

A tall ideal in which player II has a winning strategy in the cut and choose game

José de Jesús Pelayo Gómez

Posgrado Conjunto en Ciencias Matemáticas
UNAM-UMSNH
Morelia, México

Winter School in Abstract Analysis
Hejnice, Czech Republic.

1 Definitions

2 Basic facts about the cut and choose game

3 Main theorem

Definitions

- Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . The Cut and Choose Game $G(\mathcal{I})$ is a game between two players.

Definitions

- Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . The Cut and Choose Game $G(\mathcal{I})$ is a game between two players.
- The player I starts the game by cutting ω into two pieces $\omega = A_0^0 \cup A_1^0$.

Definitions

- Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . The Cut and Choose Game $G(\mathcal{I})$ is a game between two players.
- The player I starts the game by cutting ω into two pieces $\omega = A_0^0 \cup A_1^0$.
- The player II chooses one of the pieces and a natural number in that piece. Then player I cuts the part that the player II chose, etcetera.

Definitions

- Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . The Cut and Choose Game $G(\mathcal{I})$ is a game between two players.
- The player I starts the game by cutting ω into two pieces $\omega = A_0^0 \cup A_1^0$.
- The player II chooses one of the pieces and a natural number in that piece. Then player I cuts the part that the player II chose, etcetera.
- The player I wins the game iff the natural numbers that were chosen by player II is an element of \mathcal{I} .

Definitions

- Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal on ω . The Cut and Choose Game $G(\mathcal{I})$ is a game between two players.
- The player I starts the game by cutting ω into two pieces $\omega = A_0^0 \cup A_1^0$.
- The player II chooses one of the pieces and a natural number in that piece. Then player I cuts the part that the player II chose, etcetera.
- The player I wins the game iff the natural numbers that were chosen by player II is an element of \mathcal{I} .

I	$\omega = A_0^0 \cup A_1^0$		$A_{i_0}^0 = A_0^1 \cup A_1^1$...
II		$i_0 \in 2, n_0 \in A_{i_0}^0$		$i_1 \in 2, n_1 \in A_{i_1}^1$...

Example

Proposition

The player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{ED})$.

Example

Proposition

The player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{ED})$.

Proof

\mathcal{ED} is the ideal on $\omega \times \omega$ generated by the sets of the form $\{n\} \times \omega$ and the graphs of functions.

Example

Proposition

The player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D})$.

Proof

$\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}$ is the ideal on $\omega \times \omega$ generated by the sets of the form $\{n\} \times \omega$ and the graphs of functions. Let $n_{-1} = 0$, the player I plays $A_0 = \{n_{-1}\} \times \omega$ and $B_0 = \{n \in \omega : n > 0\} \times \omega$. If the player II chooses A_0 then the player II loses so he must to choose B_0 and $(n_0, m_0) \in B_0$. In every following step the player I plays $A_i = \{n \in \omega : n_{i-2} < n \leq n_{i-1}\} \times \omega$ and $B_i = \{n \in \omega : n > n_{i-1}\} \times \omega$. Always the player II must to choose B_i and so $\{(n_i, m_i) : i \in \omega\}$ is a subset of a graph of a function and the player II will lose the game.

Definitions

- We say that an ideal \mathcal{I} is tall if $\mathcal{I} \upharpoonright A$ is not the Fin ideal for each $A \in [\omega]^\omega$.

Definitions

- We say that an ideal \mathcal{I} is tall if $\mathcal{I} \upharpoonright A$ is not the Fin ideal for each $A \in [\omega]^\omega$.
- We will denote by \mathcal{R} the random graph ideal.

Definitions

- We say that an ideal \mathcal{I} is tall if $\mathcal{I} \upharpoonright A$ is not the Fin ideal for each $A \in [\omega]^\omega$.
- We will denote by \mathcal{R} the random graph ideal.
- We say that $\omega \rightarrow (\mathcal{I}^+)_2^2$ if for every coloring (with two colors) of pairs of ω there is a monochromatic \mathcal{I} -positive set.

Another example

Proposition

Let \mathcal{I} be a non tall ideal, then the player II has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{I})$.

Another example

Proposition

Let \mathcal{I} be a non tall ideal, then the player II has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{I})$.

Proof

If \mathcal{I} is not a tall ideal, then there is $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that if $B \in [A]^\omega$ then $B \in \mathcal{I}^+$.

Another example

Proposition

Let \mathcal{I} be a non tall ideal, then the player II has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{I})$.

Proof

If \mathcal{I} is not a tall ideal, then there is $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that if $B \in [A]^\omega$ then $B \in \mathcal{I}^+$. When the player I cuts ω (or the part that the player II chooses) one of the parts has an infinite intersection with A

Another example

Proposition

Let \mathcal{I} be a non tall ideal, then the player II has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{I})$.

Proof

If \mathcal{I} is not a tall ideal, then there is $A \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that if $B \in [A]^\omega$ then $B \in \mathcal{I}^+$. When the player I cuts ω (or the part that the player II chooses) one of the parts has an infinite intersection with A so the player II chooses that piece and some natural number in A . At the end, the player I loses the game because the natural numbers chosen by the player II form an infinite subset of A .

Examples and observations

- The player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{R})$.

Examples and observations

- The player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{R})$.
- If the player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{I})$ and $\mathcal{I} \leq_K \mathcal{J}$ then the player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{J})$.

Examples and observations

- The player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{R})$.
- If the player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{I})$ and $\mathcal{I} \leq_K \mathcal{J}$ then the player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{J})$.
- If the player II has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{I})$ then $\omega \rightarrow (\mathcal{I}^+)_2^2$.

Examples and observations

- The player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{R})$.
- If the player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{I})$ and $\mathcal{I} \leq_K \mathcal{J}$ then the player I has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{J})$.
- If the player II has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{I})$ then $\omega \rightarrow (\mathcal{I}^+)_2^2$.
- If \mathcal{I} is a non tall ideal then the player II has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{I})$.

Questions

Recall that $\omega \rightarrow (\mathcal{I}^+)_2^2$ iff $\mathcal{R} \not\leq_K \mathcal{I}$.

Questions

Recall that $\omega \rightarrow (\mathcal{I}^+)_2^2$ iff $\mathcal{R} \not\leq_K \mathcal{I}$.

Question (J. Zapletal)

Does the player II have a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{S})$?

Questions

Recall that $\omega \rightarrow (\mathcal{I}^+)_2^2$ iff $\mathcal{R} \not\leq_K \mathcal{I}$.

Question (J. Zapletal)

Does the player II have a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{S})$?

Question (M. Hrusak)

Does \mathcal{S} satisfy $\omega \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}^+)_2^2$?

Questions

Recall that $\omega \rightarrow (\mathcal{I}^+)_2^2$ iff $\mathcal{R} \not\leq_K \mathcal{I}$.

Question (J. Zapletal)

Does the player II have a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{S})$?

Question (M. Hrusak)

Does \mathcal{S} satisfy $\omega \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}^+)_2^2$?

Question (J. Zapletal)

If the player II has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{I})$ then \mathcal{I} is not tall?

Questions

Proposition

$$\omega \rightarrow (\omega, \mathcal{S}^+)_2^2.$$

More definitions

We will define a tall F_σ ideal in which the player II has a winning strategy in the cut and choose game. Let $\{A_s : s \in \omega^{<\omega}\}$ be a family of subsets of ω such that:

More definitions

We will define a tall F_σ ideal in which the player II has a winning strategy in the cut and choose game. Let $\{A_s : s \in \omega^{<\omega}\}$ be a family of subsets of ω such that:

- $A_\emptyset = \omega$
- For every $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$ we have that $\{A_{s \frown n} : n \in \omega\}$ is a partition of A_s .
- For every $n \neq m$ natural numbers $\exists s \neq t \in \omega^{<\omega}$ such that $n \in A_s$ and $m \in A_t$.

We will say that $T \subseteq \omega^{<\omega}$ is a small-branching tree if for every $s \in T$

$$|\{n \in \omega : s \frown n \in T\}| \leq |s| + 1.$$

With the previous notation, we define

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{A \subseteq \omega : \exists s \in \omega^{<\omega} (A \subseteq A_s \wedge \forall n \in \omega (|A \cap A_{s \frown n}| = 1))\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{A \subseteq \omega : \{s \in \omega^{<\omega} : A_s \cap A \neq \emptyset\} \text{ is a small-branching tree}\}.$$

\mathcal{PC} is the ideal generated by $\mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_1$.

Main result

Theorem

\mathcal{PC} is a tall F_σ ideal and the player II has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{PC})$.

Main result

Theorem

\mathcal{PC} is a tall F_σ ideal and the player II has a winning strategy in $G(\mathcal{PC})$.

Sketch of proof

After the player I cuts ω or the part which was chosen by the player II in a previous step, the player II chooses the big part (big in some way) and a certain natural number. The strategy is that the player II must construct some set such that the corresponding tree is not the finite union of small branching trees or trees corresponding to selectors.

*GRACIAS
THANK YOU
DEKUIJI.*