



Supported by SRNSFG Grant Numb: MG TG-19-1447

# The Uniform Subsets of the Euclidean Plane

Mariam Beriashvili

I. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics  
Tbilisi State University

*mariam\_beriashvili@yahoo.com*

January 30, 2020

## Definition

Let  $X$  be a subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  and  $\vec{e}$  is an arbitrary vector in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ ,  $X$  called an Uniform subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  in direction  $\vec{e}$  if for each  $p'$  parallel to  $\vec{e}$ , we have

$$\text{card}(p' \cap X) \leq 1$$

According to the standard terminology in *N. N. Luzin, Collected Works (in Russian), Izd. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 2 (1958)*

# Luzini Problem

Many years ago Luzin posed a problem, in particular Luzin asked whether there exists a function

$$\phi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

such that the whole plane  $\mathbf{R}^2$  can be covered by countable many isometric copies of the graph of  $\phi$ .

Partially, Sierpinski has answered to the Luzini Problem and under the Continuum Hypothesis has proved next theorem.

## Sierpinski's Theorem.

Assuming Continuum Hypothesis in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  there exists two subsets  $A$  and  $B$ , such that

- 1 The set  $A$  is uniform with respect to the axis  $\mathbf{R} \times 0$ ;
- 2 The set  $B$  is uniform with respect to the axis  $0 \times \mathbf{R}$ ;
- 3 There exists a countable family  $\{h_n : n < \omega\}$  of translations of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , for which we have

$$\bigcup \{h_n(A \cup B) : n < \omega\} = \mathbf{R}^2$$

## Theorem of Davies

Let  $(\vec{e}_i)_{i \in \omega}$  be an injective countable family of vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Then there exists a family  $\{X_i : i \in \omega\}$  of subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  such that

- 1  $\cup\{X_i : i \in \omega\} = \mathbf{R}^2$ ;
- 2 for each  $i \in \omega$  the set  $X_i$  is uniform in direction  $\vec{e}_i$ .

# Finite set in direction vector $\vec{e}$

## Definition

Let  $\vec{e}$  be an arbitrary nonzero vector in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . A set  $B \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  is finite in direction  $\vec{e}$  if

$$\text{card}(I \cap B) < \omega$$

for any straight line  $I \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  parallel to  $\vec{e}$ .

## Theorem

Let  $Z \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  be a finite set in direction  $\vec{e}$ , where  $\vec{e}$  is an arbitrary vector in the plane, then there exists an uniform set  $X \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  in the same  $\vec{e}$  direction, such that  $Z$  is a countable many  $\Pi_2$ -configuration of  $X$ .

Let  $E$  be a set and let  $M$  be a class of measures on  $E$  (in general, we do not assume that measures belonging to  $M$  are defined on the one and same  $\sigma$ -algebra of subset of  $E$ ).

## Definition

- We say that a set  $X \subset E$  is absolutely measurable with respect to  $M$  if  $X$  is measurable with respect to all measures from  $M$ .
- We say that a set  $Y \subset E$  is relatively measurable with respect to  $M$  if there exists at least one measure  $\mu$  from  $M$  such that  $Y$  is  $\mu$ -measurable.
- We say that a set  $Z \subset E$  is absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to  $M$  if there exists no measure from  $M$  such that  $Z$  is measurable with respect to all measures from  $M$ .

# Measurability of the Uniform subset

Let  $\Pi_2$  denote the group of all translations of the plane  $\mathbf{R}^2$  and let  $\lambda_2$  stand for the ordinary two-dimensional Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

## Theorem

There exists a  $\Pi_2$ -invariant extension  $\mu$  of the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda_2$ , such that all uniform sets in direction  $Oy$ -axis are measurable with respect  $\mu$ .

**Corollary.** The uniform set in any direction in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  is absolutely measurable with respect to the class of all nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite  $\Pi_2$ -invariant measures.

## Theorem

Under **CH**, there exist a set  $A$  uniform in direction of  $Oy$ -axis and a set  $B$  uniform in direction of  $Ox$ -axis, such that  $A \cup B$  is absolutely nonmeasurable with respect to the class of all  $\Pi_2$ -invariant extensions of the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda_2$ .

A.B. Kharazishvili *Questions in the theory of sets and in measure theory*,  
TSU, Tbilisi, 1978



Let  $M(\mathbf{R}^2)$  be a class of all nonzero  $\sigma$ -finite translation invariant measures on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

## Definition

A set  $X \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  is called *negligible* with respect to  $M(\mathbf{R}^2)$  if these two conditions are satisfied for  $X$ :

- there exists a measure  $\nu \in M(\mathbf{R}^2)$  such that  $X \in \text{dom}(\nu)$ ;
- for any measure  $\mu \in M(\mathbf{R}^2)$ , the relation  $X \in \text{dom}(\mu)$  implies the equality  $\mu(X) = 0$

A proper subclass of negligible sets, consisting of the so called absolutely negligible sets, is of special interest for the general theory of invariant measures.

## Definition

A set  $X \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  is called *absolutely negligible* with respect to  $M(\mathbf{R}^2)$  if, for every measure  $\mu \in M(\mathbf{R}^2)$ , there exists a measure  $\mu' \in M(\mathbf{R}^2)$  such that the relations

$$\mu' \text{ extends } \mu, Y \in \text{dom}(\mu'), \mu'(Y) = 0$$

hold true.

In the paper

A. Kharazishvili, *Small sets in uncountable abelian groups*, Acta Univ. Lodz, Folia, Math No. 7 (1995) 31–39  
has proved next statement:

### Lemma

If  $X \subset \mathbf{R}^2$  is finite in some direction  $\vec{e}$ , then  $M$  is negligible with respect to the class  $M(\mathbf{R}^2)$ .

## Lemma

Every Hamel basis of the space  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is absolutely negligible subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

Notice that a more general result can be stated. For any natural number  $n$ , denote by  $H_n$  the set of all those vectors in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  whose representation via the Hamel basis  $H$  contains at most  $n$  nonzero rational coefficients. Then each set  $H_n, n < \omega$  turns out to be  $\mathbf{R}^2$ -absolutely negligible in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

[A. Kharazishvili, *One property of Hamel bases*, Bull. Acad. Sci. GSSR, 95, 2 (1979), 277-280.]

## Theorem

There exists a uniform subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  which is Hamel basis of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

*Remark:* The proof of this result is similar to the proof of the fact that there exists a Mazurkiewicz set in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  which is a Hamel basis of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

# Conclusion

In general, the solution of the Luzin Problem by Davis and the character of the uniform set infer that any uniform subset of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  is  $\Pi_2$ -negligible and not  $\Pi_2$ -absolutely negligible.

In connection with this fact is interesting next question

Does there exist a subset of the Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which is  $\Pi_n$ -absolutely negligible and simultaneously,  $D_n$ -absolutely nonmeasurable? Where,  $D_n$  is the group of all motions (i.e. isometric transformations) of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\Pi_n$  the group of all translations of the space  $\mathbf{R}^n$

## References

- ① R. O. Davies, *Covering the plane with denumerably many curves*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 38 (1963), 433–438
- ② A. B. Kharazishvili, *Nonmeasurable Sets and Functions*, North-Holland Math. Stud. 195, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2004
- ③ A. Kharazishvili, *On Negligible and absolutely nonmeasurable subsets of the Euclidean plane*, Georgian Mathematical Journal, , vol.3, 479-487;
- ④ A.B. Kharazishvili *Questions in the theory of sets and in measure theory* , TSU, Tblisi, 1978