Dimension(s) of compact F-spaces

Quidquid latine dictum sit, altum videtur

K. P. Hart

Faculty EEMCS
TU Delft

Hejnice, 1. Únor, 2012: 17:30 – 18:10

Outline

Delft University of Technology

Outline

Hurewicz' theorem

Theorem

Let X be separable and metrizable and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Delft University of Technology

Hurewicz' theorem

Theorem

Let X be separable and metrizable and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the dimension of X is at most n if and only if there are a zero-dimensional, separable and metrizable space Y and a closed continuous surjection $f : Y \to X$ such that $\left|f^{\leftarrow}(x)\right| \leqslant n+1$ for all $x \in X$.

About the proofs

One direction uses the large inductive dimension.

Theorem

If Y is normal and strongly zero-dimensional and $f : Y \to X$ is closed, continuous and onto with $\left|f^{\leftarrow}(x)\right| \leqslant n+1$ for all $x \in X$ then $\operatorname{Ind} X \leqslant n$.

About the proofs

Proof.

By induction (of course).

About the proofs

Proof.

By induction (of course).
Given disjoint closed sets A and B in X find a closed set Z in Y such that $f[Z]$ is a partition between and $f \restriction Z$ has fibers of size at most n.

Delft University of Technology

About the proofs

Proof.

By induction (of course).

Given disjoint closed sets A and B in X find a closed set Z in Y such that $f[Z]$ is a partition between and $f \restriction Z$ has fibers of size at most n.

The speaker draws an instructive picture . . . □

Delft University of Technology

About the proofs

The other direction uses the covering dimension dimension.
$\dim X \leqslant n$ iff for every open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X of cardinality $n+2$ there is an open refinement $\mathcal{V} = \{V_U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ with $\bigcap\{\operatorname{cl} V : V \in \mathcal{V}\} = \emptyset$.
Refinement: $V_U \subseteq U$ for all U and $\bigcup \mathcal{V} = X$.

Delft University of Technology

About the proofs

Theorem

If X is compact and metrizable with $\dim X \leqslant n$ then there are a zero-dimensional, compact and metrizable space Y and a continuous surjection $F : Y \to X$ with $\left|f^{\leftarrow}(x)\right| \leqslant n+1$ for all $x \in X$.

Delft University of Technology

About the proofs

Proof.

Idea of proof: make finite closed covers of order at most $n+1$; give these the discrete topology; take their product and let Y be a suitable subspace of that product. □

What makes this work?

The reason we have an equivalence is the fundamental fact from dimension theory that $\dim X = \operatorname{ind} X = \operatorname{Ind} X$ for all separable and metrizable X.

What makes this work?

The reason we have an equivalence is the fundamental fact from dimension theory that $\dim X = \operatorname{ind} X = \operatorname{Ind} X$ for all separable and metrizable X.
And the compactification theorem: a separable and metrizable space has a metric compactification with the same dimension(s).

Outline

TU Delft
Delft University of Technology

F-spaces of weight $\mathfrak{c}$

Experience has taught us that compact F-spaces of weight $\mathfrak{c}$ behave in many ways like compact metrizable spaces

Delft University of Technology

F-spaces of weight $\mathfrak{c}$

Experience has taught us that compact F-spaces of weight $\mathfrak{c}$ behave in many ways like compact metrizable spaces, *provided the Continuum Hypothesis holds*

Delft University of Technology

F-spaces of weight $\mathfrak{c}$

Remember: X is an F-space if every finitely generated ideal in $C^*(X)$ is principal.

Delft University of Technology

F-spaces of weight $\mathfrak{c}$

Remember: X is an F-space if every finitely generated ideal in $C^*(X)$ is principal.

Or, somewhat more topological: disjoint cozero sets are completely separated.

Delft University of Technology

F-spaces of weight $\mathfrak{c}$

Remember: X is an F-space if every finitely generated ideal in $C^*(X)$ is principal.

Or, somewhat more topological: disjoint cozero sets are completely separated.

Or, for normal spaces: disjoint cozero sets have disjoint closures.

TU Delft
Delft University of Technology

Equality of dimensions

Theorem (CH)

For every compact F-space, X, of weight $\mathfrak{c}$ we have

$$\dim X = \operatorname{ind} X = \operatorname{Ind} X$$

Equality of dimensions

Theorem (CH)

For every compact F-space, X, of weight $\mathfrak{c}$ we have

$$\dim X = \operatorname{ind} X = \operatorname{Ind} X$$

Proof

The inequalities $\dim X \leqslant \operatorname{ind} X \leqslant \operatorname{Ind} X$ hold for *every* compact space.

Proof, continued

Proof

The interesting part is the proof of $\operatorname{Ind} X \leqslant \dim X$.

Proof, continued

Proof

The interesting part is the proof of $\operatorname{Ind} X \leqslant \dim X$.
Given disjoint closed sets A and B we build a partition, L, between them with $\dim L \leqslant \dim X - 1$.

Proof, continued

Proof

The interesting part is the proof of $\operatorname{Ind} X \leqslant \dim X$.
Given disjoint closed sets A and B we build a partition, L, between them with $\dim L \leqslant \dim X - 1$.
How: we have $\aleph_1$ many potential basic open covers of L of size $\dim X + 1$; enumerate them: $\langle \mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$.

Delft University of Technology

Proof, continued

Proof

The interesting part is the proof of $\operatorname{Ind} X \leqslant \dim X$.
Given disjoint closed sets A and B we build a partition, L, between them with $\dim L \leqslant \dim X - 1$.
How: we have $\aleph_1$ many potential basic open covers of L of size $\dim X + 1$; enumerate them: $\langle \mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$.
Build increasing sequences $\langle C_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ and $\langle D_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ of cozero sets, with $C_\alpha \cap D_\alpha = \emptyset$ for all α.

Delft University of Technology

Proof, continued

Proof

At stage α, check if $C_\alpha \cup D_\alpha \cup \bigcup \mathcal{U}_\alpha = X$.

Proof, continued

Proof

At stage α, check if $C_\alpha \cup D_\alpha \cup \bigcup \mathcal{U}_\alpha = X$.
In that case take a refinement $\{O\} \cup \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ of $\{C_\alpha \cup D_\alpha\} \cup \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ whose closures have empty intersection.

TUDelft
Delft University of Technology

Proof, continued

Proof

At stage α, check if $C_\alpha \cup D_\alpha \cup \bigcup \mathcal{U}_\alpha = X$.
In that case take a refinement $\{O\} \cup \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ of $\{C_\alpha \cup D_\alpha\} \cup \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ whose closures have empty intersection.
Take $C_{\alpha+1}$ and $D_{\alpha+1}$ such that $C_\alpha \cup \bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha} \operatorname{cl} V_U \subseteq C_{\alpha+1}$ and $D_\alpha \subseteq D_{\alpha+1}$.

TU Delft
Delft University of Technology

Proof, continued

Proof

At stage α, check if $C_\alpha \cup D_\alpha \cup \bigcup \mathcal{U}_\alpha = X$.
In that case take a refinement $\{O\} \cup \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ of $\{C_\alpha \cup D_\alpha\} \cup \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ whose closures have empty intersection.
Take $C_{\alpha+1}$ and $D_{\alpha+1}$ such that $C_\alpha \cup \bigcap_{U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha} \operatorname{cl} V_U \subseteq C_{\alpha+1}$ and $D_\alpha \subseteq D_{\alpha+1}$.
Apart from some technicalities this works.

Outline

Delft University of Technology

A very general theorem

Theorem (CH)

Let X be a compact F-space of weight $\mathfrak{c}$. Then X has a base $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ with the following property

A very general theorem

Theorem (CH)

Let X be a compact F-space of weight $\mathfrak{c}$. Then X has a base $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ with the following property: whenever F is a finite subset of ω_1 the intersection

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} \operatorname{Fr} B_\alpha$$

has dimension at most $\dim \operatorname{Fr} B_{\min F} - |F| + 1$.

Delft University of Technology

About the proof

It uses a simultaneous version of the proof of $\operatorname{Ind} X \leqslant \dim X$.

About the proof

It uses a simultaneous version of the proof of $\operatorname{Ind} X \leqslant \dim X$.

In the separable metric case one can build a partition, L, such that

$$\dim(L \cap D) \leqslant \dim D - 1$$

for countably many closed sets D at once.

Delft University of Technology

About the proof

It uses a simultaneous version of the proof of $\operatorname{Ind} X \leqslant \dim X$.

In the separable metric case one can build a partition, L, such that

$$\dim(L \cap D) \leqslant \dim D - 1$$

for countably many closed sets D at once.

In the case of a compact F-space of weight $\mathfrak{c}$, assuming CH, you can do this in one go for $\aleph_1$ many closed sets.

Delft University of Technology

A special case

Theorem (CH)

Let X be a compact F-space of weight $\mathfrak{c}$ and dimension n. Then X has a base $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ with the following property

Delft University of Technology

A special case

Theorem (CH)

Let X be a compact F-space of weight $\mathfrak{c}$ and dimension n. Then X has a base $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ with the following property:

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in F} \operatorname{Fr} B_\alpha = \emptyset$$

whenever F is a subset of ω_1 with $n + 1$ elements.

Delft University of Technology

A finite-to-one map

We may assume our base consists of regular open sets ($B_\alpha = \operatorname{int} \operatorname{cl} B_\alpha$).

A finite-to-one map

We may assume our base consists of regular open sets ($B_\alpha = \operatorname{int}\operatorname{cl} B_\alpha$).
Take the Boolean subalgebra, B, of $\mathrm{RO}(X)$ generated by our base.

Delft University of Technology

A finite-to-one map

We may assume our base consists of regular open sets ($B_\alpha = \operatorname{int}\operatorname{cl} B_\alpha$).
Take the Boolean subalgebra, B, of $\mathrm{RO}(X)$ generated by our base.
Then the natural map from the Stone space of B onto X is (at most) 2^n-to-one.

A finite-to-one map

Bummer! $2^n > n + 1$ (when $n \geqslant 2$).

Delft University of Technology

A finite-to-one map

Bummer! $2^n > n + 1$ (when $n \geqslant 2$).

We have an other proof, with the same result: 2^n.

Outline

An example

The first question that should occur to everyone has an answer:

An example

The first question that should occur to everyone has an answer:

There is a compact F-space of weight $\mathfrak{c}^+$ with non-coinciding dimensions (my student Jan van Mill).

Delft University of Technology

An example

The first question that should occur to everyone has an answer:

There is a compact F-space of weight $\mathfrak{c}^+$ with non-coinciding dimensions (my student Jan van Mill).

This parallels the ‘classic’ case: there are compact spaces of weight $\aleph_1$ with non-coinciding dimensions.

What if CH fails?

The second question that should occur to everyone has no answer (yet).

What if CH fails?

The second question that should occur to everyone has no answer (yet).
One possibility: there are many compact spaces of weight $\mathfrak{c}$ with non-coinciding dimensions.

TU Delft
Delft University of Technology

What if CH fails?

Take such a space, X, for example with $\dim X = 1$ and $\operatorname{ind} X = \operatorname{Ind} X = 2$.

What if CH fails?

Take such a space, X, for example with $\dim X = 1$ and $\operatorname{ind} X = \operatorname{Ind} X = 2$.
Consider $Y = \omega \times X$ and $Y^* = \beta Y \setminus Y$.

Delft University of Technology

What if CH fails?

Take such a space, X, for example with $\dim X = 1$ and $\operatorname{ind} X = \operatorname{Ind} X = 2$.
Consider $Y = \omega \times X$ and $Y^* = \beta Y \setminus Y$.
By our first result we have $\dim Y^* = \operatorname{ind} Y^* = \operatorname{Ind} Y^*$ if CH holds.

Delft University of Technology

What if CH fails?

Last year's tutorial: $\dim C = \dim X = 1$ for every component C of Y^*.

What if CH fails?

Last year's tutorial: $\dim C = \dim X = 1$ for every component C of Y^*.

Also $\dim Y^* \leqslant \dim \beta Y = 1$, so $\dim Y^* = 1$.

Delft University of Technology

What if CH fails?

Last year's tutorial: $\dim C = \dim X = 1$ for every component C of Y^*.

Also $\dim Y^* \leqslant \dim \beta Y = 1$, so $\dim Y^* = 1$.

Hence(!): $\operatorname{Ind} Y^* = 1 < 2 = \operatorname{Ind} \beta Y$ (if CH).

TU Delft
Delft University of Technology

What if CH fails?

What can be said if CH fails? In particular models where CH fails.

What if CH fails?

What can be said if CH fails? In particular models where CH fails.

Could it be that $\operatorname{Ind} Y^* = 2$ in some such model?

TU Delft
Delft University of Technology

What if CH fails?

What can be said if CH fails? In particular models where CH fails.

Could it be that $\operatorname{Ind} Y^* = 2$ in some such model?

There are many X to play with.

What with 2^n?

The third question on everyone's lips

What with 2^n?

The third question on everyone's lips:

can 2^n be brought down to $n+1$?

Delft University of Technology

What with 2^n?

The third question on everyone's lips:

can 2^n be brought down to $n+1$?

(As it should be.)

Delft University of Technology

What with 2^n?

The third question on everyone's lips:

can 2^n be brought down to $n+1$?

(As it should be.)
We have no idea.

Outline

1. History
2. Can we generalize?
3. Finite-to-one maps
4. Questions
5. Sources

Delft University of Technology

Light reading

Website: `fa.its.tudelft.nl/~hart`

K. P. Hart, J. van Mill,
Covering dimension and finite-to-one maps, Topology and its Applications, **158** (2011), 2512–2519.

J. van Mill,
A compact F-space with noncoinciding dimensions, Topology and its Applications **159** (2012), 1625–1633.

Let us thank the organizers