and $I \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$ so that \dot{x} is added by \mathbb{B}_I^α . Let $\xi < \kappa$ be such that $k_\xi \not\leq I$ and assume wlog that $\alpha_\xi \leq \alpha$. Then we have that

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi}).$$

$\mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} = \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta * \dot{Q}_\beta$ since $k_\xi < k_{\xi+1}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} = \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta$ since $k_\xi \not\leq I \wedge k_{\xi+1}$.

But then

$\text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta * \dot{Q}_\beta / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta) = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta) * \dot{Q}_\beta$.

In particular the real added by \dot{Q}_β , namely x_ξ , is going to be generic over $V^{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha} \ni x$. This guarantees that $x \not\leq^* x_\xi$.



Proof.

(...) Claim: $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ will be a tower.

Suppose \dot{x} is a name for a real. Then there is $\alpha < \lambda$ and $I \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$ so that \dot{x} is added by \mathbb{B}_I^α . Let $\xi < \kappa$ be such that $k_\xi \not\leq I$ and assume wlog that $\alpha_\xi \leq \alpha$. Then we have that

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi}).$$

$\mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} = \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta * \dot{Q}_\beta$ since $k_\xi < k_{\xi+1}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} = \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta$ since $k_\xi \not\leq I \wedge k_{\xi+1}$.

But then

$\text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta * \dot{Q}_\beta / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta) = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta) * \dot{Q}_\beta$.

In particular the real added by \dot{Q}_β , namely x_ξ , is going to be generic over $V^{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha} \ni x$. This guarantees that $x \not\leq^* x_\xi$.



Proof.

(...) Claim: $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ will be a tower.

Suppose \dot{x} is a name for a real. Then there is $\alpha < \lambda$ and $I \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$ so that \dot{x} is added by \mathbb{B}_I^α . Let $\xi < \kappa$ be such that $k_\xi \not\leq I$ and assume wlog that $\alpha_\xi \leq \alpha$. Then we have that

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi}).$$

$\mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} = \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta * \dot{Q}_\beta$ since $k_\xi < k_{\xi+1}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} = \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta$ since $k_\xi \not\leq I \wedge k_{\xi+1}$.

But then

$\text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta * \dot{Q}_\beta / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta) = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta) * \dot{Q}_\beta$.

In particular the real added by \dot{Q}_β , namely x_ξ , is going to be generic over $V^{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha} \ni x$. This guarantees that $x \not\leq^* x_\xi$.



Proof.

(...) Claim: $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ will be a tower.

Suppose \dot{x} is a name for a real. Then there is $\alpha < \lambda$ and $I \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$ so that \dot{x} is added by \mathbb{B}_I^α . Let $\xi < \kappa$ be such that $k_\xi \not\leq I$ and assume wlog that $\alpha_\xi \leq \alpha$. Then we have that

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi}).$$

$\mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} = \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta * \dot{Q}_\beta$ since $k_\xi < k_{\xi+1}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} = \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta$ since $k_\xi \not\leq I \wedge k_{\xi+1}$.

But then

$\text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta * \dot{Q}_\beta / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta) = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta) * \dot{Q}_\beta$.

In particular the real added by \dot{Q}_β , namely x_ξ , is going to be generic over $V^{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha} \ni x$. This guarantees that $x \not\leq^* x_\xi$.



Proof.

(...) Claim: $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ will be a tower.

Suppose \dot{x} is a name for a real. Then there is $\alpha < \lambda$ and $I \in L \setminus \{I_{\text{top}}\}$ so that \dot{x} is added by \mathbb{B}_I^α . Let $\xi < \kappa$ be such that $k_\xi \not\leq I$ and assume wlog that $\alpha_\xi \leq \alpha$. Then we have that

$$\langle \mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} \rangle_{\mathbb{B}_{I_{\text{top}}}^\lambda} = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi}).$$

$\mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} = \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta * \dot{Q}_\beta$ since $k_\xi < k_{\xi+1}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^{\alpha_\xi} = \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta$ since $k_\xi \not\leq I \wedge k_{\xi+1}$.

But then

$\text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta * \dot{Q}_\beta / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta) = \text{Amalg}(\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha, \mathbb{B}_{k_{\xi+1}}^\beta / \mathbb{B}_{I \wedge k_{\xi+1}}^\beta) * \dot{Q}_\beta$.

In particular the real added by \dot{Q}_β , namely x_ξ , is going to be generic over $V^{\mathbb{B}_I^\alpha} \ni x$. This guarantees that $x \not\leq^* x_\xi$.



Theorem

Assume that $\kappa < \lambda$ and there is no κ -unbounded subset in $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}^\lambda}_{l_{\text{top}}} \models \kappa \notin \mathcal{T}.$$

Theorem

Assume that there is a strictly increasing unbounded sequence $\langle k_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ in $L \setminus \{l_{\text{top}}\}$, then

$$V^{\mathbb{B}^\lambda}_{l_{\text{top}}} \models \kappa \in \mathcal{T}.$$

The actual actual construction:

Let $C \subseteq \omega \setminus \{0\}$ non-empty and consider the lattice $L = \prod_{n \in C} \aleph_n$ with $f \wedge g = \min(f, g)$. $|L|$ is regular uncountable (either $\aleph_{\max C}$ or $\aleph_{\omega+1}$). For any $n \in C$, L has a \aleph_n -length unbounded increasing sequence. If $n \notin C$ then L has no \aleph_n -unbounded set.

Thus:

Theorem (S.)

(GCH) Let $C \subseteq \omega \setminus \{0\}$. Then there is a ccc forcing notion \mathbb{P} so that

$$V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \aleph_n \in \mathcal{T} \leftrightarrow n \in C.$$

The actual actual construction:

Let $C \subseteq \omega \setminus \{0\}$ non-empty and consider the lattice $L = \prod_{n \in C} \aleph_n$ with $f \wedge g = \min(f, g)$. $|L|$ is regular uncountable (either $\aleph_{\max C}$ or $\aleph_{\omega+1}$). For any $n \in C$, L has a \aleph_n -length unbounded increasing sequence. If $n \notin C$ then L has no \aleph_n -unbounded set.

Thus:

Theorem (S.)

(GCH) Let $C \subseteq \omega \setminus \{0\}$. Then there is a ccc forcing notion \mathbb{P} so that

$$V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \aleph_n \in \mathcal{T} \leftrightarrow n \in C.$$

The actual actual construction:

Let $C \subseteq \omega \setminus \{0\}$ non-empty and consider the lattice $L = \prod_{n \in C} \aleph_n$ with $f \wedge g = \min(f, g)$. $|L|$ is regular uncountable (either $\aleph_{\max C}$ or $\aleph_{\omega+1}$). For any $n \in C$, L has a \aleph_n -length unbounded increasing sequence. If $n \notin C$ then L has no \aleph_n -unbounded set.

Thus:

Theorem (S.)

(GCH) Let $C \subseteq \omega \setminus \{0\}$. Then there is a ccc forcing notion \mathbb{P} so that

$$V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \aleph_n \in \mathcal{T} \leftrightarrow n \in C.$$

What about other spectra? The same method applies to:

- The set of κ such that there is a κ -filterbase on ω . \mathcal{F} is a κ -filterbase if $|\mathcal{F}| = \kappa$ and $\forall \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F} (\exists x (x \subseteq^* \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| < \kappa)$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -unbounded subset of $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The lengths of "unbounded scales" in $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -concentrated subset of \mathbb{R} .
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -Luzin set. $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a κ -Luzin set if $|X| = \kappa$ and $\forall Y \subseteq X (Y \text{ is meager} \leftrightarrow |Y| < \kappa)$.
- The lengths of eventually splitting sequences. $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is eventually splitting if $\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \xi < \kappa \forall \eta > \xi (x_\eta \text{ splits } x)$.
- ...find your own example!

What about other spectra? The same method applies to:

- The set of κ such that there is a κ -filterbase on ω . \mathcal{F} is a κ -filterbase if $|\mathcal{F}| = \kappa$ and $\forall \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F} (\exists x (x \subseteq^* \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| < \kappa)$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -unbounded subset of $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The lengths of "unbounded scales" in $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -concentrated subset of \mathbb{R} .
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -Luzin set. $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a κ -Luzin set if $|X| = \kappa$ and $\forall Y \subseteq X (Y \text{ is meager} \leftrightarrow |Y| < \kappa)$.
- The lengths of eventually splitting sequences. $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is eventually splitting if $\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \xi < \kappa \forall \eta > \xi (x_\eta \text{ splits } x)$.
- ...find your own example!

What about other spectra? The same method applies to:

- The set of κ such that there is a κ -filterbase on ω . \mathcal{F} is a κ -filterbase if $|\mathcal{F}| = \kappa$ and $\forall \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F} (\exists x (x \subseteq^* \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| < \kappa)$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -unbounded subset of $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The lengths of "unbounded scales" in $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -concentrated subset of \mathbb{R} .
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -Luzin set. $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a κ -Luzin set if $|X| = \kappa$ and $\forall Y \subseteq X (Y \text{ is meager} \leftrightarrow |Y| < \kappa)$.
- The lengths of eventually splitting sequences. $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is eventually splitting if $\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \xi < \kappa \forall \eta > \xi (x_\eta \text{ splits } x)$.
- ...find your own example!

What about other spectra? The same method applies to:

- The set of κ such that there is a κ -filterbase on ω . \mathcal{F} is a κ -filterbase if $|\mathcal{F}| = \kappa$ and $\forall \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F} (\exists x (x \subseteq^* \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| < \kappa)$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -unbounded subset of $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The lengths of "unbounded scales" in $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -concentrated subset of \mathbb{R} .
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -Luzin set. $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a κ -Luzin set if $|X| = \kappa$ and $\forall Y \subseteq X (Y \text{ is meager} \leftrightarrow |Y| < \kappa)$.
- The lengths of eventually splitting sequences. $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is eventually splitting if $\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \xi < \kappa \forall \eta > \xi (x_\eta \text{ splits } x)$.
- ...find your own example!

What about other spectra? The same method applies to:

- The set of κ such that there is a κ -filterbase on ω . \mathcal{F} is a κ -filterbase if $|\mathcal{F}| = \kappa$ and $\forall \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F} (\exists x (x \subseteq^* \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| < \kappa)$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -unbounded subset of $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The lengths of "unbounded scales" in $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -concentrated subset of \mathbb{R} .
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -Luzin set. $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a κ -Luzin set if $|X| = \kappa$ and $\forall Y \subseteq X (Y \text{ is meager} \leftrightarrow |Y| < \kappa)$.
- The lengths of eventually splitting sequences. $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is eventually splitting if $\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \xi < \kappa \forall \eta > \xi (x_\eta \text{ splits } x)$.
- ...find your own example!

What about other spectra? The same method applies to:

- The set of κ such that there is a κ -filterbase on ω . \mathcal{F} is a κ -filterbase if $|\mathcal{F}| = \kappa$ and $\forall \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F} (\exists x (x \subseteq^* \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| < \kappa)$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -unbounded subset of $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The lengths of "unbounded scales" in $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -concentrated subset of \mathbb{R} .
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -Luzin set. $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a κ -Luzin set if $|X| = \kappa$ and $\forall Y \subseteq X (Y \text{ is meager} \leftrightarrow |Y| < \kappa)$.
- The lengths of eventually splitting sequences. $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is eventually splitting if $\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \xi < \kappa \forall \eta > \xi (x_\eta \text{ splits } x)$.
- ...find your own example!

What about other spectra? The same method applies to:

- The set of κ such that there is a κ -filterbase on ω . \mathcal{F} is a κ -filterbase if $|\mathcal{F}| = \kappa$ and $\forall \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F} (\exists x (x \subseteq^* \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| < \kappa)$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -unbounded subset of $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The lengths of "unbounded scales" in $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -concentrated subset of \mathbb{R} .
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -Luzin set. $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a κ -Luzin set if $|X| = \kappa$ and $\forall Y \subseteq X (Y \text{ is meager} \leftrightarrow |Y| < \kappa)$.
- The lengths of eventually splitting sequences. $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is eventually splitting if $\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \xi < \kappa \forall \eta > \xi (x_\eta \text{ splits } x)$.
- ...find your own example!

What about other spectra? The same method applies to:

- The set of κ such that there is a κ -filterbase on ω . \mathcal{F} is a κ -filterbase if $|\mathcal{F}| = \kappa$ and $\forall \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F} (\exists x (x \subseteq^* \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| < \kappa)$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -unbounded subset of $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The lengths of "unbounded scales" in $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -concentrated subset of \mathbb{R} .
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -Luzin set. $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a κ -Luzin set if $|X| = \kappa$ and $\forall Y \subseteq X (Y \text{ is meager} \leftrightarrow |Y| < \kappa)$.
- The lengths of eventually splitting sequences. $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is eventually splitting if $\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \xi < \kappa \forall \eta > \xi (x_\eta \text{ splits } x)$.
- ...find your own example!

What about other spectra? The same method applies to:

- The set of κ such that there is a κ -filterbase on ω . \mathcal{F} is a κ -filterbase if $|\mathcal{F}| = \kappa$ and $\forall \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F} (\exists x (x \subseteq^* \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow |\mathcal{A}| < \kappa)$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -unbounded subset of $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The lengths of "unbounded scales" in $\omega^\omega / \text{fin}$.
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -concentrated subset of \mathbb{R} .
- The set of κ such that there is a κ -Luzin set. $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a κ -Luzin set if $|X| = \kappa$ and $\forall Y \subseteq X (Y \text{ is meager} \leftrightarrow |Y| < \kappa)$.
- The lengths of eventually splitting sequences. $\langle x_\xi : \xi < \kappa \rangle$ is eventually splitting if $\forall x \in [\omega]^\omega \exists \xi < \kappa \forall \eta > \xi (x_\eta \text{ splits } x)$.
- ...find your own example!

Thank you for your attention!

