

FUBINI AND SMITAL AMONG TREES
Marcin Michalski, marcin.k.michalski@pwr.edu.pl

Let \mathcal{I} be a σ -ideal in a Polish space X possessing a Borel base and containing singletons. Let us recall some notions concerning ideals.

Definition 1. We call a set $L \subseteq X$ an \mathcal{I} -Luzin set if $|L \cap A| < |L|$ for all $A \in \mathcal{I}$. L is called a super \mathcal{I} -Luzin set, if $L \cap B$ is an \mathcal{I} -Luzin set for every \mathcal{I} -positive Borel set B .

In addition, let \mathcal{I} be invariant σ -ideal in a Polish group $(X, +)$.

Definition 2. We say that \mathcal{I} has a Weaker Smital Property (WSP), if there exists a countable dense set D such that for every \mathcal{I} -positive Borel set B a set $D + B$ is \mathcal{I} -residual.

In [2] and [1] we have explored how possessing WSP and or some other properties by \mathcal{I} affects the behavior of \mathcal{I} -Luzin sets. Namely

Theorem 1. *The following are true*

- (i) *If \mathcal{I} has WSP or \mathcal{I} is κ -cc, then \mathcal{I} -Luzin sets are \mathcal{I} -nonmeasurable.*
- (ii) *\mathcal{I} -Luzin sets are \mathcal{I} -nonmeasurable if, and only if, \mathcal{I} is tall.*
- (iii) *If \mathcal{I} -Luzin sets exist and \mathcal{I} has WSP, then super \mathcal{I} -Luzin sets exist.*

We have obtained the following results related to WSP.

Theorem 2. *If \mathcal{I} has WSP, then \mathcal{I} is ccc or $\text{cov}(\mathcal{I}) = \omega_1$.*

Theorem 3. *If \mathcal{I} has WSP, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{cov}(\mathcal{I}) \geq \omega_2$;
- (ii) *For every family of sets $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subseteq \text{Bor}(X) \setminus \mathcal{I}$ there is a set $T \subseteq \omega_1$ of cardinality ω_1 such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in T} B_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.*

The latter theorem generalizes a result obtained in [3], which was the answer to a question asked by Peter Simon during 8th Winter School in Abstract Analysis.

The result concerning WSP and (non)measurability of \mathcal{I} -Luzin sets in the Theorem 1 is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a perfect set. Then there exists a perfect set $P' \subseteq P$ such that $|P' \cap (x + P')| \leq 1$ for every $x \neq 0$.*

It can be used to give a simple example of set that witnesses that a σ -ideal of countable sets $[\mathbb{R}]^{\leq \omega}$ does not have the Fubini Property. Let us recall that a pair $(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J})$ of σ -ideals $\mathcal{I} \subseteq P(X)$ and $\mathcal{J} \subseteq P(Y)$ has the Fubini Property, if

$$(\forall B \in \text{Bor}(X \times Y))(\{x \in X : B_x \notin \mathcal{J}\} \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \{y \in X : B^y \notin \mathcal{I}\} \in \mathcal{J})$$

We will prove the following generalization of the above lemma.

Lemma 2. *Let $T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{<\omega}$ be a Laver (resp. Miller) tree. Then there exists a Laver (resp. Miller) subtree $T' \subseteq T$ such that*

$$|[T'] \cap (x + [T'])| \leq \omega,$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^\omega$ satisfying $(\forall^\infty n)(x(n) \neq 0)$.

Let us denote by \mathcal{L} the Laver ideal - a σ -ideal of sets that are not strongly dominating. We will use this lemma to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let $[\mathbb{Z}^\omega]^{\leq\omega} \subseteq \mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. Then the pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{J})$ does not satisfy the Fubini Property.*

These results were achieved together with Robert Rałowski and Szymon Żeberski.

References

- [1] Michalski M., On some relations between properties of invariant σ -ideals in Polish spaces, 14th Students' Science Conference (2016), Fundamental research questions, pp. 29-33.
- [2] Michalski M., Żeberski Sz., Some properties of \mathcal{I} -Luzin, Topology and its Applications, 189 (2015), pp. 122-135.
- [3] Cichoń J., Szymański A., Weglorz B., On intersection of sets of positive Lebesgue measure, Colloquium Mathematicum, vol. 52, no. 2 (1987), pp. 173-174.