# Steinhaus properies of $\sigma$ -ideals in Polish groups

# Taras Banakh L.Karchevska, A.Ravsky

Lviv & Kielce

Hejnice, 31.01.2016

T.Banakh Steinhaus properies of  $\sigma$ -ideals in Polish groups

# $\sigma$ -Ideals on groups

A family  $\mathcal{I}$  of subsets of a set X is called a  $\sigma$ -*ideal* if  $\mathcal{I}$  is closed under countable unions and taking subsets.

#### Example

Standard examples of  $\sigma$ -ideals are:

- $\mathcal{M}$ , the ideal of meager sets;
- $\mathcal{N}$ , the ideal of (Lebesgues) null sets;
- $\mathcal{E}$ , the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by closed null sets in  $\mathbb R$

(more generally, in a Polish locally compact group G).

These three  $\sigma$ -ideals relate as follows:

$$\mathcal{M} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$$

### Theorem (S.Banach, 1931)

Any Borel subgroup H of a Polish group G is either open or belongs to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{M}$  of meager sets in G.

# Theorem (H.Steinhaus, 1920)

Any Borel subgroup H of a Polish locally compact group G is either open or belongs to the  $\sigma$ -ideal N of Haar null sets in G.

#### Theorem (Laczkovich, 1998)

Any Borel subgroup H of a Polish locally compact group G is either open or belongs to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{E}$  generated by closed Haar null sets in G.

A 3 1

#### Problem

Detect  $\sigma$ -ideals  $\mathcal{I}$  on a Polish group containing all non-open Borel subgroups of the group.

We shall show that a  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  has this property if  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $\pm n$ -Steinhaus for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Before defining  $\pm n$ -Steinhaus ideals, let us discuss the reason why Banach and Steinhaus Theorems are true.

# Theorems of Steinhaus and Piccard-Pettis

Theorems of Steinhaus and Banach are immediate corollaries of the following two classical results:

#### Theorem (Steinhaus, 1920)

For any measurable sets  $A, B \notin N$  in a locally compact group Gthe product  $AB = \{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}$  has non-empty interior and the difference  $AA^{-1}$  is a neighborhood of the unit in G.

#### Theorem (Piccard, 1939; Pettis, 1951)

For any BP-sets  $A, B \notin M$  in a Polish group G the product  $AB = \{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}$  has non-empty interior and the difference  $AA^{-1}$  is a neighborhood of the unit in G.

A set A of a topological space X has the *Baire property* (or is a *BP-set*) if there exists an open set  $U \subset X$  such that the symmetric difference  $A\Delta U$  is meager in X.

### Definition

An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of subsets of a topological group G is *n*-Steinhaus for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if for any subsets  $A_1, \ldots, A_n \notin \mathcal{I}$  the product  $A_1 \cdots A_n$  is not nowhere dense (= the closure  $\overline{A_1 \cdots A_n}$  has non-empty interior) in G.

An equivalent reformulation:

### Definition

An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of subsets of a topological group G is *n*-Steinhaus for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if for any closed subsets  $A_1, \ldots, A_n \notin \mathcal{I}$  the product  $A_1 \cdots A_n$  is not nowhere dense (= the closure  $\overline{A_1 \cdots A_n}$  has non-empty interior) in G.

The reason: 
$$\overline{\overline{A_1}\cdots\overline{A_n}} = \overline{A_1\cdots A_n}$$
.  
*n*-Steinhaus  $\Rightarrow$  (*n*+1)-Steinhaus

向下 イヨト イヨト

For a subset A of a group G put  $A^{\pm} = A \cup A^{-1}$ .

#### Definition

An ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of subsets of a topological group G is  $\pm n$ -Steinhaus if for any (closed) subsets  $A_1, \ldots, A_n \notin \mathcal{I}$  the set  $A_1^{\pm} \cdots A_n^{\pm}$  is not nowhere dense in G.

For any Polish locally compact group G the  $\sigma$ -ideal

- $\mathcal{M}$  is 1-Steinhaus;
- $\mathcal N$  is 2-Steinhaus;
- $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}$  is 2-Steinhaus;
- $\mathcal{E}$  is 2-Steinhaus.

# $\pm n$ -Steinhaus $\sigma$ -ideals contain meager analytic subgroups

A space A is *analytic* if A is a continuous image of a Polish space.

# Theorem (B-K-R, 2015)

Any non-open analytic subgroup H of a Polish group G belongs to any  $\pm n$ -Steinhaus  $\sigma$ -ideal on G.

# Corollary (Laczkovich, 1998)

Any non-open analytic subgroup H of a Polish locally compact group G belongs to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{E}$ .

We shall derive the theorem from:

### Theorem (BKR, 2015)

Any meager quasi-analytic subgroup H of a Polish group G belongs to any  $\pm n$ -Steinhaus  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on G.

A topological space is *quasi-analytic* if it is a continuous image of a metrizable separable hereditarily Baire space.

# Theorem (BKR, 2015)

Any meager quasi-analytic sub(semi)group H of a Polish group G belongs to any  $\pm n$ -Steinhaus (n-Steinhaus)  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on G.

This theorem will be derived from two characterizations:

# Theorem (BKR, 2015)

A  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on a Polish group G is  $\pm n$ -Steinhaus iff for any quasianalytic sets  $A_1, \ldots, A_n \notin \mathcal{I}$  the product  $A_1^{\pm} \ldots A_n^{\pm}$  is non-meager.

#### Theorem (BKR,2015)

A  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on a Polish group G is n-Steinhaus iff for any quasianalytic sets  $A_1, \ldots, A_n \notin \mathcal{I}$  the product  $A_1 \cdots A_n$  is non-meager.

# Proof

Given an *n*-Steinhaus  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  on a top group G and quasi-analytic sets  $A_1, \ldots, A_n \notin \mathcal{I}$  of G, we need to prove that  $A_1 \cdots A_n$  is not meager in G. To derive a contradiction, assume that  $A_1 \cdots A_n$  is meager and hence is contained in a union  $\bigcup_{m \in \omega} F_m$  of closed nowhere dense sets  $F_m \subset G$ . Write each quasi-analytic space  $A_i$  as a continuous image of a hereditarily Baire metrizable separable space  $B_i$  under a continuous map  $f_i : B_i \to A_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_i$  be the family of all open sets  $U \subset B_i$  with  $f_i(U) \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $U_i = \bigcup U_i \in U_i$  and  $B'_i = B_i \setminus U_i$  is not empty, Baire, and for every non-empty open set  $V \subset B'_i$  we get  $f_i(V) \notin \mathcal{I}$ . Consider the map  $\pi: B'_1 \times \cdots \times B'_n \to G, \ \pi: (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto f_1(x_1) \cdots f_n(x_n), \ \text{and observe}$ that  $B = B'_1 \times \cdots \times B'_n$  is a Baire space. By Baire Theorem, for some  $m \in \omega$  the closed set  $\pi^{-1}(F_m)$  has non-empty interior in B and hence contains some open set  $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_n$ . The choice of  $B'_i$  guarantees that the sets  $A'_i = f_i(V_i) \notin \mathcal{I}$  and hence  $A'_1 \cdots A'_n$  is not nowhere dense in G. On the other hand,  $A'_1 \cdots A'_n = \pi(V_1 \times \cdots \times V_n) \subset F_m$  is nowhere dense. This contradiction completes the proof.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

We recall that a space X is *quasi-analytic* if X is a continuous image of a hereditarily Baire metrizable separable space. It is clear that each analytic space is quasi-analytic. A Bernstein set on the real line is an example of a quasi-analytic (in fact, a hereditarily Baire) space which is not analytic. It is not so easy to find a metrizable separable space, which is not quasi-analytic.

The simplest example is any uncountable universally meager set. A subset A of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  is *universaly meager* if for any nowhere locally constant map  $f : P \to \mathbb{R}$  from a Polish space the preimage  $f^{-1}(A)$  is meager.

It is known that universally meager sets exist in ZFC but in some models universally meager sets have cardinality  $\leq \aleph_1 < \mathfrak{c}$ .

#### Theorem (B., Zdomskyy, 2016)

Each uncountable quasi-analytic space has cardinality c.

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>

# Steinhaus properties of $\sigma$ -ideals $\mathcal{M}_{|K|}$

For a Baire subspace K of a topological group G by  $\mathcal{M}_{|K}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -ideal generated by closed subsets  $A \subset G$  such that for every  $x \in G$  the intersection  $K \cap xA$  is meager in K.

For example, the ideal  $\mathcal{M}_{|[0,1]}$  coinicides with the ideal  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Proposition

Let K be a Baire subspace of an abelian topological group. The ideal  $\mathcal{M}_{|K}$  is n-Steinhaus (resp.  $\pm n$ -Steinhaus) for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  iff for any non-empty open sets  $U_1, \ldots, U_n \subset K$  the product  $U_1 \cdots U_n$  (resp.  $U_1^{\pm} \cdots U_n^{\pm}$ ) is not nowhere dense in G.

#### Corollary

Let  $G = \prod_{i \in \omega} G_i$  be the Tychonoff product of discrete abelian groups and  $K = \prod_{i \in \omega} K_i \subset G$ . The  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{M}_{|K}$  is n-Steinhaus (resp.  $\pm n$ -Steinhaus) if and only if  $(K_i)^n = G_i$  (resp.  $(K_i^{\pm})^n = G_i$ ) for all but finitely many  $i \in \omega$ .

#### Example

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a compact topological group G and a  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  which is (n + 1)-Steinhaus but not  $\pm n$ -Steinhaus.

#### Proof.

Consider the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_{2n+3} = \{-n-1, \ldots, 0, \ldots, n+1\}$  and the 3-element set  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{2n+3}$ . For the compact set  $K = \{-1, 0, 1\}^{\omega}$  the ideal  $\mathcal{M}_{|K}$  in the group  $G = \mathbb{Z}_{2n+3}^{\omega}$  is (n+1)-Steinhaus but not  $\pm n$ -Steinhaus.

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

#### Example

There exists a compact topological groups G and a  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^{-1}$  which is  $\pm 2$ -Steinhaus but is *n*-Steinhaus for no  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### Proof.

By a result of Haight (1973) for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a subset  $K_n$  in a finite cyclic group  $G_n$  such that  $K_n K_n^{-1} = G_n$  but  $(K_n)^n \neq G_n$ . Then for the compact set  $K = \prod_{n \in \omega} K_n$  the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M}_{|K}$  is  $\pm 2$ -Steinhaus but is not *n*-Steinhaus for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, for the symmetric set  $K^{\pm} = K \cup K^{-1}$  the ideal  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M}_{|K^{\pm}}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{I}^{-1}$ , is  $\pm 2$ -Steinhaus but is *n*-Steinhaus for no  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

向 ト イヨ ト イヨト

#### Theorem

Any meager quasi-analytic subsemigroup H of a Polish locally compact group G belongs to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{E}$  generated by closed Haar null sets.

#### Problem

Can this theorem be generalized to non-locally compact groups?

#### Problem

Can the  $\sigma$ -ideals  $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{E}$  be defined in non-locally compact groups?

Yes! In many different ways!

# Definition (Chrystensen, 1973)

A Borel subset *B* of a Polish group *G* is *Haar null* if there exists a Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on *G* such that  $\mu(xB) = 0$  for all  $x \in G$ .

#### Theorem (Chrystensen, 1973)

The family  $\mathcal{N}_{Ch}$  of all subsets of Borel Haar null sets in an abelian Polish group G is a  $\sigma$ -ideal containing all non-open Borel subgroups of G.

#### This follows from:

#### Theorem (Chystensen, 1973)

If a Borel subset B of an abelian Polish group G is not Haar null, then  $BB^{-1}$  is a neighborhood of the unit in G.

### Definition (Dodos, 2004)

A Borel subset *B* of a Polish group *G* is *generically Haar null* if the set  $\{\mu \in P(G) : \forall x \in G \ \mu(xB) = 0\}$  is comeager in the space P(G) of probability measures on *G*.

### Theorem (Dodos, 2004)

The family  $\mathcal{N}_{Ds}$  of subsets of Borel generically Haar null subsets of a Polish group G is a  $\sigma$ -ideal containing all non-open Borel subgrops of G.

This follows from:

### Theorem (Dodos, 2004)

If an analytic subset A of a Polish group G is not generically Haar null, then  $AA^{-1}$  is not meager and  $AA^{-1}AA^{-1}$  is a neighborhood of the unit in G. Consequently, each non-open analytic subgroup H of G is generically Haar null.

# Definition (Darji, 2013)

A Borel subset B of a Polish group G is *Haar meager* if there exists a map  $f : 2^{\omega} \to G$  such that for every  $x \in G$  the set  $f^{-1}(xA)$  is meager in  $2^{\omega}$ .

# Theorem (Darji, Jablonska)

The family  $\mathcal{M}_{Dj}$  of subsets of Borel Haar-meager subsets of an abelian Polish group G is a  $\sigma$ -ideal containing all non-open Borel subgroups of G.

The latter statement follows from:

#### Theorem (Jablonska, 2015)

If a Borel subset A of an abelian Polish group G is not Haar-meager, then  $AA^{-1}$  is a neighborhood of the unit in G. Consequently, each non-open analytic subgroup H of G is Haar-meager.

### Definition (B.-K.-R., 2015)

A subset *B* of a Polish group *G* is *generically Haar meager* if the set  $\{K \in \mathcal{K}(G) : \forall x \in G \ xA \cap K \text{ is meager in } K\}$  is comeager in the hyperspace  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  of all non-empty compact sets in *X*.

#### Theorem (B.-K.-R., 2015)

The family  $\mathcal{M}_{BKR}$  of all subsets of Borel generically Haar meager subsets of a Polish group G is a  $\sigma$ -ideal containing all non-open Borel subgroups of G.

#### This follows from:

#### Theorem

If an analytic subset A of an abelian Polish group G is not generically Haar-meager, then  $AA^{-1}$  is non-meager and  $AA^{-1}AA^{-1}$  is a neighborhood of the unit in G.

# Interplay beteen the $\sigma$ -ideals $\mathcal{N}_{Ch}$ , $\mathcal{N}_{Ds}$ , $\mathcal{M}_{Dj}$ , $\mathcal{M}_{BKR}$



э

For a Polish group G let  $\mathcal{E}_{Ch}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{Ds}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{Dj}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{BKR}$  be the  $\sigma$ -ideals generated by closed subsets in the ideals  $\mathcal{N}_{Ch}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_{Ds}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{Dj}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{BKR}$ , respectively.



# These ideals in Polish locally compact groups



#### Theorem

Every non-open Borel subgroup of a Polish group belongs to the  $\sigma$ -ideals  $\mathcal{N}_{Ds} \subset \mathcal{N}_{Ch}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{BKR} \subset \mathcal{M}_{Dj} \subset \mathcal{M}$ .

Theorem of Steinhaus and Piccard-Pettis imply:

#### Theorem

Every Borel subsemigroup with empty interior in a Polish locally compact group belongs to the  $\sigma$ -ideals  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}$ .

#### Example

The nowhere dense subsemigroup  $\mathbb{N}^{\omega}$  in the Polish group  $\mathbb{Z}^{\omega}$  does not belong to the  $\sigma$ -ideals  $\mathcal{N}_{Ch}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{Dj}$  (because  $\mathbb{N}^{\omega}$  is thick in the sense that for each compact subset  $K \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\omega}$  there is a shift  $x + K \subset \mathbb{N}^{\omega}$ ).

| 4 同 1 4 三 1 4 三 1

#### Theorem (Laczkovich, 1998)

Any Borel subgroup H of a Polish locally compact group G belongs to the ideal  $\mathcal{E}$ .

# Example (B.-K.-R., 2015)

The Polish group  $\mathbb{Z}^{\omega}$  contains a Borel (in fact,  $\sigma$ -Polish) subgroup H which belongs to the  $\sigma$ -ideals  $\mathcal{N}_{Ds} \cap \mathcal{M}_{BKR}$  but not to the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathcal{E}_{Dj}$  generated by closed Haar-meager sets (of Darji).

#### Problem

Is there a ZFC-example of a subgroup  $H \in \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{E}$  of the compact group  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\omega}$ ?

Theorem (Talagrand, 1982)

There is a ZFC-example of a subgroup  $H \in \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{M}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

#### Theorem (Burke, 198?)

There is no ZFC-example of a subgroup  $H \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{N}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\omega}$ .

同 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

T.Banakh, L.Karchevska, A.Ravsky, *The closed Steinhaus* properties of -ideals on topological groups, preprint (http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.09073).

3 N

# **Thank You!**

æ

∃ → < ∃</p>