

Unique homogeneity, II

Jan van Mill

VU University Amsterdam and Technical University Delft

Hejnice 2013

Construction of a uniquely homogeneous space

Theorem (vM, 1983)

There is nontrivial separable metric UH Baire space.

There is a Boolean topological group G such that $G \approx \ell^2$. This means that $x + x = 0$ for every $x \in G$.

This space surfaces already in Halmos, Measure Theory. That it is homeomorphic to ℓ^2 was shown by Bessaga and Pełczyński (± 1970).

$\mathcal{M} = \{A \subseteq [0, 1] : A \text{ measurable}\}$, $\mathcal{N} = \{A \in \mathcal{M} : \lambda(A) = 0\}$.

Consider \mathcal{M}/\mathcal{N} with metric and group operation

$$d([A], [B]) = \lambda(A \Delta B), \quad [A] + [B] = [A \Delta B].$$

Put Let \mathcal{F} denote the collection of all functions f such that

- ① $\text{dom}(f)$ and $\text{range}(f)$ are G_δ -subsets of G ,
- ② $f: \text{dom}(f) \rightarrow \text{range}(f)$ is a homeomorphism.

Let $\{f_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}, \alpha \text{ even}\}$ enumerate \mathcal{F} , and let $\{K_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}, \alpha \text{ odd}\}$ enumerate the collection of all Cantor subsets of G .

By transfinite induction on $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$, we will construct subgroups H_α of G and subsets V_α of G such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- ① if $\beta < \alpha$ then $H_\beta \subseteq H_\alpha$ and $V_\beta \subseteq V_\alpha$,
- ② $H_\alpha \cap V_\alpha = \emptyset$, $|H_\alpha| \leq |\alpha| \cdot \omega$, $|V_\alpha| \leq |\alpha| \cdot \omega$,
- ③ if α is odd then $H_\alpha \cap K_\alpha \neq \emptyset$,
- ④ if α is even and

$$|\{x \in \text{dom}(f_\alpha) : f_\alpha(x) \notin \langle\langle \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta \cup \{x\} \rangle\rangle\}| = \mathfrak{c}$$

then there exists $x \in \text{dom}(f_\alpha) \cap (H_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta)$ such that $f_\alpha(x) \in V_\alpha$.

Put $H^\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta$, $V^\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} V_\beta$, and

$$S = \{x \in \text{dom}(f_\alpha) : f_\alpha(x) \notin \langle\langle H^\alpha \cup \{x\} \rangle\rangle\}.$$

Observe that since G is Boolean, we have for every $x \in S$,

$$\langle\langle H^\alpha \cup \{x\} \rangle\rangle = H^\alpha \cup (x + H^\alpha).$$

Now assume first that α is even, that $|S| = \mathfrak{c}$, and pick $x \in S \setminus ((H^\alpha + V^\alpha) \cup H^\alpha)$. It is clear that such an x exists by cardinality considerations. Now put

$$H_\alpha = \langle\langle H^\alpha \cup \{x\} \rangle\rangle = H^\alpha \cup (x + H^\alpha), \quad V_\alpha = V^\alpha \cup \{f_\alpha(x)\}.$$

Then $H_\alpha \cap V_\alpha = \emptyset$. The case that α is odd can be treated analogously since every Cantor set has size \mathfrak{c} .

Put $H = \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} H_\alpha$. We claim that H is UH.

H is a Baire space since it intersects all Cantor subsets of the Polish space G (observe that it hits every dense G_δ -subset of H since such a set contains a Cantor set, hence H is of the second category in itself and hence a Baire space being a second countable topological group).

Let $f: H \rightarrow H$ be a homeomorphism. By Lavrentieff, there exist G_δ -subsets A and B in G such that f can be extended to a homeomorphism $\bar{f}: A \rightarrow B$. Pick α such that $\bar{f} = f_\alpha$.

CASE 1: $|\{x \in A : f_\alpha(x) \notin \langle\langle \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta \cup \{x\} \rangle\rangle\rangle = \mathfrak{c}$.

Then at stage α we picked $x \in H_\alpha$ such that $f_\alpha(x) \in V_\alpha$. Hence there exists $x \in H$ such that $f_\alpha(x) \notin H$. But f_α extends f , hence $f_\alpha(x) = f(x) \in H$, which is a contradiction.

CASE 2: If $T = \{x \in A : f_\alpha(x) \notin \langle\langle H^\alpha \cup \{x\} \rangle\rangle\}$, where $H^\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta$, then $|T| < \mathfrak{c}$.

For $h \in H^\alpha$, put $E_h = \{x \in A : f_\alpha(x) = x + h\}$. Then E_h is a closed subset of A , $E_h \cap T = \emptyset$, and $E_h \cap E_{h'} = \emptyset$ if $h \neq h'$. Put $F_h = E_h \setminus f_\alpha^{-1}(H^\alpha)$.

CLAIM: At most one F_h is nonempty.

Assume that there are distinct $s, t \in H^\alpha$ such that both F_s and F_t are nonempty, say $x \in F_s$ and $y \in F_t$.

Observe that $G \setminus A$ is countable, since otherwise it would contain a Cantor set which would intersect H by construction, which is impossible since A contains H .

Hence

$$|f_\alpha^{-1}(H^\alpha) \cup (G \setminus A) \cup T| < \mathfrak{c}$$

and since $x, y \notin E = f_\alpha^{-1}(H^\alpha) \cup (G \setminus A) \cup T$, there is an arc J in G that connects x and y and misses E . Observe that

$$J \subseteq \bigcup_{h \in H^\alpha} F_h \subseteq \bigcup_{h \in H^\alpha} E_h.$$

Put $K = \{h \in H^\alpha : F_h \cap J \neq \emptyset\}$. By assumption, $|K| \geq 2$. Hence $|K| > \omega$ by the Sierpinski Theorem. Since $|K| < \mathfrak{c}$, we have a contradiction in the presence of the CH.

K is not complete being uncountable and of size less than \mathfrak{c} , and hence not closed in G . Pick $k_n \in K$ such that $k_n \rightarrow h \notin K$. For every n pick $x_n \in J \cap F_{k_n}$. We may assume without loss of generality that $x_n \rightarrow x$. Then

$$f_\alpha(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_\alpha(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n + k_k = x + k.$$

Since $x \in J$, there exists $h \in K$ such that $x \in F_h \subseteq E_h$. Hence $f_\alpha(x) = x + h$ so that $k = h \in K$, contradiction.

CLAIM: At least one of the collection $\{F_h : h \in H^\alpha\}$ is nonempty.

If not, then $f_\alpha(H \setminus T) \subseteq H^\alpha$, which is a contradiction since f_α is injective.

Hence there is a unique $h \in H^\alpha$ such that $F_h \neq \emptyset$. Now consider E_h . The complement of A is countable, as we observed above. The set E_h is closed, hence if it would be a proper subset of A its complement would have cardinality \mathfrak{c} . But it has size less than \mathfrak{c} . This implies that $E_h = A$, hence $f_\alpha(x) = x + h$ for every $x \in A$.

This implies that every homeomorphism of H is a translation of the form $x \mapsto x + h$, hence H is uniquely homogeneous.

The construction can be improved so that H has the following property: every continuous function $f: H \rightarrow H$ is either constant, or a translation.

The weight of H is ω .

Question

Are there UH spaces of arbitrarily large weight?

Arhangel'skii and vM (2012) proved that there is a family $\{H_\alpha : \alpha < 2^c\}$ of such groups such that if $\alpha \neq \beta$, then every continuous function $f: H_\beta \rightarrow H_\alpha$ is constant. This implies that the product $\prod_{\alpha < 2^c} H_\alpha$ is UH and has weight 2^c . But this is cheating, it is not a new construction.

A space X is called *2-flexible* if $\forall a, b \in X, \forall O_b, \exists O_a, \forall z \in O_a, \exists h \in H(X), h(a) = z$ and $h(b) \in O_b$.

A space X is called *skew 2-flexible* if $\forall a, b \in X, \forall O_b, \exists O_a, \forall z \in O_a, \exists h \in H(X), h(a) = z$ and $b \in g(O_b)$.

Theorem

If X is locally compact, separable metric, homogeneous, then X is both 2-flexible and skew 2-flexible.

Application of the Effros Theorem

Example

- 1 There is a homogeneous Polish space which is skew 2-flexible but not 2-flexible. *Hence Effros does not work for Polish spaces.*
- 2 There is a UH 2-flexible space that is not skew 2-flexible. *Open whether such a space can be Polish.*

Theorem (Arhangel'skii and vM)

Let X be UH. TFAE

- ① X is 2-flexible.
- ② X is Abelian. (for all $f, g \in H(X)$ we have $f \circ g = g \circ f$.)

Theorem (Arhangel'skii and vM)

Let X be UH. TFAE

- ① X is skew 2-flexible.
- ② X is Boolean. (for all $f \in H(X)$ we have $f \circ f = id_X$.)

Hence for a UH space, skew 2-flexibility implies 2-flexibility. The converse is not true.