

Two sets

Marek Bienias, Szymon Głąb
Robert Rałowski and Szymon Żeberski

Winter School in Abstract Analysis
section Set Theory
Hejnice 2012

Notation and Terminology

Let $(X, +)$ be any uncountable Polish abelian group and let $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ s.t

- ▶ I is σ -ideal with a Borel base and
- ▶ I contains all singletons and
- ▶ I translation invariant.

The σ -ideal I is nice if has properties as above.

Let $\mathcal{B}_+(I) = \text{Borel}(X) \setminus I$ be set of all I -positive Borel sets.

$\text{Perf}(X)$ stands for set of all perfect subsets of X

In most part of presentation X is a real plane \mathbb{R}^2 and $+$ denotes adding vectors.

Definition (Cardinal coefficients)

Let X - Polish space and $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ be σ ideal as above. Then for any $\mathcal{F} \subset I$ let

$$\text{cov}(\mathcal{F}, I) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{F} \wedge \bigcup \mathcal{A} = X\}$$

$$\text{cov}_h(\mathcal{F}, I) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{F} \wedge (\exists B \in \mathcal{B}_+(I)) \bigcup \mathcal{A} = B\}$$

Lines be the set of all lines in \mathbb{R}^2 .

\mathbb{L} σ -ideal of null sets and

\mathbb{K} σ -ideal of all meager subsets of X .

Fact

$$\text{cov}_h(\text{Lines}, \mathbb{L}) = 2^\omega, \text{cov}_h(\text{Lines}, \mathbb{K}) = 2^\omega.$$

Definition (Cardinal coefficients)

Let X - Polish space and $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ be σ ideal as above. Then for any $\mathcal{F} \subset I$ let

$$\text{cov}(\mathcal{F}, I) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{F} \wedge \bigcup \mathcal{A} = X\}$$

$$\text{cov}_h(\mathcal{F}, I) = \min\{|\mathcal{A}| : \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{F} \wedge (\exists B \in \mathcal{B}_+(I)) \bigcup \mathcal{A} = B\}$$

Lines be the set of all lines in \mathbb{R}^2 .

\mathbb{L} σ -ideal of null sets and

\mathbb{K} σ -ideal of all meager subsets of X .

Fact

$$\text{cov}_h(\text{Lines}, \mathbb{L}) = 2^\omega, \text{cov}_h(\text{Lines}, \mathbb{K}) = 2^\omega.$$

Definition (Two-set)

A subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ of the real plane is a two-set iff meets every line in exactly two points.

Theorem (Mazurkiewicz 1914)

There exist a two-set.

Two-sets with a Hamel base

Definition

Let X be any uncountable Polish space. We say that a set $A \subseteq X$ is completely I -nonmeasurable iff

$$(\forall B \in \mathcal{B}_+(X)) A \cap B \neq \emptyset \wedge B \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$$

Note that if $I = [X]^{\leq \omega}$ then A is Bernstein set. Moreover if $I = \mathbb{L}$ then A is completely nonmeasurable subset of X .

Theorem

Let $I \subseteq P(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be any nice σ -ideal with $\text{cov}_h(\text{Lines}, I) = 2^\omega$. Then there exists a two point set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, that is completely I -nonmeasurable Hamel base.

Corollary

There exists a two point set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, that is completely nonmeasurable Hamel base.

Two-sets with a Hamel base

Definition

Let X be any uncountable Polish space. We say that a set $A \subseteq X$ is completely I -nonmeasurable iff

$$(\forall B \in \mathcal{B}_+(X)) A \cap B \neq \emptyset \wedge B \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$$

Note that if $I = [X]^{\leq \omega}$ then A is Bernstein set. Moreover if $I = \mathbb{L}$ then A is completely nonmeasurable subset of X .

Theorem

Let $I \subseteq P(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be any nice σ -ideal with $\text{cov}_h(\text{Lines}, I) = 2^\omega$. Then there exists a two point set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, that is completely I -nonmeasurable Hamel base.

Corollary

There exists a two point set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, that is completely nonmeasurable Hamel base.

Two-sets with a Hamel base

Definition

Let X be any uncountable Polish space. We say that a set $A \subseteq X$ is completely I -nonmeasurable iff

$$(\forall B \in \mathcal{B}_+(X)) A \cap B \neq \emptyset \wedge B \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$$

Note that if $I = [X]^{\leq \omega}$ then A is Bernstein set. Moreover if $I = \mathbb{L}$ then A is completely nonmeasurable subset of X .

Theorem

Let $I \subseteq P(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be any nice σ -ideal with $\text{cov}_h(\text{Lines}, I) = 2^\omega$. Then there exists a two point set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, that is completely I -nonmeasurable Hamel base.

Corollary

There exists a two point set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, that is completely nonmeasurable Hamel base.

Proof

Let $\{L_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ all straight lines in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 ,

let $\{B_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be an enumeration of all positive Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^2

$\{h_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a Hamel base of \mathbb{R}^2 over \mathbb{Q} .

Define $\{A_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 such that for every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$,

1. $|A_\xi| < \omega$;
2. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ does not have three collinear points;
3. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ contains precisely two points of L_ξ ;
4. $B_\xi \cap \bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta \neq \emptyset$;
5. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} ;
6. $h_\xi \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta)$.

Then, the set $A = \bigcup_{\xi < \mathfrak{c}} A_\xi$ will have desired property.

Proof

Let $\{L_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ all straight lines in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 ,
let $\{B_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be an enumeration of all positive Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^2
 $\{h_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a Hamel base of \mathbb{R}^2 over \mathbb{Q} .
Define $\{A_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 such that for every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$,

1. $|A_\xi| < \omega$;
2. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ does not have three collinear points;
3. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ contains precisely two points of L_ξ ;
4. $B_\xi \cap \bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta \neq \emptyset$;
5. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} ;
6. $h_\xi \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta)$.

Then, the set $A = \bigcup_{\xi < \mathfrak{c}} A_\xi$ will have desired property.

Proof

Let $\{L_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ all straight lines in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 ,
let $\{B_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be an enumeration of all positive Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^2
 $\{h_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a Hamel base of \mathbb{R}^2 over \mathbb{Q} .

Define $\{A_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 such that for every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$,

1. $|A_\xi| < \omega$;
2. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ does not have three collinear points;
3. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ contains precisely two points of L_ξ ;
4. $B_\xi \cap \bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta \neq \emptyset$;
5. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} ;
6. $h_\xi \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta)$.

Then, the set $A = \bigcup_{\xi < \mathfrak{c}} A_\xi$ will have desired property.

Proof

Let $\{L_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ all straight lines in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 ,
let $\{B_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be an enumeration of all positive Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^2
 $\{h_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a Hamel base of \mathbb{R}^2 over \mathbb{Q} .
Define $\{A_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 such that for every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$,

1. $|A_\xi| < \omega$;
2. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ does not have three collinear points;
3. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ contains precisely two points of L_ξ ;
4. $B_\xi \cap \bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta \neq \emptyset$;
5. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} ;
6. $h_\xi \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta)$.

Then, the set $A = \bigcup_{\xi < \mathfrak{c}} A_\xi$ will have desired property.

Proof

Let $\{L_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ all straight lines in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 ,
let $\{B_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be an enumeration of all positive Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^2
 $\{h_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a Hamel base of \mathbb{R}^2 over \mathbb{Q} .
Define $\{A_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 such that for every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$,

1. $|A_\xi| < \omega$;
2. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ does not have three collinear points;
3. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ contains precisely two points of L_ξ ;
4. $B_\xi \cap \bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta \neq \emptyset$;
5. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} ;
6. $h_\xi \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta)$.

Then, the set $A = \bigcup_{\xi < \mathfrak{c}} A_\xi$ will have desired property.

Proof

Let $\{L_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ all straight lines in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 ,
let $\{B_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be an enumeration of all positive Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^2
 $\{h_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a Hamel base of \mathbb{R}^2 over \mathbb{Q} .
Define $\{A_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 such that for every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$,

1. $|A_\xi| < \omega$;
2. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ does not have three collinear points;
3. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ contains precisely two points of L_ξ ;
4. $B_\xi \cap \bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta \neq \emptyset$;
5. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} ;
6. $h_\xi \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta)$.

Then, the set $A = \bigcup_{\xi < \mathfrak{c}} A_\xi$ will have desired property.

Proof

Let $\{L_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ all straight lines in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 ,
let $\{B_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be an enumeration of all positive Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^2
 $\{h_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a Hamel base of \mathbb{R}^2 over \mathbb{Q} .
Define $\{A_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 such that for every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$,

1. $|A_\xi| < \omega$;
2. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ does not have three collinear points;
3. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ contains precisely two points of L_ξ ;
4. $B_\xi \cap \bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta \neq \emptyset$;
5. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} ;
6. $h_\xi \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta)$.

Then, the set $A = \bigcup_{\xi < \mathfrak{c}} A_\xi$ will have desired property.

Proof

Let $\{L_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ all straight lines in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 ,
let $\{B_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be an enumeration of all positive Borel sets in \mathbb{R}^2
 $\{h_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a Hamel base of \mathbb{R}^2 over \mathbb{Q} .
Define $\{A_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 such that for every $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$,

1. $|A_\xi| < \omega$;
2. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ does not have three collinear points;
3. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ contains precisely two points of L_ξ ;
4. $B_\xi \cap \bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta \neq \emptyset$;
5. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} ;
6. $h_\xi \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta)$.

Then, the set $A = \bigcup_{\xi < \mathfrak{c}} A_\xi$ will have desired property.

Marczewski ideal

Definition

If X is Polish space then $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is s_0 -Marczewski iff

$$(\forall P \in \text{Perf}(X))(\exists Q \in \text{Perf}(X)) \quad Q \subseteq P \wedge Q \cap A = \emptyset$$

and $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is s -Marczewski (s -measurable) iff

$$(\forall P \in \text{Perf}(X))(\exists Q \in \text{Perf}(X)) \quad Q \subseteq P \wedge (Q \cap A = \emptyset \vee Q \subseteq A).$$

Theorem

There exists a two point set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, that is s_0 -Marczewski.

Marczewski ideal

Definition

If X is Polish space then $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is s_0 -Marczewski iff

$$(\forall P \in \text{Perf}(X))(\exists Q \in \text{Perf}(X)) \quad Q \subseteq P \wedge Q \cap A = \emptyset$$

and $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is s -Marczewski (s -measurable) iff

$$(\forall P \in \text{Perf}(X))(\exists Q \in \text{Perf}(X)) \quad Q \subseteq P \wedge (Q \cap A = \emptyset \vee Q \subseteq A).$$

Theorem

There exists a two point set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, that is s_0 -Marczewski.

Definition (Partial two-set)

We say that $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is a partial two-set iff meets every line at most two times.

It is well known that the unit circle is a partial two-set which cannot be extended to two-set.

Theorem

There exists a two point set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, that is s -nonmeasurable. Moreover A contains a subset of the unit circle of full outer measure.

Proof.

Let C be a unit circle, $Lines = \{l_\xi : \xi < c\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_+(C, \mathbb{L}) = \{P_\xi : \xi < c\}$. Define a sequences $\{A_\xi : \xi < c\}$ $\{y_\xi : \xi < c\}$ s.t. for every $\xi < c$

1. $|A_\xi| < \omega$;
2. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ does not contain three collinear points;
3. $\bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta$ contains precisely two points of L_ξ ;
4. $P_\xi \cap \bigcup_{\zeta \leq \xi} A_\zeta \neq \emptyset$;
5. $y_\xi \in P_\xi$;
6. $A_\xi \cap \{y_\zeta : \zeta \leq \xi\} = \emptyset$.

Then $A = \bigcup_{\xi < c} A_\xi$ is required set.

Iso-covering set

Definition (κ -set)

We say that $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is an κ -set iff every line meets exactly in κ -points.

Definition (κ -iso cov)

We say that $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is κ -iso cov set iff for every $X \in [\mathbb{R}^2]^\kappa$ there exist isometry g on the real plane such that $g[X] \subseteq A$.

Theorem

For $n \geq 2$ there exists n -set which is not 2-iso cov set

Theorem

For $n \geq 2$ there exist n -set which is n -iso cov set

Theorem

There exists ω -set which is not 2-iso cov set

Theorem

There exists ω -set which is ω -iso cov set

Theorem

For $n \geq 2$ there exists n -set which is not 2-iso cov set

Theorem

For $n \geq 2$ there exist n -set which is n -iso cov set

Theorem

There exists ω -set which is not 2-iso cov set

Theorem

There exists ω -set which is ω -iso cov set

Decomposition of two-sets

Theorem

Every two-set can be decomposed onto two bijections of the real line \mathbb{R} .

Theorem

There exists a null and meager two-set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ s.t. every Lebesgue measurable function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cannot be contained in A .

and

Theorem

There exists a null and meager two-set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ s.t. every Baire measurable function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cannot be contained in A .

Decomposition of two-sets

Theorem

Every two-set can be decomposed onto two bijections of the real line \mathbb{R} .

Theorem

There exists a null and meager two-set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ s.t. every Lebesgue measurable function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cannot be contained in A .

and

Theorem

There exists a null and meager two-set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ s.t. every Baire measurable function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cannot be contained in A .

Two-set vs. Luzin set

Fact

Any two-set cannot be

- ▶ *Bernstein set*
- ▶ *Luzin set and*
- ▶ *Sierpiński set.*

Proof.

- 1) Each line L is a perfect set such that $|A \cap L| = 2$, so A cannot be Bernstein.
- 2) Let M be a perfect meager subset of \mathbb{R} . Then $M \times \mathbb{R}$ is meager and $|(M \times \mathbb{R}) \cap A| = 2|M| = \mathfrak{c}$.
- 3) Let N be a perfect null subset of \mathbb{R} . Then $N \times \mathbb{R}$ is null and $|(N \times \mathbb{R}) \cap A| = 2|N| = \mathfrak{c}$. □

Theorem

Assume CH then

- 1. there exists partial two point set A that is Luzin set,*
- 2. there exists partial two point set B that is Sierpiński set.*

Partial two-sets with combinatorial properties

Definition (ad family)

The set $\mathcal{A} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ is almost disjoint family (ad) iff any two distinct members of \mathcal{A} has finite intersection.

\mathcal{A} is (mad) iff \mathcal{A} is a maximal respect to the \subseteq .

Definition (Eventually different functions)

We say that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \omega^\omega$ is eventually different family in Baire space ω^ω iff every two distinct members $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ are equal only on the finite subset of the ω .

\mathcal{A} is maximal eventually different family iff \mathcal{A} is a maximal respect to the inclusion relation.

Theorem (CH)

Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ be a standard Borel bijection. Then there exist the partial two-point set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ on the real plane such that

$\{h(\pi_i(x)) \in \omega^\omega : x \in A \wedge i \in \{0, 1\}\}$ - max. eventually different.

where π_i are projections onto i -th axis.

Remark

The same result is about mad family instead maximal eventually different functions family.

Theorem (CH)

Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \omega^\omega$ be a standard Borel bijection. Then there exist the partial two-point set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ on the real plane such that

$\{h(\pi_i(x)) \in \omega^\omega : x \in A \wedge i \in \{0, 1\}\}$ - max. eventually different.

where π_i are projections onto i -th axis.

Remark

The same result is about mad family instead maximal eventually different functions family.

Proof

Consider sequence $(M_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1)$ the increasing continuous chain of the countable subsets of \mathbb{R} with $\mathbb{R} \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} M_\alpha$

Let us construct the transfinite sequence $(A_\alpha, F_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1$ s.t.

1. $(\forall \alpha < \omega_1) A_\alpha = \{x_\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \xi < \alpha\} \in M_\alpha$ is a partially two-point set,
2. $(\forall \alpha < \omega_1) F_\alpha = \{h \circ \pi_i(x_\xi) : x_\xi \in A_\alpha \wedge i \in \{1, 2\}\}$ forms family eventually different functions,
3. $(\forall \alpha < \omega_1)(\forall u \in M_\alpha \cap (\omega^\omega \setminus F_\alpha))(\exists v \in F_{\alpha+1}) |u \cap v| = \omega$.

.. Proof

Correctness: let us assume that A_α is build at $\alpha < \omega_1$ step.

Enumerate $(\omega^\omega \setminus F_\alpha) \cap M_\alpha = \{y_n : n \in \omega\}$.

In H_κ model we can to construct the sequence $x_n : n \in \omega$ as follows if $\{x_k : k < n\}$ is build then we can choose x_n such that

for any u if $u \in F_\alpha \cup \{x_k : k < n\}$ then

$$|h(x_n) \cap u| < \omega \wedge (h^{-1}(x_n), h^{-1}(y_n)) \notin W_\alpha$$

where $W_\alpha = \{I \in \text{lines} : |I \cap Z_\alpha| = 2\}$ and

$$Z_\alpha = \{(x, y) : x, y \in h^{-1}[F_\alpha] \cup \{x_k : k < n\} \cup \{y_n : n \in \omega\} \wedge x \neq y\}.$$

Using properties (1), (2) and (3) it is easy to show that

$A = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} A_\alpha$ fulfil the assertion of this Theorem.

Here we adopt the proof of the Kunen Theorem about existence of the indestructible mad family (see [Ku] for example).

Theorem

It is consistent with ZFC theory that $\neg CH$ and there exists partial two-set for which the image of the set of all coordinates forms the mad family size ω_1 by standard bijection $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow P(\omega)$.

Theorem

It is consistent that $\neg CH$ and

$$(\exists C \in [\mathbb{R}^2]^{\omega_2})(\exists A \in \mathbb{L})(\exists D_1 \in [C]^{\omega_1})$$

s.t

$$A + D_1 = \mathbb{R}^2 \wedge C \text{ is partial two-set.}$$

Moreover the set C is a Luzin set.

Proof

Let V - ground model with CH .

Now $\mathbb{P} = Fn(\omega_2, 2)$ be forcing adding independently $c_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2$ Cohen points on the \mathbb{R}^2 .

If $\alpha < \beta < \gamma < \omega_2$ then c_γ is Cohen over c_α and c_β .

Then $c_\gamma \notin I_{\alpha,\beta}$ where $c_\alpha, c_\beta \in I_{\alpha,\beta}$ forms line $I_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathbb{K}$.

We see that $C = \{c_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2\}$ is partial two set.

\mathcal{C} is Luzin:

Let G be \mathbb{P} -generic ultrafilter over V .

Take $x \in \omega^\omega \cap V[G]$ be any Borel code for a meager subset of \mathbb{R}^2 .

Find $I \in [\omega_2]^\omega$ and nice name $\check{x} \in V^{Fn(I,2)}$ for x .

Define

$$G_I = \{p \in Fn(I, 2) : p \in G\} \quad G_{\omega_2 \setminus I} = \{p \in Fn(\omega \setminus I, 2) : p \in G\}.$$

Then

- ▶ $V[G] = V[G_I][G_{\omega_2 \setminus I}]$
- ▶ $x \in V[G_I]$ and
- ▶ for any $\alpha \in \omega_2 \setminus I$ $c_\alpha \in V[G] \setminus V[G_I]$ is Cohen over $V[G_I]$.

Then $\mathcal{C} \cap \#x \subseteq \{c_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is countable.

... Proof

Consider a Marczewski decomposition $A \cup B = \mathbb{R}^2$
where $A \in \mathbb{L}$, $B \in \mathbb{K}$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

Choose $D \in V[G] \cap [\omega_2]^{\omega_1}$ and $x \in V[G]$

Then by *c.c.c.* of $Fn(\omega_2, 2)$ we have

- ▶ $\exists D_1 \in V \cap [\omega_2]^{\omega_1}$ $D \subseteq D_1$ and
- ▶ $(\exists I \in [\omega_2]^\omega)$ $V[G] = V[G_I][G_{\omega_2 \setminus I}]$ and $x \in V[G_I]$
- ▶ $(\forall \alpha \in D \setminus I)$ $c_\alpha \in A - \{x\}$

Then finally in $V[G]$ we have $\mathbb{R}^2 \subseteq A - C_{D_1 \setminus I}$ where
 $C_{D_1 \setminus I} = \{c_\alpha \in C : \alpha \in D_1 \setminus I\}$.

Thank You

References

-  Carlson T.J., Strong measure zero and strongly meager sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 No. 2 (1993), 577–586.
-  Ciesielski, Krzysztof, Set theory for the working mathematician. London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 39. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. xii+236 pp. ISBN: 0-521-59441-3; 0-521-59465-0.
-  Dijkstra, J.J., Kunen K., van Mill J., Hausdorff measures and two point set extensions, Fund. Math., 157 (1998), 43–60.
-  Dijkstra, J.J. and van Mill J., Two point set extensions - a counterexample, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), 2501–2502.
-  Kunen K., Set Theory, An Introduction to Independence Proofs, North Holland, Amsterdam, New York, London (1980),

-  Larman, D. G., A problem of incidence. *J. London Math. Soc.* 43 1968 407–409.
-  Mauldin, R. D., On sets which meet each line in exactly two points. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 30 (1998), no. 4, 397–403.
-  Mazurkiewicz S., O pewnej mnogości płaskiej, która ma z każdą prostą dwa i tylko dwa punkty wspólne (Polish), *Comptes Rendus des Séances de la Société des Sciences et Lettres de Varsovie* 7 (1914), 382–384; French transl.: Sur un ensemble plan qui a avec chaque droite deux et seulement deux points communs, in: Stefan Mazurkiewicz, *Travaux de Topologie et ses Applications* (K. Borsuk et al., eds.), PWN, Warsaw, 1969, pp. 46–47.

-  Miller, A. W., Infinite combinatorics and definability. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* 41 (1989), no. 2, 179–203.
-  Schmerl, James H., Some 2-point sets. *Fund. Math.* 208 (2010), no. 1, 87–91.
-  Walsh, J.T., Marczewski sets, measure and the Baire property, *Fund. Math.*, 129 (1988), 83–89.