

Examples concerning iterated forcing

Piotr Koszmider, piotr.koszmider@gmail.com

Motivation: We will sketch the proof of the relative consistency
(assuming the existence of a strongly inaccessible cardinal) of $MA + \neg CH +$
 \neg There is no Kurepa tree

- 1 MA = For every c.c.c. partial order P and a family \mathcal{F} of cardinality $< 2^\omega$ of dense subsets of P there is a filter $G \subseteq P$ such that $D \cap G \neq \emptyset$ for all $D \in \mathcal{F}$

- 1 Tree = partial order where for each $t \in T$ the set $\{s \in T : s < t\}$ is well ordered

- 1 Tree = partial order where for each $t \in T$ the set $\{s \in T : s < t\}$ is well ordered
- 2 $Lev_\alpha(T)$ is the set of such elements that $\{s \in T : s < t\} \equiv \alpha$

- 1 Tree = partial order where for each $t \in T$ the set $\{s \in T : s < t\}$ is well ordered
- 2 $Lev_\alpha(T)$ is the set of such elements that $\{s \in T : s < t\} \equiv \alpha$
- 3 ω_1 -tree = a tree with nonempty countable levels for $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $Lev_{\omega_1} = \emptyset$ i.e., with height ω_1

- 1 Tree = partial order where for each $t \in T$ the set $\{s \in T : s < t\}$ is well ordered
- 2 $Lev_\alpha(T)$ is the set of such elements that $\{s \in T : s < t\} \equiv \alpha$
- 3 ω_1 -tree = a tree with nonempty countable levels for $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $Lev_{\omega_1} = \emptyset$ i.e., with height ω_1
- 4 **Branch through T = maximal linearly ordered subset of T**

- 1 Tree = partial order where for each $t \in T$ the set $\{s \in T : s < t\}$ is well ordered
- 2 $Lev_\alpha(T)$ is the set of such elements that $\{s \in T : s < t\} \equiv \alpha$
- 3 ω_1 -tree = a tree with nonempty countable levels for $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $Lev_{\omega_1} = \emptyset$ i.e., with height ω_1
- 4 Branch through T = maximal linearly ordered subset of T
- 5 Antichain in T = set of pairwise incomparable elements

- ① Tree = partial order where for each $t \in T$ the set $\{s \in T : s < t\}$ is well ordered
- ② $Lev_\alpha(T)$ is the set of such elements that $\{s \in T : s < t\} \equiv \alpha$
- ③ ω_1 -tree = a tree with nonempty countable levels for $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $Lev_{\omega_1} = \emptyset$ i.e., with height ω_1
- ④ Branch through T = maximal linearly ordered subset of T
- ⑤ Antichain in T = set of pairwise incomparable elements
- ⑥ **Suslin tree = ω_1 -tree without uncountable antichain and without uncountable branch**

- 1 Tree = partial order where for each $t \in T$ the set $\{s \in T : s < t\}$ is well ordered
- 2 $Lev_\alpha(T)$ is the set of such elements that $\{s \in T : s < t\} \equiv \alpha$
- 3 ω_1 -tree = a tree with nonempty countable levels for $\alpha < \omega_1$ and $Lev_{\omega_1} = \emptyset$ i.e., with height ω_1
- 4 Branch through T = maximal linearly ordered subset of T
- 5 Antichain in T = set of pairwise incomparable elements
- 6 Suslin tree = ω_1 -tree without uncountable antichain and without uncountable branch
- 7 **Kurepa tree = ω_1 -tree with more than ω_1 uncountable branches**

1 On iterations of forcings

- 1 On iterations of forcings
- 2 On Suslin-free forcings

Outline

- 1 On iterations of forcings
- 2 On Suslin-free forcings
- 3 The consistency of $MA + \neg CH +$ There is no Kurepa tree

- 1 Iterations of forcings of length α are sets of sequences of length α

- 1 Iterations of forcings of length α are sets of sequences of length α
- 2 Iterations of forcings P_0 of length 1 are just forcings

- 1 Iterations of forcings of length α are sets of sequences of length α
- 2 Iterations of forcings P_0 of length 1 are just forcings
- 3 If P_α is an iteration of length α and \dot{Q}_α is a P_α -name for an atomless partial order, then we define the iteration $P_\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha$ of length $\alpha + 1$

- 1 Iterations of forcings of length α are sets of sequences of length α
- 2 Iterations of forcings P_0 of length 1 are just forcings
- 3 If P_α is an iteration of length α and \dot{Q}_α is a P_α -name for an atomless partial order, then we define the iteration $P_\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha$ of length $\alpha + 1$
- 4 $p \frown \dot{q} \in P_\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha$ iff $p \in P_\alpha$ and $p \Vdash \dot{q} \in \dot{Q}_\alpha$

- 1 Iterations of forcings of length α are sets of sequences of length α
- 2 Iterations of forcings P_0 of length 1 are just forcings
- 3 If P_α is an iteration of length α and \dot{Q}_α is a P_α -name for an atomless partial order, then we define the iteration $P_\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha$ of length $\alpha + 1$
- 4 $p \frown \dot{q} \in P_\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha$ iff $p \in P_\alpha$ and $p \Vdash \dot{q} \in \dot{Q}_\alpha$
- 5 $p \frown \dot{q} \leq p' \frown \dot{q}'$ iff $p \leq_{P_\alpha} p'$ and $p \Vdash \dot{q} \leq_{\dot{Q}_\alpha} \dot{q}'$

- 1 Iterations of forcings of length α are sets of sequences of length α
- 2 Iterations of forcings P_0 of length 1 are just forcings
- 3 If P_α is an iteration of length α and \dot{Q}_α is a P_α -name for an atomless partial order, then we define the iteration $P_\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha$ of length $\alpha + 1$
- 4 $p \frown \dot{q} \in P_\alpha * \dot{Q}_\alpha$ iff $p \in P_\alpha$ and $p \Vdash \dot{q} \in \dot{Q}_\alpha$
- 5 $p \frown \dot{q} \leq p' \frown \dot{q}'$ iff $p \leq_{P_\alpha} p'$ and $p \Vdash \dot{q} \leq_{\dot{Q}_\alpha} \dot{q}'$
- 6 If $P_{\alpha'}$ s are iterations of lengths α' respectively and $P_{\alpha'} \restriction \alpha'' = P_{\alpha''}$ for all $\alpha'' < \alpha' < \alpha$ then we define the iteration P_α of length α with supports $< \kappa$:

$$p \in P_\alpha \text{ iff } \forall \alpha' < \alpha \ p \restriction \alpha' \in P_{\alpha'}$$

$$\text{supp}(p) = \{\alpha' < \alpha : p(\alpha') \neq 1_{\dot{Q}_\alpha}\} \text{ has cardinality } < \kappa$$

Observations A:

- 1 We identify P_β for $\beta < \alpha$ with a suborder of P_α . Also P_β -names correspond to some P_α -names.

Observations A:

- 1 We identify P_β for $\beta < \alpha$ with a suborder of P_α . Also P_β -names correspond to some P_α -names.
- 2 For each $\beta < \alpha$ the iteration P_α is equivalent to $P_\beta^* P_{[\alpha, \beta)}$ where $\dot{P}_{[\alpha, \beta)}$ is an appropriate iteration

Observations A:

- 1 We identify P_β for $\beta < \alpha$ with a suborder of P_α . Also P_β -names correspond to some P_α -names.
- 2 For each $\beta < \alpha$ the iteration P_α is equivalent to $P_\beta^* P_{[\alpha, \beta)}$ where $\dot{P}_{[\alpha, \beta)}$ is an appropriate iteration
- 3 If D is dense in P_β then P_α forces that

$$\dot{G}|\beta = \{p|\beta : p \in \dot{G}\} \cap \check{D} \neq \emptyset$$

Observations A:

- 1 We identify P_β for $\beta < \alpha$ with a suborder of P_α . Also P_β -names correspond to some P_α -names.
- 2 For each $\beta < \alpha$ the iteration P_α is equivalent to $P_\beta^* P_{[\alpha, \beta)}$ where $\dot{P}_{[\alpha, \beta)}$ is an appropriate iteration
- 3 If D is dense in P_β then P_α forces that

$$\dot{G} \upharpoonright \beta = \{p \upharpoonright \beta : p \in \dot{G}\} \cap \check{D} \neq \emptyset$$

- 4 If \dot{D} is a P_β -name for a dense subset of \dot{Q}_β , then P_α forces that

$$\dot{G}(\beta) = \{p(\beta) : p \in \dot{G}\} \cap \dot{D} \neq \emptyset$$

Observations B:

- 1 If $P_\beta \Vdash \dot{Q}_\beta$ is c.c.c for each $\beta < \alpha$, and P_α is an iteration with finite support, then P_α is c.c.c.

Observations B:

- 1 If $P_\beta \Vdash \dot{Q}_\beta$ is c.c.c for each $\beta < \alpha$, and P_α is an iteration with finite support, then P_α is c.c.c.
- 2 But there could be P_1, Q_1 both c.c.c. such that $P_1^* \dot{Q}_1$ is not c.c.c. (because $P_1 \nVdash \check{Q}_1$ is c.c.c.)

Observations B:

- 1 If $P_\beta \Vdash \dot{Q}_\beta$ is c.c.c for each $\beta < \alpha$, and P_α is an iteration with finite support, then P_α is c.c.c.
- 2 But there could be P_1, Q_1 both c.c.c. such that $P_1^* \check{Q}_1$ is not c.c.c. (because $P_1 \nVdash \check{Q}_1$ is c.c.c.)
- 3 If P is reversed Suslin tree then P is c.c.c. but $P^* \check{P}$ is not c.c.c. because $P \times P \subseteq P^* \check{P}$ is not c.c.c.

Observations C:

- 1 In general if \dot{x} is a P_α -name for α a limit ordinal of (large) cofinality there may not be $\beta < \alpha$ and a P_β -name \dot{y} such that $P_\alpha \Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$

Observations C:

- 1 In general if \dot{x} is a P_α -name for α a limit ordinal of (large) cofinality there may not be $\beta < \alpha$ and a P_β -name \dot{y} such that $P_\alpha \Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$
- 2 Let κ be a cardinal. Let P_α be an iteration with finite supports of c.c.c. forcings where $\kappa < cf(\alpha)$ is uncountable. If $P_\alpha \Vdash \dot{x} \subseteq \check{\kappa}$. Then there is $\beta < \alpha$ and a P_β -name \dot{y} such that $P_\alpha \Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$

Theorem

Let κ be a cardinal. Let P_α be an iteration with finite supports of c.c.c. forcings where $\kappa < \text{cf}(\alpha)$ is uncountable. If $P_\alpha \Vdash \dot{x} \subseteq \check{\kappa}$. Then there is $\beta < \alpha$ and a P_β -name \dot{y} such that $P_\alpha \Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$

Theorem

Let κ be a cardinal. Let P_α be an iteration with finite supports of c.c.c. forcings where $\kappa < \text{cf}(\alpha)$ is uncountable. If $P_\alpha \Vdash \dot{x} \subseteq \check{\kappa}$. Then there is $\beta < \alpha$ and a P_β -name \dot{y} such that $P_\alpha \Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$

Proof.

Theorem

Let κ be a cardinal. Let P_α be an iteration with finite supports of c.c.c. forcings where $\kappa < \text{cf}(\alpha)$ is uncountable. If $P_\alpha \Vdash \dot{x} \subseteq \check{\kappa}$. Then there is $\beta < \alpha$ and a P_β -name \dot{y} such that $P_\alpha \Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$

Proof.

- 1 If $A \subseteq P_\alpha$ is an antichain, then $\bigcup \{ \text{supp}(p) : p \in A \}$ is bounded in α .



Theorem

Let κ be a cardinal. Let P_α be an iteration with finite supports of c.c.c. forcings where $\kappa < cf(\alpha)$ is uncountable. If $P_\alpha \Vdash \dot{x} \subseteq \check{\kappa}$. Then there is $\beta < \alpha$ and a P_β -name \dot{y} such that $P_\alpha \Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$

Proof.

- 1 If $A \subseteq P_\alpha$ is an antichain, then $\bigcup \{ \text{supp}(p) : p \in A \}$ is bounded in α .
- 2 For every $\xi < \kappa$ define a maximal antichain A_ξ among conditions of P_α which force $\check{\xi} \in \dot{x}$



Theorem

Let κ be a cardinal. Let P_α be an iteration with finite supports of c.c.c. forcings where $\kappa < \text{cf}(\alpha)$ is uncountable. If $P_\alpha \Vdash \dot{x} \subseteq \check{\kappa}$. Then there is $\beta < \alpha$ and a P_β -name \dot{y} such that $P_\alpha \Vdash \dot{x} = \dot{y}$

Proof.

- 1 If $A \subseteq P_\alpha$ is an antichain, then $\bigcup \{ \text{supp}(p) : p \in A \}$ is bounded in α .
- 2 For every $\xi < \kappa$ define a maximal antichain A_ξ among conditions of P_α which force $\check{\xi} \in \dot{x}$
- 3 Define $\dot{y} = \bigcup_{\xi \in \kappa} \{ \check{\xi} \} \times A_\xi$



Theorem

(GCH) There is a finite support iteration P_κ of length ω_2 of c.c.c. forcings such that $P_{\omega_2} \Vdash MA + 2^\omega = \omega_2$

Theorem

(GCH) There is a finite support iteration P_κ of length ω_2 of c.c.c. forcings such that $P_{\omega_2} \Vdash MA + 2^\omega = \omega_2$

Proof.

Theorem

(GCH) There is a finite support iteration P_κ of length ω_2 of c.c.c. forcings such that $P_{\omega_2} \Vdash MA + 2^\omega = \omega_2$

Proof.

Do the right book-keeping so that

Theorem

(GCH) There is a finite support iteration P_κ of length ω_2 of c.c.c. forcings such that $P_{\omega_2} \Vdash MA + 2^\omega = \omega_2$

Proof.

Do the right book-keeping so that whenever $P_{\omega_2} \Vdash |\dot{P}| \leq \omega_1$ and $P_{\omega_2} \Vdash \dot{P}$ is c.c.c., and $\{\dot{D}_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ are P_{ω_2} -names for dense sets of \dot{P} then there is $\beta < \omega_2$ such that $P_\beta \Vdash \dot{P} = \dot{Q}_\beta$ and there are P_β -names $\{\dot{E}_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ such that $P_\beta \Vdash \dot{E}_\xi = \dot{D}_\xi$ for $\xi < \omega_1$

Theorem

(GCH) There is a finite support iteration P_κ of length ω_2 of c.c.c. forcings such that $P_{\omega_2} \Vdash MA + 2^\omega = \omega_2$

Proof.

Do the right book-keeping so that whenever $P_{\omega_2} \Vdash |\dot{P}| \leq \omega_1$ and $P_{\omega_2} \Vdash \dot{P}$ is c.c.c., and $\{\dot{D}_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ are P_{ω_2} -names for dense sets of \dot{P} then there is $\beta < \omega_2$ such that $P_\beta \Vdash \dot{P} = \dot{Q}_\beta$ and there are P_β -names $\{\dot{E}_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ such that $P_\beta \Vdash \dot{E}_\xi = \dot{D}_\xi$ for $\xi < \omega_1$.
Then P_β forces that \dot{Q}_β forces that

$$\dot{G}(\beta) = \{p(\beta) : p \in \dot{G}\}$$

is a filter in $\dot{P} = \dot{Q}_\beta$ meeting all $\dot{E}_\xi = \dot{D}_\xi$.

Theorem

(GCH) There is a finite support iteration P_κ of length ω_2 of c.c.c. forcings such that $P_{\omega_2} \Vdash MA + 2^\omega = \omega_2$

Proof.

Do the right book-keeping so that whenever $P_{\omega_2} \Vdash |\dot{P}| \leq \omega_1$ and $P_{\omega_2} \Vdash \dot{P}$ is c.c.c., and $\{\dot{D}_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ are P_{ω_2} -names for dense sets of \dot{P} then there is $\beta < \omega_2$ such that $P_\beta \Vdash \dot{P} = \dot{Q}_\beta$ and there are P_β -names $\{\dot{E}_\xi : \xi < \omega_1\}$ such that $P_\beta \Vdash \dot{E}_\xi = \dot{D}_\xi$ for $\xi < \omega_1$.
Then P_β forces that \dot{Q}_β forces that

$$\dot{G}(\beta) = \{p(\beta) : p \in \dot{G}\}$$

is a filter in $\dot{P} = \dot{Q}_\beta$ meeting all $\dot{E}_\xi = \dot{D}_\xi$. This is preserved from P_β to P_{ω_2} because P_{ω_2} is equivalent to $P_\beta * P_{[\beta, \omega_2]}$



Motivation: We will sketch the proof of the relative consistency
(assuming the existence of a strongly inaccessible cardinal) of $MA + \neg CH +$
 \neg There is no Kurepa tree

Proof.

Preparatory stage

Proof.

Preparatory stage

- 1 First (using an inaccessible cardinal) obtain the consistency of CH + There is no Kurepa tree



Proof.

Preparatory stage

- 1 First (using an inaccessible cardinal) obtain the consistency of **CH** + There is no Kurepa tree
- 2 And moreover for any c.c.c. forcing P of cardinality ω_1 $P \Vdash$ There is no Kurepa tree.



Proof.

Preparatory stage

- 1 First (using an inaccessible cardinal) obtain the consistency of **CH** + **There is no Kurepa tree**
- 2 And moreover for any c.c.c. forcing P of cardinality ω_1 $P \Vdash$ There is no Kurepa tree.
- 3 **Assume: no c.c.c. forcing P of cardinality ω_1 forces that there is Kurepa tree**



Proof.

Main stage

Proof.

Main stage

- 1 Iterate all c.c.c forcings of cardinality ω_1 which do not add uncountable branches through an ω_1 -trees



Proof.

Main stage

- 1 Iterate all c.c.c forcings of cardinality ω_1 which do not add uncountable branches through an ω_1 -trees
- 2 Prove that if P is c.c.c. and adds an uncountable branch through an ω_1 -tree, then there is Q which is c.c.c., does not add uncountable branches through ω_1 -trees and

$Q \Vdash \check{P}$ is not c.c.c.



Proof.

Main stage

- 1 Iterate all c.c.c forcings of cardinality ω_1 which do not add uncountable branches through an ω_1 -trees
- 2 Prove that if P is c.c.c. and adds an uncountable branch through an ω_1 -tree, then there is Q which is c.c.c., does not add uncountable branches through ω_1 -trees and

$Q \Vdash \check{P}$ is not c.c.c.

- 3 Prove that if for each $\beta < \alpha$ we have $P_\beta \Vdash \dot{Q}_\beta$ does not add an uncountable branches through ω_1 -trees, then P_α has this property as well as for each $\beta < \alpha$ we have that P_β forces that $P_{[\beta, \alpha]}$ has this property.

