

Inscribing Baire-nonmeasurable sets

Szymon Żeberski
Wrocław University of Technology

Winter School in Abstract Analysis, Hejnice 2010

T - uncountable Polish space,
 \mathbb{I} - a σ -ideal of subsets of T with Borel base.

Definition

Let $N \subseteq X \subseteq T$. We say that the set N is *completely \mathbb{I} -nonmeasurable* in X if

$$(\forall A \in \text{Borel})(A \cap X \notin \mathbb{I} \rightarrow (A \cap N \notin \mathbb{I}) \wedge (A \cap (X \setminus N) \notin \mathbb{I})).$$

Remark

- ▶ $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is completely \mathbb{L} -nonmeasurable if $\lambda_*(N) = 0$ and $\lambda_*(\mathbb{R} \setminus N) = 0$.
- ▶ The definition of completely \mathbb{K} -nonmeasurability is equivalent to the definition of completely Baire-nonmeasurability.
- ▶ N is completely $[\mathbb{R}]^\omega$ -nonmeasurable iff N is a Bernstein set.

Definition

The ideal $\mathbb{I} \subseteq P(T)$ have *the hole property* if for every set $A \subseteq T$ there is a \mathbb{I} -minimal Borel set B containing A i.e. $B \setminus A \in \mathbb{I}$ and if $A \subseteq C$ and C is Borel then $B \setminus C \in \mathbb{I}$.

In such case we will write

$$[A]_{\mathbb{I}} = B \quad \text{and} \quad]A[_{\mathbb{I}} = T \setminus [T \setminus A]_{\mathbb{I}}.$$

Remark

Every c.c.c. σ -ideal with Borel base have the hole property.

Remark

\mathcal{N} is completely \mathbb{I} -nonmeasurable in X iff

$$[\mathcal{N}]_{\mathbb{I}} = [X]_{\mathbb{I}} \text{ and }]\mathcal{N}[_{\mathbb{I}} = \emptyset.$$

Remark

Every c.c.c. σ -ideal with Borel base have the hole property.

Remark

\mathcal{N} is completely \mathbb{I} -nonmeasurable in X iff

$$[\mathcal{N}]_{\mathbb{I}} = [X]_{\mathbb{I}} \text{ and }]\mathcal{N}[_{\mathbb{I}} = \emptyset.$$

T uncountable Polish space,
 \mathbb{K} - the ideal of meager sets.

Theorem (Cichoń, Morayne, Rałowski, Ryll-Nardzewski, Ż, 2007)

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ be a partition of a subset of T . Then we can find a subfamily $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $\bigcup \mathcal{B}$ is completely \mathbb{K} -nonmeasurable in $\bigcup \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem (Gitik, Shelah 2001)

Let $(A_n : n \in \omega)$ be a sequence of subsets of \mathbb{R} .

Then we can find a sequence $(B_n : n \in \omega)$ such that

1. $B_n \cap B_m = \emptyset$ for $n \neq m$,
2. $B_n \subseteq A_n$,
3. $\lambda^*(A_n) = \lambda^*(B_n)$, where λ^* is outer Lebesgue measure.

Theorem

Assume that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ is a partition of T . Let $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ for $n \in \omega$. Then there exists $\mathcal{B}_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n$ such that

1. $\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{B}_m = \emptyset$ for $n \neq m$,
2. $\mathcal{B}_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n$,
3. $[\bigcup \mathcal{B}_n]_{\mathbb{K}} = [\bigcup \mathcal{A}_n]_{\mathbb{K}}$.

Theorem

Assume that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ is a partition of a subset of T and $\bigcup \mathcal{A} \notin \mathbb{K}$.
Let $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ for $n \in \omega$. Then there exists $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that

1. $\bigcup \mathcal{B} \notin \mathbb{K}$,
2. $\bigcup \mathcal{B} \cap \bigcup \mathcal{A}_n \in \mathbb{K} = \emptyset$ for every $n \in \omega$.

Theorem (Gitik, Shelah, 1989)

If I is a σ -ideal on κ , then $P(\kappa)/I$ is not isomorphic to the Cohen algebra.

Theorem

Assume that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ is a partition of a subset of T . Let $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ for $n \in \omega$. Then there exists $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that

1. $[\bigcup \mathcal{B}]_{\mathbb{K}} = [\bigcup \mathcal{A}]_{\mathbb{K}}$,
2. $]\bigcup \mathcal{B} \cap \bigcup \mathcal{A}_n[_{\mathbb{K}} = \emptyset$ for every $n \in \omega$.

Theorem (Alaoglu, Erdős, 1950)

For every cardinal κ

$$((\kappa: \omega, \omega_1) \rightarrow \omega_1) \leftrightarrow ((\kappa: 1, \omega_1) \rightarrow \omega_1).$$

Lemma

Assume that $\{I_n\}_{n \in \omega}$ is a family of σ -additive ideals on κ which are not c.c.c. Then there exists a family $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \omega_1} \subseteq P(\kappa)$ such that

1. $(\forall \alpha < \omega_1)(\forall n \in \omega)(X_\alpha \notin I_n)$
2. $(\forall \alpha, \beta < \omega_1)(\alpha \neq \beta \rightarrow X_\alpha \cap X_\beta = \emptyset)$.

Theorem

Assume that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ is a partition of T . Let $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ for $n \in \omega$. Then there exists $\mathcal{B}_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n$ such that

1. $\mathcal{B}_n \cap \mathcal{B}_m = \emptyset$ for $n \neq m$,
2. $\mathcal{B}_n \subseteq \mathcal{A}_n$,
3. $[\bigcup \mathcal{B}_n]_{\mathbb{K}} = [\bigcup \mathcal{A}_n]_{\mathbb{K}}$.

Thank You for Your Attention

-  J. CICHÓN, M. MORAYNE, R. RAŁOWSKI, C. RYLL-NARDZEWSKI, S. ŻEBERSKI, *On nonmeasurable unions*, *Topology and its Applications* 154 (2007), 884-893,
-  P. ERDÖS, *Some remarks on set theory*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1950), 127-141,
-  M. GITIK, S. SHELAH, *More on real-valued measurable cardinals and forcings with ideals*, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 124 (1), (2001), 221-242,
-  M. GITIK, S. SHELAH, *Forcing with ideals and simple forcing notions*, *Israel J. Math.* **62** (1989), 129-160,
-  A. TAYLOR, *On saturated sets of ideals and Ulam's problem*, *Fund. Math.* **109** (1980), 37-53.