

Generalized Egorov's statement for ideals

Michał Korch

Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics, and Mechanics
University of Warsaw

Hejnice, January 2019

Preliminaries and motivation: Classical Egorov's Theorem

Theorem

(Egorov), [4]

Given a sequence of Lebesgue measurable functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is pointwise convergent on $[0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find a measurable set $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ such that the sequence converges uniformly on A .

Preliminaries and motivation: Classical Egorov's Theorem

Theorem

(Egorov), [4]

Given a sequence of Lebesgue **measurable** functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is pointwise convergent on $[0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find a **measurable** set $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ such that the sequence converges uniformly on A .

Preliminaries and motivation: Classical Egorov's Theorem

Theorem

(Egorov), [4]

Given a sequence of Lebesgue **measurable** functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is **pointwise convergent** on $[0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find a **measurable** set $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ such that the sequence **converges uniformly** on A .

Generalized Egorov's statement is independent from ZFC

Generalized Egorov's statement

Given a sequence of functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is pointwise convergent on $[0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find a set $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ such that the sequence converges uniformly on A .

Generalized Egorov's statement is independent from ZFC

Generalized Egorov's statement

Given a sequence of functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is pointwise convergent on $[0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find a set $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ such that the sequence converges uniformly on A .

Theorem (T. Weiss, 2004), [12]

In the Laver model, the generalized Egorov's statement holds.

Generalized Egorov's statement is independent from ZFC

Generalized Egorov's statement

Given a sequence of functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is pointwise convergent on $[0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find a set $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ such that the sequence converges uniformly on A .

Theorem (T. Weiss, 2004), [12]

In the Laver model, the generalized Egorov's statement holds.

Theorem (T. Weiss, 2004), [12]

Under (CH) the generalized Egorov's statement fails.

Generalized Egorov's statement is independent from ZFC, continued

Theorem (R. Pincioli, 2006), [9]
If $\text{non}\mathcal{N} < \mathfrak{b}$, the generalized Egorov's statement holds.

Generalized Egorov's statement is independent from ZFC, continued

Theorem (R. Pinciroli, 2006), [9]

If $\text{non}\mathcal{N} < \mathfrak{b}$, the generalized Egorov's statement holds.

Theorem (R. Pinciroli, 2006), [9]

If $\text{non}\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{c}$, the generalized Egorov's statement fails.

Also if there exists a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set and $\text{non}\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{c}$, the generalized Egorov's statement fails.

Generalized Egorov's statement is independent from ZFC, continued

Theorem (R. Pinciroli, 2006), [9]

If $\text{non}\mathcal{N} < \mathfrak{b}$, the generalized Egorov's statement holds.

Theorem (R. Pinciroli, 2006), [9]

If $\text{non}\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{c}$, the generalized Egorov's statement fails.

Also if there exists a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set and $\text{non}\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{c}$, the generalized Egorov's statement fails.

Recall that a set L is a κ -**Lusin set** if for any meagre set X , $|L \cap X| < \kappa$, but $|L| \geq \kappa$.

Convergence with respect to an ideal

Sequence convergence with respect to I

Given an ideal I on ω and a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathbb{R}^\omega$ we say that the sequence **converges** to a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with respect to I ($x_n \rightarrow_I x$) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\{n \in \omega : |x_n - x| > \varepsilon\} \in I.$$

Convergence with respect to an ideal

Sequence convergence with respect to I

Given an ideal I on ω and a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathbb{R}^\omega$ we say that the sequence **converges** to a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with respect to I ($x_n \rightarrow_I x$) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\{n \in \omega : |x_n - x| > \varepsilon\} \in I.$$

I^* -convergence

A sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathbb{R}^\omega$ I^* -converges to a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ($x_n \rightarrow_{I^*} x$) if there exists $C \in I$ such that the sequence $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in (\omega \setminus C)}$ converges to x in the usual sense.

Convergence with respect to an ideal

Convergence of a sequence of functions with respect to I

We get different notions of convergence of a sequence $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ of functions $[0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with respect to an ideal I on ω , which were introduced in [1] and [3]:

pointwise ideal, $f_n \rightarrow_I f$ if and only if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall x \in A \{n \in \omega : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \in I,$$

uniform ideal, $f_n \rightrightarrows_I f$ if and only if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists B \in I \forall x \in A \{n \in \omega : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \subseteq B.$$

Convergence with respect to an ideal, continued

I^* -convergence of a sequence of functions

In this approach we get the following notions of convergence of a sequence $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ of functions $[0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ on $A \subseteq [0, 1]$:

I^* -pointwise, $f_n \rightarrow_{I^*} f$ if and only if for all $x \in A$, there exists $M = \{m_i : i \in \omega\} \subseteq \omega$, $m_{i+1} > m_i$ for $i \in \omega$ such that $\omega \setminus M \in I$ and $f_{m_i}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$,

I^* -uniform, $f_n \rightrightarrows_{I^*} f$ if and only if there exists $M = \{m_i : i \in \omega\} \subseteq \omega$, $m_{i+1} > m_i$ for $i \in \omega$ such that $\omega \setminus M \in I$ and $f_{m_i} \rightrightarrows f$ on A .

Convergence with respect to an ideal, continued

If I, J are ideals on ω , then $I \vee J = \{A \cup B : A \in I \wedge B \in J\}$ is the least ideal containing I and J .

Convergence with respect to an ideal, continued

If I, J are ideals on ω , then $I \vee J = \{A \cup B : A \in I \wedge B \in J\}$ is the least ideal containing I and J .

J, I -convergence

The above notions can be further generalized. Let $J \subseteq I$ be ideals. If $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ and $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ is a sequence of functions $[0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, we have the following notions of convergence.

(J, I) -pointwise, $f_n \rightarrow_{J, I} f$ if and only if for all $x \in A$, there exists $N \in I$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\{n \in \omega : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \in J \vee \langle N \rangle,$$

(J, I) -uniform, $f_n \rightrightarrows_{J, I} f$ if and only if there exists $N \in I$ and $f_n \rightrightarrows_{J \vee \langle N \rangle} f$ on A .

Convergence with respect to an ideal, continued

If I, J are ideals on ω , then $I \vee J = \{A \cup B : A \in I \wedge B \in J\}$ is the least ideal containing I and J .

J, I -convergence

The above notions can be further generalized. Let $J \subseteq I$ be ideals. If $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ and $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ is a sequence of functions $[0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, we have the following notions of convergence.

(J, I) -pointwise, $f_n \rightarrow_{J, I} f$ if and only if for all $x \in A$, there exists $N \in I$ such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\{n \in \omega : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \in J \vee \langle N \rangle,$$

(J, I) -uniform, $f_n \rightrightarrows_{J, I} f$ if and only if there exists $N \in I$ and $f_n \rightrightarrows_{J \vee \langle N \rangle} f$ on A .

Notice that $\rightarrow_{I, I} = \rightarrow_I$ and $\rightrightarrows_{I, I} = \rightrightarrows_I$. Moreover, $\rightarrow_{\text{Fin}, I} = \rightarrow_{I^*}$, and $\rightrightarrows_{\text{Fin}, I} = \rightrightarrows_{I^*}$.

Convergence with respect to an ideal, continued

Therefore we have the following implications between notions of convergence for ideals $J \subseteq I$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \rightarrow_{\text{Fin}} & \Rightarrow & \rightarrow_{J^*} & \Rightarrow & \rightarrow_{J,I} & \Rightarrow & \rightarrow_I \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \rightrightarrows_{\text{Fin}} & \Rightarrow & \rightrightarrows_{J^*} & \Rightarrow & \rightrightarrows_{J,I} & \Rightarrow & \rightrightarrows_I
 \end{array}$$

Egorov's statement for ideals: countably generated ideals

An ideal I is countably generated (satisfies the chain condition) if there exists a sequence $\langle C_i \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ of elements of I such that $C_i \subseteq C_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \omega$ and for every $A \in I$, there exists $k \in \omega$ such that $A \subseteq C_k$.

Egorov's statement for ideals: countably generated ideals

An ideal I is countably generated (satisfies the chain condition) if there exists a sequence $\langle C_i \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ of elements of I such that $C_i \subseteq C_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \omega$ and for every $A \in I$, there exists $k \in \omega$ such that $A \subseteq C_k$.

Proposition

If I is a countably generated ideal on ω , and $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $n \in \omega$ are Lebesgue-measurable functions such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a measurable set $B \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m(B) \leq \varepsilon$ and $f_n \rightrightarrows_I 0$ on $[0, 1] \setminus B$.

Egorov's statement for ideals: countably generated ideals

An ideal I is countably generated (satisfies the chain condition) if there exists a sequence $\langle C_i \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ of elements of I such that $C_i \subseteq C_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \omega$ and for every $A \in I$, there exists $k \in \omega$ such that $A \subseteq C_k$.

Proposition

If I is a countably generated ideal on ω , and $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $n \in \omega$ are Lebesgue-measurable functions such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a measurable set $B \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m(B) \leq \varepsilon$ and $f_n \rightrightarrows_I 0$ on $[0, 1] \setminus B$.

Proposition

If I is a countably generated ideal on ω , and $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $n \in \omega$ are Lebesgue-measurable functions such that $f_n \rightarrow_{I^*} 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a measurable set $B \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m(B) \leq \varepsilon$ and $f_n \rightrightarrows_{I^*} 0$ on $[0, 1] \setminus B$.

Egorov's statement for ideals: countably generated ideals

An ideal I is countably generated (satisfies the chain condition) if there exists a sequence $\langle C_i \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ of elements of I such that $C_i \subseteq C_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \omega$ and for every $A \in I$, there exists $k \in \omega$ such that $A \subseteq C_k$.

Proposition

If I is a countably generated ideal on ω , and $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $n \in \omega$ are Lebesgue-measurable functions such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a measurable set $B \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m(B) \leq \varepsilon$ and $f_n \rightrightarrows_I 0$ on $[0, 1] \setminus B$.

Proposition

If I is a countably generated ideal on ω , and $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $n \in \omega$ are Lebesgue-measurable functions such that $f_n \rightarrow_{I^*} 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a measurable set $B \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m(B) \leq \varepsilon$ and $f_n \rightrightarrows_{I^*} 0$ on $[0, 1] \setminus B$.

But there are only two countably generated ideals on ω up to isomorphism...

Egorov's statement for ideals: Fin^α

Given an ideal I on ω and a sequence $\langle I_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ of ideals on ω , let $I\text{-}\prod_{n \in \omega} I_n$ be the following ideal. For any $A \subseteq \omega^2$,

$$A \in I\text{-}\prod_{n \in \omega} I_n \Leftrightarrow \{n \in \omega : A_{(n)} \notin I_n\} \in I,$$

where $A_{(n)} = \{m \in \omega : \langle n, m \rangle \in A\}$. If $I_n = J$ for any $n \in \omega$, we denote $I\text{-}\prod_{n \in \omega} I_n$ by $I \times J$.

Egorov's statement for ideals: Fin^α

Given an ideal I on ω and a sequence $\langle I_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ of ideals on ω , let $I\text{-}\prod_{n \in \omega} I_n$ be the following ideal. For any $A \subseteq \omega^2$,

$$A \in I\text{-}\prod_{n \in \omega} I_n \Leftrightarrow \{n \in \omega : A_{(n)} \notin I_n\} \in I,$$

where $A_{(n)} = \{m \in \omega : \langle n, m \rangle \in A\}$. If $I_n = J$ for any $n \in \omega$, we denote $I\text{-}\prod_{n \in \omega} I_n$ by $I \times J$.

Fix a bijection $b: \omega^2 \rightarrow \omega$ and a bijection $a_\beta: \omega \rightarrow \beta \setminus \{0\}$ for any limit $\beta < \omega_1$. The **ideals** Fin^α , $\alpha < \omega_1$, are defined inductively in the following way. Let $\text{Fin}^1 = \text{Fin}$ be the ideal of finite subsets of ω . We set

$$\text{Fin}^{\alpha+1} = \{b[A] : A \in \text{Fin} \times \text{Fin}^\alpha\},$$

and for limit $\beta < \omega_1$, let

$$\text{Fin}^\beta = \left\{ b[A] : A \in \text{Fin}\text{-}\prod_{i \in \omega} \text{Fin}^{a_\beta(i)} \right\}.$$

Egorov's statement for ideals: Fin^α

Theorem

(N. Mrozek, 2010), [8]

If $I = \text{Fin}^\alpha$ for $\alpha < \omega_1$, and $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $n \in \omega$ are Lebesgue-measurable functions such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a measurable set $B \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m(B) \leq \varepsilon$ and $f_n \rightrightarrows_I 0$ on $[0, 1] \setminus B$.

Analytic P-ideals

An ideal I is **analytic** if $\{\chi_C : C \in I\}$ is analytic as a subset of 2^ω .

Analytic P-ideals

An ideal I is **analytic** if $\{\chi_C : C \in I\}$ is analytic as a subset of 2^ω .

An ideal I is a **P-ideal** if for any sequence $\langle A_i \rangle_{i \in \omega} \in I^\omega$ of mutually disjoint sets, there exists a sequence $\langle B_i \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ such that $A_i \triangle B_i$ is finite for all $i \in \omega$, and $\bigcup_{i \in \omega} B_i \in I$.

Analytic P-ideals

An ideal I is **analytic** if $\{\chi_C : C \in I\}$ is analytic as a subset of 2^ω .

An ideal I is a **P-ideal** if for any sequence $\langle A_i \rangle_{i \in \omega} \in I^\omega$ of mutually disjoint sets, there exists a sequence $\langle B_i \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ such that $A_i \triangle B_i$ is finite for all $i \in \omega$, and $\bigcup_{i \in \omega} B_i \in I$.

By the well-known result of Solecki ([11]) if I is an analytic P-ideal, then $I = \text{Exh}(\phi)$, where ϕ is a lower semicontinuous submeasure.

Analytic P-ideals

An ideal I is **analytic** if $\{\chi_C : C \in I\}$ is analytic as a subset of 2^ω .

An ideal I is a **P-ideal** if for any sequence $\langle A_i \rangle_{i \in \omega} \in I^\omega$ of mutually disjoint sets, there exists a sequence $\langle B_i \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ such that $A_i \triangle B_i$ is finite for all $i \in \omega$, and $\bigcup_{i \in \omega} B_i \in I$.

By the well-known result of Solecki ([11]) if I is an analytic P-ideal, then $I = \text{Exh}(\phi)$, where ϕ is a lower semicontinuous submeasure.

A function $\phi: 2^\omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a lower semicontinuous submeasure if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\phi(\emptyset) = 0$,
- (2) $\phi(A) \leq \phi(A \cup B) \leq \phi(A) + \phi(B)$, for any $A, B \subseteq \omega$,
- (3) $\phi(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \phi(A \cap n)$, for any $A \subseteq \omega$,

and,

$$\text{Exh}(\phi) = \{A \subseteq \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(A \setminus n) = 0\}.$$

Analytic P-ideals: convergence

Let I be an analytic P-ideal. Fix a lower semicontinuous submeasure ϕ such that $I = \text{Exh}(\phi)$.

Analytic P-ideals: convergence

Let I be an analytic P-ideal. Fix a lower semicontinuous submeasure ϕ such that $I = \text{Exh}(\phi)$.

Convergence with respect to an analytic P-ideal

pointwise ideal, $f_n \rightarrow_I f$ if and only if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall x \in A \exists k \in \omega \phi(\{n \in \omega : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \setminus k) < \varepsilon,$$

uniform ideal, $f_n \rightrightarrows_I 0$ if and only if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists k \in \omega \phi\left(\left\{n \in \omega : \sup_{x \in A} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \setminus k\right) < \varepsilon.$$

Analytic P-ideals: convergence

Let I be an analytic P-ideal. Fix a lower semicontinuous submeasure ϕ such that $I = \text{Exh}(\phi)$.

Convergence with respect to an analytic P-ideal

pointwise ideal, $f_n \rightarrow_I f$ if and only if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall x \in A \exists k \in \omega \phi(\{n \in \omega : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \setminus k) < \varepsilon,$$

equi-ideal, $f_n \rightarrow_I f$ if and only if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists k \in \omega \forall x \in A \phi(\{n \in \omega : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \setminus k) < \varepsilon,$$

uniform ideal, $f_n \rightrightarrows_I 0$ if and only if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists k \in \omega \phi\left(\left\{n \in \omega : \sup_{x \in A} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \setminus k\right) < \varepsilon.$$

Egorov's statement for ideals: analytic P -ideals

Theorem

(N. Mrožek, 2009), [7]

If I is an analytic P -ideal, and $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $n \in \omega$ are Lebesgue-measurable functions such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a measurable set $B \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m(B) \leq \varepsilon$ and $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ on $[0, 1] \setminus B$.

Egorov's statement for ideals: analytic P -ideals

Theorem (N. Mrozek, 2009), [7]

If I is an analytic P -ideal, and $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $n \in \omega$ are Lebesgue-measurable functions such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a measurable set $B \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m(B) \leq \varepsilon$ and $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ on $[0, 1] \setminus B$.

Theorem (N. Mrozek, 2009), [7]

If I is an analytic P -ideal which is not countably generated and non-pathological. Then there exists a sequence $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $n \in \omega$ of Lebesgue-measurable functions such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, such that for every a measurable set $B \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m(B) \leq \varepsilon$ and $f_n \not\rightarrow_I 0$ on $[0, 1] \setminus B$.

Egorov's statement for ideals: analytic P -ideals

Theorem (N. Mrozek, 2009), [7]

If I is an analytic P -ideal, and $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $n \in \omega$ are Lebesgue-measurable functions such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a measurable set $B \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m(B) \leq \varepsilon$ and $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ on $[0, 1] \setminus B$.

Theorem (N. Mrozek, 2009), [7]

If I is an analytic P -ideal which is not countably generated and non-pathological. Then there exists a sequence $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $n \in \omega$ of Lebesgue-measurable functions such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, such that for every a measurable set $B \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m(B) \leq \varepsilon$ and $f_n \not\rightarrow_I 0$ on $[0, 1] \setminus B$.

A submeasure ϕ is non-pathological if it is equal to pointwise supremum of measures dominated by itself. An analytic P -ideal is non-pathological if $I = \text{Exh}(\phi)$ for a non-pathological lower semicontinuous submeasure ϕ .

The method

The method

Crucial lemma

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$. Let $\Phi \in (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ and Φ is bounded on A .

The method

Crucial lemma

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$. Let $\Phi \in (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ and Φ is bounded on A .

Proof: We follow the arguments of Pinciroli (see [9]).

The method

Crucial lemma

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$. Let $\Phi \in (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ and Φ is bounded on A .

Proof: We follow the arguments of Pinciroli (see [9]).

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$. Notice that this statement holds for example in a model obtained by \aleph_2 -iteration with countable support of Laver forcing (see e.g. [2]). Also it can be easily proven that under this assumption there exists a set $Y \subseteq [0, 1]$ of cardinality less than \mathfrak{b} such that $m^*(Y) = 1$. Indeed, if $N \subseteq [0, 1]$ is a set of positive outer measure with $|N| < \mathfrak{b}$, then let $Y = \{x + y : x \in N, y \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, where $+$ denotes addition modulo 1. Then Y has outer measure 1 under the Zero-One Law.

The method

Crucial lemma

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$. Let $\Phi \in (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ and Φ is bounded on A .

Proof: We follow the arguments of Pinciroli (see [9]).

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$. Notice that this statement holds for example in a model obtained by \aleph_2 -iteration with countable support of Laver forcing (see e.g. [2]). Also it can be easily proven that under this assumption there exists a set $Y \subseteq [0, 1]$ of cardinality less than \mathfrak{b} such that $m^*(Y) = 1$. Indeed, if $N \subseteq [0, 1]$ is a set of positive outer measure with $|N| < \mathfrak{b}$, then let $Y = \{x + y : x \in N, y \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, where $+$ denotes addition modulo 1. Then Y has outer measure 1 under the Zero-One Law.

Therefore, every function $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ maps Y onto a K_σ -set, where K_σ denotes the σ -ideal of subsets of ω^ω generated by the compact (equivalently bounded) sets. We get that $\Phi[Y] \in K_\sigma$. Assume that $\Phi[Y] \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} B_n$ with each B_n bounded. Let $A_n = \Phi^{-1}[\bigcup_{i=0}^n B_i]$. Therefore, $\Phi[A_n]$ is bounded, and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $m^*(A_n) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$. \square

Witness function \circ

For a sequence of functions $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and subsets $A \subseteq [0, 1]$, we consider a notion of convergence $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on A . We assume that if $B \subseteq A$ and $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on A , then $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on B .

Witness function \circ

For a sequence of functions $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and subsets $A \subseteq [0, 1]$, we consider a notion of convergence $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on A . We assume that if $B \subseteq A$ and $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on A , then $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on B . We write $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ provided that $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on $[0, 1]$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \{\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} : \forall n \in \omega f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$ be an arbitrary family of sequences of functions.

Witness function o

For a sequence of functions $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and subsets $A \subseteq [0, 1]$, we consider a notion of convergence $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on A . We assume that if $B \subseteq A$ and $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on A , then $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on B . We write $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ provided that $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on $[0, 1]$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \{\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} : \forall n \in \omega f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$ be an arbitrary family of sequences of functions.

Hypotheses between \mathcal{F} and \rightsquigarrow

$(H \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \rightsquigarrow))$ There exists $o : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ if $o(F)[A]$ is bounded in (ω^ω, \leq) , then $F \rightsquigarrow 0$ on A .

Witness function o

For a sequence of functions $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and subsets $A \subseteq [0, 1]$, we consider a notion of convergence $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on A . We assume that if $B \subseteq A$ and $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on A , then $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on B . We write $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ provided that $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on $[0, 1]$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \{\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} : \forall n \in \omega f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$ be an arbitrary family of sequences of functions.

Hypotheses between \mathcal{F} and \rightsquigarrow

- $(H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \rightsquigarrow))$ There exists $o : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ if $o(F)[A]$ is bounded in (ω^ω, \leq) , then $F \rightsquigarrow 0$ on A .
- $(H^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \rightsquigarrow))$ There exists cofinal $o : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $A \subseteq [0, 1]$, if $F \rightsquigarrow 0$ on A , then $o(F)[A]$ is bounded in (ω^ω, \leq) .

Witness function o

For a sequence of functions $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and subsets $A \subseteq [0, 1]$, we consider a notion of convergence $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on A . We assume that if $B \subseteq A$ and $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on A , then $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on B . We write $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ provided that $f_n \rightsquigarrow f$ on $[0, 1]$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \{\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} : \forall n \in \omega f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$ be an arbitrary family of sequences of functions.

Hypotheses between \mathcal{F} and \rightsquigarrow

- $(H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \rightsquigarrow))$ There exists $o : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ if $o(F)[A]$ is bounded in (ω^ω, \leq) , then $F \rightsquigarrow 0$ on A .
- $(H^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \rightsquigarrow))$ There exists cofinal $o : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $A \subseteq [0, 1]$, if $F \rightsquigarrow 0$ on A , then $o(F)[A]$ is bounded in (ω^ω, \leq) .

We say that a function $o : X \rightarrow P$ from a set X into a partially ordered set P is cofinal if for every $p \in P$ there exists $x \in X$ such that $p \leq o(x)$.

The positive theorem

Theorem

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$, and $H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi)$. Then for any $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ and $f_n \varphi 0$ on A .

The positive theorem

Theorem

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$, and $H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi)$. Then for any $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ and $f_n \varphi 0$ on A .

Proof: Apply the crucial lemma for $o(\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega})$ given by $H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi)$. □

The negative theorem

There exists a model of ZFC in which $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$, and there exists \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. It suffices to iterate \aleph_2 -times Cohen forcing with finite supports over a model of GCH (see [2, Model 7.5.8 and Lemma 8.2.6]).

The negative theorem

There exists a model of ZFC in which $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$, and there exists \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. It suffices to iterate \aleph_2 -times Cohen forcing with finite supports over a model of GCH (see [2, Model 7.5.8 and Lemma 8.2.6]).

Theorem

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$, and that there exists a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. If $H^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi)$ holds, then there exist $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, $f_n \not\rightarrow 0$ on A .

The negative theorem

There exists a model of ZFC in which $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$, and there exists \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. It suffices to iterate \aleph_2 -times Cohen forcing with finite supports over a model of GCH (see [2, Model 7.5.8 and Lemma 8.2.6]).

Theorem

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$, and that there exists a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. If $H^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \uplus)$ holds, then there exist $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, $f_n \not\uplus 0$ on A .

Proof: Again, we generalize some arguments of Pinciroli (see [9]). Let $Z \subseteq \omega^\omega$ be a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. Since every compact set is meagre in ω^ω , every K_σ set is also meagre. Therefore, if $A \subseteq Z$ is a K_σ set, then $|A| < \mathfrak{c}$. Let $o : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ be a cofinal function given by $H^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \uplus)$. Let φ be a bijection between $[0, 1]$ and Z . Finally, let $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} = F \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that $o(F) \geq \varphi$.

The negative theorem

There exists a model of ZFC in which $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$, and there exists \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. It suffices to iterate \aleph_2 -times Cohen forcing with finite supports over a model of GCH (see [2, Model 7.5.8 and Lemma 8.2.6]).

Theorem

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$, and that there exists a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. If $H^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \vartheta)$ holds, then there exist $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, $f_n \not\rightarrow 0$ on A .

Proof: Again, we generalize some arguments of Pinciroli (see [9]). Let $Z \subseteq \omega^\omega$ be a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. Since every compact set is meagre in ω^ω , every K_σ set is also meagre. Therefore, if $A \subseteq Z$ is a K_σ set, then $|A| < \mathfrak{c}$. Let $o : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ be a cofinal function given by $H^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \vartheta)$. Let φ be a bijection between $[0, 1]$ and Z . Finally, let $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} = F \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that $o(F) \geq \varphi$.

To get a contradiction, assume that for every $i \in \omega$, there exists $A_i \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m^*(A_i) \geq 1 - 1/2^i$ and $f_n \rightarrow 0$ on A_i . Let $A = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} A_i$. For any $i \in \omega$, $o(F)[A_i]$ is bounded because $f_n \rightarrow 0$ on A_i , and so $\varphi[A_i]$ is bounded since $o(F) \geq \varphi$. Therefore, $\varphi[A] \in K_\sigma$ and $|A| = |\varphi[A]| < \mathfrak{c}$ because $\varphi[A] \subseteq Z$.

The negative theorem

There exists a model of ZFC in which $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$, and there exists \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. It suffices to iterate \aleph_2 -times Cohen forcing with finite supports over a model of GCH (see [2, Model 7.5.8 and Lemma 8.2.6]).

Theorem

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$, and that there exists a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. If $H^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \vartheta)$ holds, then there exist $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, $f_n \not\vartheta 0$ on A .

Proof: Again, we generalize some arguments of Pinciroli (see [9]). Let $Z \subseteq \omega^\omega$ be a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. Since every compact set is meagre in ω^ω , every K_σ set is also meagre. Therefore, if $A \subseteq Z$ is a K_σ set, then $|A| < \mathfrak{c}$. Let $o : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ be a cofinal function given by $H^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \vartheta)$. Let φ be a bijection between $[0, 1]$ and Z . Finally, let $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} = F \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that $o(F) \geq \varphi$.

To get a contradiction, assume that for every $i \in \omega$, there exists $A_i \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m^*(A_i) \geq 1 - 1/2^i$ and $f_n \vartheta 0$ on A_i . Let $A = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} A_i$. For any $i \in \omega$, $o(F)[A_i]$ is bounded because $f_n \vartheta 0$ on A_i , and so $\varphi[A_i]$ is bounded since $o(F) \geq \varphi$. Therefore, $\varphi[A] \in K_\sigma$ and $|A| = |\varphi[A]| < \mathfrak{c}$ because $\varphi[A] \subseteq Z$. This is a contradiction because $m^*(A) = 1$ and $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$. □

Fin ideal

Let $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow 0$. Set $\varepsilon_n = 1/2^n$, $n \in \omega$. Consider $\mathcal{F} = \{ \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} : \forall n \in \omega f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \wedge f_n \rightarrow 0 \}$ and $\varphi \mapsto \Rightarrow$. Define $o : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ in the following way. For $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, let

$$o(F)(x)(n) = \min\{m \in \omega : \forall l \geq m f_l(x) \leq \varepsilon_n\}.$$

Fin ideal

Let $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow 0$. Set $\varepsilon_n = 1/2^n$, $n \in \omega$. Consider $\mathcal{F} = \{ \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} : \forall n \in \omega f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \wedge f_n \rightarrow 0 \}$ and $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Rightarrow$. Define $o : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ in the following way. For $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, let

$$o(F)(x)(n) = \min\{m \in \omega : \forall l \geq m f_l(x) \leq \varepsilon_n\}.$$

It is easy to see that the above function o proves that both $H^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow}, \Rightarrow)$ and $H^{\rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow}, \Rightarrow)$ hold, and thus by positive and negative theorems we obtain the reasoning and the results of Pinciroli.

Fin ideal

Let $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow 0$. Set $\varepsilon_n = 1/2^n$, $n \in \omega$. Consider $\mathcal{F} = \{ \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} : \forall n \in \omega f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \wedge f_n \rightarrow 0 \}$ and $\varphi \Rightarrow \psi$. Define $o : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ in the following way. For $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, let

$$o(F)(x)(n) = \min\{m \in \omega : \forall l \geq m f_l(x) \leq \varepsilon_n\}.$$

It is easy to see that the above function o proves that both $H^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow}, \Rightarrow)$ and $H^{\rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow}, \Rightarrow)$ hold, and thus by positive and negative theorems we obtain the reasoning and the results of Pinciroli.

Theorem (R. Pinciroli, 2006), [9]

If $\text{non}\mathcal{N} < \mathfrak{b}$, the generalized Egorov's statement holds.

Theorem (R. Pinciroli, 2006), [9]

If there exists a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set and $\text{non}\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{c}$, the generalized Egorov's statement fails.

Fin ideal

Let $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow 0$. Set $\varepsilon_n = 1/2^n$, $n \in \omega$. Consider $\mathcal{F} = \{ \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} : \forall n \in \omega f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \wedge f_n \rightarrow 0 \}$ and $\varphi \mapsto \Rightarrow$. Define $o : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ in the following way. For $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, let

$$o(F)(x)(n) = \min\{m \in \omega : \forall l \geq m f_l(x) \leq \varepsilon_n\}.$$

It is easy to see that the above function o proves that both $H^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow}, \Rightarrow)$ and $H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow}, \Rightarrow)$ hold, and thus by positive and negative theorems we obtain the reasoning and the results of Pinciroli.

Theorem (R. Pinciroli, 2006), [9]

If $\text{non}\mathcal{N} < \mathfrak{b}$, the generalized Egorov's statement holds.

Theorem (R. Pinciroli, 2006), [9]

If there exists a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set and $\text{non}\mathcal{N} = \mathfrak{c}$, the generalized Egorov's statement fails.

Let from now on $\mathcal{F}_{\rightsquigarrow} = \{ \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} : f_n \rightsquigarrow 0 \}$ for a notion of convergence \rightsquigarrow .

And countably generated ideals

Assume that I is countably generated, and fix sets $\langle C_i \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ such that $C_i \subseteq C_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \omega$ and for every $A \in I$, there exists $k \in \omega$ such that $A \subseteq C_k$. We can assume that $C_{i+1} \setminus C_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in \omega$.

And countably generated ideals

Assume that I is countably generated, and fix sets $\langle C_i \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ such that $C_i \subseteq C_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \omega$ and for every $A \in I$, there exists $k \in \omega$ such that $A \subseteq C_k$. We can assume that $C_{i+1} \setminus C_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in \omega$.

If $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$, we define

$$(o_{\langle C_i \rangle} F)(x)(n) = \min \left\{ k \in \omega : \left\{ m \in \omega : f_m(x) > \frac{1}{2^n} \right\} \subseteq C_k \right\}.$$

And countably generated ideals

Assume that I is countably generated, and fix sets $\langle C_i \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ such that $C_i \subseteq C_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \omega$ and for every $A \in I$, there exists $k \in \omega$ such that $A \subseteq C_k$. We can assume that $C_{i+1} \setminus C_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in \omega$.

If $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$, we define

$$(o_{\langle C_i \rangle} F)(x)(n) = \min \left\{ k \in \omega : \left\{ m \in \omega : f_m(x) > \frac{1}{2^n} \right\} \subseteq C_k \right\}.$$

If $A \subseteq [0, 1]$, then $f_n \Rightarrow_I 0$ on A if and only if $(o_{\langle C_i \rangle} F)[A]$ is bounded, and so $H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow I}, \Rightarrow_I)$ holds.

And countably generated ideals

Assume that I is countably generated, and fix sets $\langle C_i \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ such that $C_i \subseteq C_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \omega$ and for every $A \in I$, there exists $k \in \omega$ such that $A \subseteq C_k$. We can assume that $C_{i+1} \setminus C_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in \omega$.

If $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$, we define

$$(o_{\langle C_i \rangle} F)(x)(n) = \min \left\{ k \in \omega : \left\{ m \in \omega : f_m(x) > \frac{1}{2^n} \right\} \subseteq C_k \right\}.$$

If $A \subseteq [0, 1]$, then $f_n \Rightarrow_I 0$ on A if and only if $(o_{\langle C_i \rangle} F)[A]$ is bounded, and so $H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow_I}, \Rightarrow_I)$ holds.

Also, without a loss of generality we can assume that $\varphi(x)$ is increasing for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Let $x \in [0, 1]$. Let $f_j(x) = 1/2^n$ if and only if

$$j \in C_{\varphi(x)(n+1)} \setminus C_{\varphi(x)(n)}.$$

Thus, $H^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow_I}, \Rightarrow_I)$ holds.

And countably generated ideals, continued

Thus we immediately get:

And countably generated ideals, continued

Thus we immediately get:

Proposition

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$. Let I be any countably generated ideal, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, for $n \in \omega$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$. Then there exists $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ such that $f_n \rightrightarrows_I 0$ on A .

Proposition

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$, and that there exists a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. Let I be any countably generated ideal. Then there exists $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for $n \in \omega$ with $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, $f_n \not\rightarrow_I 0$ on A .

Also for I^* convergence

Let $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow_{I^*} 0$. Let $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow_{I^*} 0$. For $x \in [0, 1]$ define $\alpha_{\langle C_i \rangle}(F)(x) = \psi \in \omega^\omega$ by

$$\psi(0) = \min \{ n \in \omega : \langle f_m \rangle_{m \in \omega \setminus C_n} \rightarrow 0 \},$$

$$\psi(n) = \min \left\{ m \in \omega : \forall_{\substack{I \in \omega \setminus C_{\psi(0)} \\ I > m}} f_I(x) < \frac{1}{2^n} \right\}, \quad n > 0.$$

Also for I^* convergence

Let $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow_{I^*} 0$. Let $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow_{I^*} 0$. For $x \in [0, 1]$ define $o_{\langle C_i \rangle}(F)(x) = \psi \in \omega^\omega$ by

$$\psi(0) = \min \{ n \in \omega : \langle f_m \rangle_{m \in \omega \setminus C_n} \rightarrow 0 \},$$

$$\psi(n) = \min \left\{ m \in \omega : \forall_{\substack{I \in \omega \setminus C_{\psi(0)} \\ I > m}} f_I(x) < \frac{1}{2^n} \right\}, \quad n > 0.$$

It is easy to see, that o witnesses $H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow_{I^*}}, \Rightarrow_{I^*})$ and $H^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow_{I^*}}, \Rightarrow_{I^*})$

Also for I^* convergence, continued

Thus,

Also for I^* convergence, continued

Thus,

Proposition

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$. Let I be any countably generated ideal, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, for $n \in \omega$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow_{I^*} 0$. Then there exists $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ such that $f_n \rightrightarrows_{I^*} 0$ on A .

Proposition

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$, and that there exists a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. Let I be any countably generated ideal. Then there exists $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for $n \in \omega$ with $f_n \rightarrow_{I^*} 0$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, $f_n \not\rightrightarrows_{I^*} 0$ on A .

Analytic P -ideals

Fix ϕ such that $I = \text{Exh}(\phi)$. Notice that since I is a proper ideal, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\omega \setminus i) > 0$. If $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\omega \setminus i) < \infty$, let

$$\varepsilon_n = \frac{\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\omega \setminus i)}{2^{n+1}}$$

for $n \in \omega$. Otherwise, set $\varepsilon_n = 1/2^{n+1}$.

Analytic P -ideals

Fix ϕ such that $I = \text{Exh}(\phi)$. Notice that since I is a proper ideal, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\omega \setminus i) > 0$. If $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\omega \setminus i) < \infty$, let

$$\varepsilon_n = \frac{\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\omega \setminus i)}{2^{n+1}}$$

for $n \in \omega$. Otherwise, set $\varepsilon_n = 1/2^{n+1}$. For a sequence of functions $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$, let $\mathfrak{o}_\phi F \in (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$, and

$$(\mathfrak{o}_\phi F)(x)(n) = \min\{k \in \omega : \phi(\{m \in \omega : f_m(x) \geq \varepsilon_n\} \setminus k) < \varepsilon_n\}.$$

Analytic P -ideals

Fix ϕ such that $I = \text{Exh}(\phi)$. Notice that since I is a proper ideal, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\omega \setminus i) > 0$. If $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\omega \setminus i) < \infty$, let

$$\varepsilon_n = \frac{\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\omega \setminus i)}{2^{n+1}}$$

for $n \in \omega$. Otherwise, set $\varepsilon_n = 1/2^{n+1}$. For a sequence of functions $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$, let $\circ_\phi F \in (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$, and

$$(\circ_\phi F)(x)(n) = \min\{k \in \omega : \phi(\{m \in \omega : f_m(x) \geq \varepsilon_n\} \setminus k) < \varepsilon_n\}.$$

Lemma

(MK), [5]

Let I be an analytic P -ideal. Then, $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ on $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ if and only if $(\circ_\phi(\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}))[A]$ is bounded in ω^ω . In particular, $H^{\rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow_I}, \rightarrow_I)$ holds.

Analytic P -ideals

Fix ϕ such that $I = \text{Exh}(\phi)$. Notice that since I is a proper ideal, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\omega \setminus i) > 0$. If $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\omega \setminus i) < \infty$, let

$$\varepsilon_n = \frac{\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\omega \setminus i)}{2^{n+1}}$$

for $n \in \omega$. Otherwise, set $\varepsilon_n = 1/2^{n+1}$. For a sequence of functions $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$, let $\circ_\phi F \in (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$, and

$$(\circ_\phi F)(x)(n) = \min\{k \in \omega : \phi(\{m \in \omega : f_m(x) \geq \varepsilon_n\} \setminus k) < \varepsilon_n\}.$$

Lemma

(MK), [5]

Let I be an analytic P -ideal. Then, $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ on $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ if and only if $(\circ_\phi(\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}))[A]$ is bounded in ω^ω . In particular, $H^{\rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow_I}, \rightarrow_I)$ holds.

Proof: By definition, $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ on $A \Leftrightarrow$ for any $n \in \omega$, there exists $k \in \omega$ such that for all $x \in A$, $\phi(\{m \in \omega : f_m(x) \geq \varepsilon_n\} \setminus k) < \varepsilon_n \Leftrightarrow$ there exists a sequence $\langle k_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ of natural numbers such that for any $n \in \omega$ and $x \in A$, $\phi(\{m \in \omega : f_m(x) \geq \varepsilon_n\} \setminus k_n) < \varepsilon_n \Leftrightarrow$ for all $x \in A$, $(\circ_\phi F)(x)(n) \leq k_n$.

Analytic P -ideals, continued

Theorem

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$. Let I be any analytic P -ideal, $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for $n \in \omega$, be such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$. Then there exists $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ on A

Analytic P -ideals, continued

Lemma

(MK), [5]

$H^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow I}, \rightarrow_I)$ holds.

Analytic P -ideals, continued

Lemma

(MK), [5]

$H^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow_I}, \rightarrow_I)$ holds.

Proof: Fix $x \in [0, 1]$. Notice that $\phi(\omega \setminus n)$ is a decreasing sequence with limit greater or equal to $2\varepsilon_0 > 0$, so $\phi(\omega \setminus n) \geq 2\varepsilon_0 > 0$ for any $n \in \omega$. Therefore, for each $m, n \in \omega$, there exists $k > n$ such that $\phi(k \setminus n) > \varepsilon_m$. Let $\langle k_i \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ be an increasing sequence such that $k_0 = 0$ and $\phi(k_{i+1} \setminus \varphi(x)(i)) > \varepsilon_i$, $i \in \omega$. Set $f_j(x) = \varepsilon_i$ if $k_i \leq j < k_{i+1}$. Then $f_m(x) \geq \varepsilon_n$ if and only if $m < k_{n+1}$. Hence, if $\phi(\{m \in \omega : f_m(x) \geq \varepsilon_n\} \setminus k) < \varepsilon_n$, then $k \geq \varphi(x)(n)$, so $(o_\phi F)(x)(n) \geq \varphi(x)(n)$ for any $n \in \omega$. \square

Analytic P -ideals, continued

Lemma

(MK), [5]

 $H^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow I}, \rightarrow_I)$ holds.

Proof: Fix $x \in [0, 1]$. Notice that $\phi(\omega \setminus n)$ is a decreasing sequence with limit greater or equal to $2\varepsilon_0 > 0$, so $\phi(\omega \setminus n) \geq 2\varepsilon_0 > 0$ for any $n \in \omega$. Therefore, for each $m, n \in \omega$, there exists $k > n$ such that $\phi(k \setminus n) > \varepsilon_m$. Let $\langle k_i \rangle_{i \in \omega}$ be an increasing sequence such that $k_0 = 0$ and $\phi(k_{i+1} \setminus \varphi(x)(i)) > \varepsilon_i$, $i \in \omega$. Set $f_j(x) = \varepsilon_i$ if $k_i \leq j < k_{i+1}$. Then $f_m(x) \geq \varepsilon_n$ if and only if $m < k_{n+1}$. Hence, if $\phi(\{m \in \omega : f_m(x) \geq \varepsilon_n\} \setminus k) < \varepsilon_n$, then $k \geq \varphi(x)(n)$, so $(o_\phi F)(x)(n) \geq \varphi(x)(n)$ for any $n \in \omega$. \square

Theorem

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$. Let I be any analytic P -ideal, $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for $n \in \omega$, be such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$. Then there exists $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ such that $f_n \rightarrow_I 0$ on A .

Fin^α ideals

Let $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow \text{Fin}^\alpha}$.

Fin^α ideals

Let $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow \text{Fin}^\alpha}$.

Fix a bijection $b: \omega^2 \rightarrow \omega$ and a bijection $a_\beta: \omega \rightarrow \beta \setminus \{0\}$ for any limit $\beta < \omega_1$. We define $o_\alpha: \mathcal{F}_\alpha \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ in the following way. Let $\varepsilon_n = 1/2^n$ for $n \in \omega$, and let

$$\mathcal{F}_\alpha^n = \{ \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} : \forall n \in \omega f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \wedge \forall x \in [0, 1] \{ q \in \omega : f_q(x) \geq \varepsilon_n \} \in \text{Fin}^\alpha \}.$$

First, define $o_\alpha^n: \mathcal{F}_\alpha^n \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$, $n \in \omega$, $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$, by induction on α . Let

$$M_{1,n,x} = \min \{ p \in \omega : \forall q \geq p f_q(x) < \varepsilon_n \},$$

and let

$$(o_1^n F)(x)(k) = M_{1,n,x}$$

be a constant sequence. Given o_α^n , let

$$M_{\alpha+1,n,x} = \min \{ p \in \omega : \forall q \geq p \{ m \in \omega : f_{b(q,m)}(x) \geq \varepsilon_n \} \in \text{Fin}^\alpha \},$$

and

$$(o_{\alpha+1}^n F)(x)(k) = \begin{cases} M_{\alpha+1,n,x} & \text{for } k = b(p, q), \\ & p < M_{\alpha+1,n,x} + 1, q \in \omega, \\ \left(o_\alpha^n \langle f_{b(p-1,r)} \rangle_{r \in \omega} \right) (x)(q), & \text{for } k = b(p, q), \\ & p \geq M_{\alpha+1,n,x} + 1, q \in \omega. \end{cases}$$

This definition is correct, since $\langle f_{b(p-1,r)} \rangle_{r \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha^n$ for $p \geq M_{\alpha+1,n,x} + 1$.

Fin^α ideals, continued

Moreover, for limit $\beta < \omega_1$, let

$$M_{\beta, n, x} = \min \left\{ p \in \omega : \forall_{q \geq p} \{ m \in \omega : f_{b(q, m)}(x) \geq \varepsilon_n \} \in \text{Fin}_{a_\beta}(q) \right\}$$

and

$$(\sigma_\beta^n F)(x)(k) = \begin{cases} M_{\beta, n, x} & \text{for } k = b(p, q), \\ p < M_{\beta, n, x} + 1, q \in \omega, \\ \left(\sigma_{a_\beta(p-1)}^n \langle f_{b(p-1, r)} \rangle_{r \in \omega} \right)(x)(q), & \text{for } k = b(p, q), \\ p \geq M_{\beta, n, x} + 1, q \in \omega. \end{cases}$$

This definition is correct, since, for each $p \geq M_{\beta, n, x} + 1$, $\langle f_{b(p-1, r)} \rangle_{r \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}_{a_\beta(p-1)}^n$.

Fin^α ideals, continued

Moreover, for limit $\beta < \omega_1$, let

$$M_{\beta, n, x} = \min \left\{ p \in \omega : \forall_{q \geq p} \{ m \in \omega : f_{b(q, m)}(x) \geq \varepsilon_n \} \in \text{Fin}_{a_\beta(q)} \right\}$$

and

$$(o_\beta^n F)(x)(k) = \begin{cases} M_{\beta, n, x} & \text{for } k = b(p, q), \\ & p < M_{\beta, n, x} + 1, q \in \omega, \\ \left(o_{a_\beta(p-1)}^n \langle f_{b(p-1, r)} \rangle_{r \in \omega} \right)(x)(q), & \text{for } k = b(p, q), \\ & p \geq M_{\beta, n, x} + 1, q \in \omega. \end{cases}$$

This definition is correct, since, for each $p \geq M_{\beta, n, x} + 1$, $\langle f_{b(p-1, r)} \rangle_{r \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}_{a_\beta(p-1)}^n$.

Notice that $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\alpha^n$, for any $n \in \omega$. Therefore, finally let

$$(o_\alpha F)(x)(k) = (o_\alpha^n F)(x)(m),$$

for $k = b(n, m)$, $n, m \in \omega$.

Fin^α ideals, continued

The construction is done in such a way that $H^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F}_\alpha, \rightrightarrows_{\text{Fin}^\alpha})$ holds.

Fin^α ideals, continued

The construction is done in such a way that $H \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F}_\alpha, \Rightarrow_{\text{Fin}^\alpha})$ holds.

Theorem

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$. Let $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for $n \in \omega$, with $f_n \rightarrow_{\text{Fin}^\alpha} 0$. Then there exists $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ such that $f_n \Rightarrow_{\text{Fin}^\alpha} 0$ on A .

Fin^α ideals, continued

The construction is done in such a way that $H^\Rightarrow(\mathcal{F}_\alpha, \Rightarrow_{\text{Fin}^\alpha})$ holds.

Theorem

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$. Let $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for $n \in \omega$, with $f_n \rightarrow_{\text{Fin}^\alpha} 0$. Then there exists $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ such that $f_n \Rightarrow_{\text{Fin}^\alpha} 0$ on A .

Also,

Theorem

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$, and that there exists a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. Let $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$. Then there exist $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, $f_n \not\Rightarrow_{\text{Fin}^\alpha} 0$ on A .

Fin^α ideals, continued

The construction is done in such a way that $H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_\alpha, \Rightarrow_{\text{Fin}^\alpha})$ holds.

Theorem

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) < \mathfrak{b}$. Let $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$, $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for $n \in \omega$, with $f_n \rightarrow_{\text{Fin}^\alpha} 0$. Then there exists $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ such that $f_n \Rightarrow_{\text{Fin}^\alpha} 0$ on A .

Also,

Theorem

(MK), [5]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$, and that there exists a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. Let $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$. Then there exist $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, $f_n \not\Rightarrow_{\text{Fin}^\alpha} 0$ on A .

Sketch of the proof: It is enough to take

$$(o_\alpha F)(x)(n) = M_{\alpha, n, x}.$$

Other properties

A mapping $\sigma: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ is said to be measurability preserving, if for any sequence of measurable functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}$, $\sigma(f)$ is measurable as well.

Other properties

A mapping $\circ: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ is said to be measurability preserving, if for any sequence of measurable functions $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}$, $\circ(f)$ is measurable as well.

Other hypotheses

- $(\bar{H} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \uplus))$ There exists $\circ: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ if $\circ(F)[A]$ is bounded in (ω^ω, \leq^*) , then $F \uplus 0$ on A .
- $(\bar{H} \Leftarrow (\mathcal{F}, \uplus))$ There exists $\circ: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ which is cofinal (with respect to \leq) such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $A \subseteq [0, 1]$, if $F \uplus 0$ on A , then $\circ(F)[A]$ is bounded in (ω^ω, \leq^*) .
- $(M \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \uplus))$ There exists measurability preserving $\circ: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ if $\circ(F)[A]$ is bounded in (ω^ω, \leq) , then $F \uplus 0$ on A .
- $(M \Leftarrow (\mathcal{F}, \uplus))$ There exists measurability preserving cofinal $\circ: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $A \subseteq [0, 1]$, if $F \uplus 0$ on A , then $\circ(F)[A]$ is bounded in (ω^ω, \leq) .
- $(\bar{M} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F}, \uplus))$ There exists measurability preserving $\circ: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ if $\circ(F)[A]$ is bounded in (ω^ω, \leq^*) , then $F \uplus 0$ on A .
- $(\bar{M} \Leftarrow (\mathcal{F}, \uplus))$ There exists measurability preserving $\circ: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\omega^\omega)^{[0,1]}$ which is cofinal (with respect to \leq) such that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $A \subseteq [0, 1]$, if $F \uplus 0$ on A , then $\circ(F)[A]$ is bounded in (ω^ω, \leq^*) .

Other properties, continued

We get that

$$\bar{H}^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi \rightrightarrows) \Rightarrow H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi \rightrightarrows)$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\bar{M}^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi \rightrightarrows) \Rightarrow M^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi \rightrightarrows)$$

$$H^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi \rightrightarrows) \Rightarrow \bar{H}^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi \rightrightarrows)$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$M^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi \rightrightarrows) \Rightarrow \bar{M}^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi \rightrightarrows)$$

Other properties, continued

We get that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bar{H}^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varrho) & \Rightarrow & H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varrho) & & H^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varrho) & \Rightarrow & \bar{H}^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varrho) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \bar{M}^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varrho) & \Rightarrow & M^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varrho) & & M^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varrho) & \Rightarrow & \bar{M}^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varrho)
 \end{array}$$

It is also easy to get the following Corollary

Corollary (M. Repický), [10]

Assume that $M^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\sim}, \varrho)$ holds. Then for every sequence of measurable functions $F = \langle f_n \rangle_n \in \omega \in \mathcal{F}_{\sim}$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a measurable set $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, and $f \varrho 0$ on A .

Other properties, continued

We get that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bar{H}^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi) & \Rightarrow & H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi) & & H^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi) & \Rightarrow & \bar{H}^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \bar{M}^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi) & \Rightarrow & M^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi) & & M^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi) & \Rightarrow & \bar{M}^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}, \varphi)
 \end{array}$$

It is also easy to get the following Corollary

Corollary (M. Repický), [10]

Assume that $M^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightsquigarrow}, \varphi)$ holds. Then for every sequence of measurable functions $F = \langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}_{\rightsquigarrow}$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a measurable set $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $m(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, and $f \varphi 0$ on A .

Also the negative theorem can be slightly refined

Corollary (M. Repický), [10]

Assume that $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$, and that there exists a \mathfrak{c} -Lusin set. If $\bar{H}^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightsquigarrow}, \varphi)$ holds, then there exist $\langle f_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{F}_{\rightsquigarrow}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $m^*(A) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, $f_n \not\varphi 0$ on A

Repický's results

In [10] the property $\bar{H}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightsquigarrow}, \wp_{\rightarrow})$, where \rightsquigarrow and \wp_{\rightarrow} are various notions of convergence with respect to I is considered. In particular, it is proven that if \rightsquigarrow is any notion of convergence weaker than \rightarrow , and \wp_{\rightarrow} is stronger than $\Rightarrow_I \cup \xrightarrow{QN} I^*$, then $\bar{H}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightsquigarrow}, \wp_{\rightarrow})$ holds.

Repický's results

In [10] the property $\bar{H}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightsquigarrow}, \mathcal{Q}_{\rightarrow})$, where \rightsquigarrow and $\mathcal{Q}_{\rightarrow}$ are various notions of convergence with respect to I is considered. In particular, it is proven that if \rightsquigarrow is any notion of convergence weaker than \rightarrow , and $\mathcal{Q}_{\rightarrow}$ is stronger than $\Rightarrow_I \cup \xrightarrow{QN}_{I^*}$, then $\bar{H}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightsquigarrow}, \mathcal{Q}_{\rightarrow})$ holds.

Actually, the function obtained in the proof of this observation witnesses $\bar{M}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightsquigarrow}, \mathcal{Q}_{\rightarrow})$, and we have the following.

Repický's results

In [10] the property $\bar{H}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightsquigarrow}, \wp_{\rightarrow})$, where \rightsquigarrow and \wp_{\rightarrow} are various notions of convergence with respect to I is considered. In particular, it is proven that if \rightsquigarrow is any notion of convergence weaker than \rightarrow , and \wp_{\rightarrow} is stronger than $\Rightarrow_I \cup \xrightarrow{QN}_{I^*}$, then $\bar{H}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightsquigarrow}, \wp_{\rightarrow})$ holds.

Actually, the function obtained in the proof of this observation witnesses $\bar{M}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightsquigarrow}, \wp_{\rightarrow})$, and we have the following.

Corollary

(MK), [6]

Assume that I is an ideal on ω , and \rightsquigarrow is any notion of convergence weaker than \rightarrow , and \wp_{\rightarrow} is stronger than $\Rightarrow_I \cup \xrightarrow{QN}_{I^*}$, then $\bar{M}^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightsquigarrow}, \wp_{\rightarrow})$ holds.

Repický's results, continued

$\mathcal{I} :$	$M^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow_I, \Rightarrow_I)$	$H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow_I, \Rightarrow_I)$	$M^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow_{I^*}, \Rightarrow_{I^*})$	$H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F} \rightarrow_{I^*}, \Rightarrow_{I^*})$
$\text{Fin} \in$	✓	✓	✓	✓
$B \subseteq \omega$ is coinfinite, then $\langle B \rangle \in$	✓	✓	✓	✓
downward \leq_{RK} closed	✓	✓		
downward \leq_{RB} closed	✓	✓	✓	✓
$\langle I_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{I}^\omega$, then $b \left[\sum_{n \in \omega} I_n \right] \in$	✓	✓	✓	✓
$\mathcal{J} \in [\mathcal{I}]^\omega$, then $\bigcap \mathcal{I} \in$	✓	✓	✓	✓
$I, J \in \mathcal{I}$, J is a P-ideal, then $I \vee J \in$		✓		✓
$\langle I_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{I}^\omega$, then $\bigvee \{I_n : n \in \omega\} \in$				✓
$\langle I_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ is an increasing sequence of ideals from \mathcal{I} , then $\bigvee \{I_n : n \in \omega\} \in$		✓		✓
$\langle I_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ is an increasing sequence of analytic ideals from \mathcal{I} , then $\bigvee \{I_n : n \in \omega\} \in$		✓	✓	✓
$\langle I_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ is an increasing sequence of Borel ideals from \mathcal{I} , then $\bigvee \{I_n : n \in \omega\} \in$	✓	✓	✓	✓
$I \in \mathcal{I}$, $\langle I_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{I}^\omega$, $b \left[I \cdot \prod_{n \in \omega} I_n \right] \in$		✓		✓
$I \in \mathcal{I}$, $\langle I_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ is a sequence of analytic ideals from \mathcal{I} , $b \left[I \cdot \prod_{n \in \omega} I_n \right] \in$	✓	✓	✓	✓
$I \in \mathcal{I}$, $\langle I_n \rangle_{n \in \omega} \in \mathcal{I}^\omega$, $I \text{-}\lim_{n \in \omega} I_n \in$		✓		✓
$I \in \mathcal{I}$, $\langle I_n \rangle_{n \in \omega}$ is a sequence of analytic ideals from \mathcal{I} , $I \text{-}\lim_{n \in \omega} I_n \in$	✓	✓	✓	✓

Open problems

Problem

Is there any possible condition, which implies that classic Egorov's statement (measurable version) does not hold for a given ideal in ZFC?

Open problems

Problem

Is there any possible condition, which implies that classic Egorov's statement (measurable version) does not hold for a given ideal in ZFC?

Problem

Are there any examples of ideals which prove that the classes of all ideals satisfying $M^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow I}, \Rightarrow_I)$, $H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow I}, \Rightarrow_I)$, $M^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow I^*}, \Rightarrow_{I^*})$, and $H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow I^*}, \Rightarrow_{I^*})$ are pairwise distinct?

Open problems

Problem

Is there any possible condition, which implies that classic Egorov's statement (measurable version) does not hold for a given ideal in ZFC?

Problem

Are there any examples of ideals which prove that the classes of all ideals satisfying $M^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow I}, \Rightarrow I)$, $H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow I}, \Rightarrow I)$, $M^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow I^*}, \Rightarrow I^*)$, and $H^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow I^*}, \Rightarrow I^*)$ are pairwise distinct?

Problem

Is there an ideal I such that $\bar{H}^{\Leftarrow}(\mathcal{F}_{\rightarrow I}, \xrightarrow{QN} I)$ does not hold?

References

- [1] M. Balcerzak, K. Dems, and A. KomisarSKI.
Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequences of functions.
J. Math. Anal. Appl., 328:715–729, 2007.
- [2] T. Bartoszyński and H. Judah.
Set theory: on the structure of the real line.
Peters, 1995.
- [3] P. Das and D. Chandra.
Spaces not distinguishing pointwise and I-quasinormal convergence.
Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., 54:83–96, 2013.
- [4] D. Egorov.
Sur les suites des fonctions mesurables.
C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 152:244–246, 1911.
- [5] M. KorCh.
Generalized Egorov's statement for ideals.
Real Anal. Exchange, 42(2), 2017.
- [6] M. KorCh.
Measure and convergence: special subsets of the real line and their generalizations.
PhD thesis, Univeristy of Warsaw, 2017.
- [7] N. Mrożek.
Ideal version of Egorov's theorem for analytic P-ideals.
J. Math. Anal. Appl., 349:452–458, 2009.
- [8] N. Mrożek.
Zbieżność ideałowa ciągów funkcyjnych.
PhD thesis, University of Gdańsk, 2010.
(Polish).
- [9] R. Pinciroli.
On the independence of a generalized statement of Egoroff's theorem from ZFC after T. Weiss.
Real Anal. Exchange, 32(1):225–232, 2006.
- [10] M. Repický.
Ideal generalizations of Egoroff's theorem.
preprint, 2017.
- [11] S. Solecki.
Analytic ideals and their applications.
Ann. Pure Appl. Logic, 99:51–72, 1999.
- [12] T. Weiss.
A note on generalized Egorov's theorem.
East West Journal of Mathematics, 18(2), 2016.