

Marek Bienias

Independent Bernstein sets and algebraic constructions

Joint with Artur Bartoszewicz and Szymon Głąb (Technical University of Lodz)

Introduction

Background

Recently it has become a trend in Mathematical Analysis to look for large algebraic structures (infinite dimensional vector spaces, closed infinite dimensional vector spaces, algebras) of functions on \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} that have certain properties.

Introduction

Background

Recently it has become a trend in Mathematical Analysis to look for large algebraic structures (infinite dimensional vector spaces, closed infinite dimensional vector spaces, algebras) of functions on \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} that have certain properties.

The notion of algebrability has its origin in works of Aron, Pérez-García and Seoane-Sepulvéda and the following is a slightly simplified version of their definition.

Definition (Aron, Pérez-García and Seoane-Sepulvéda)

Let \mathcal{L} be an algebra. A set $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ is said to be β -algebrable if there exists an algebra \mathcal{B} so that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq A \cup \{0\}$ and $\text{card}(Z) = \beta$, where β is cardinal number and Z is a minimal system of generators of \mathcal{B} . Here, by $Z = \{z_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a minimal system of generators of \mathcal{B} , we mean that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}(Z)$ is the algebra generated by Z , and for every $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$, $z_{\alpha_0} \notin \mathcal{A}(Z \setminus \{z_{\alpha_0}\})$. We also say that A is algebrable if A is β -algebrable for β -infinite.

The notion of algebrability has its origin in works of Aron, Pérez-García and Seoane-Sepulvéda and the following is a slightly simplified version of their definition.

Definition (Aron, Pérez-García and Seoane-Sepulvéda)

Let \mathcal{L} be an algebra. A set $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ is said to be β -algebrable if there exists an algebra \mathcal{B} so that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq A \cup \{0\}$ and $\text{card}(Z) = \beta$, where β is cardinal number and Z is a minimal system of generators of \mathcal{B} . Here, by $Z = \{z_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a minimal system of generators of \mathcal{B} , we mean that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}(Z)$ is the algebra generated by Z , and for every $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$, $z_{\alpha_0} \notin \mathcal{A}(Z \setminus \{z_{\alpha_0}\})$. We also say that A is algebrable if A is β -algebrable for β -infinite.

The notion of algebrability has its origin in works of Aron, Pérez-García and Seoane-Sepulvéda and the following is a slightly simplified version of their definition.

Definition (Aron, Pérez-García and Seoane-Sepulvéda)

Let \mathcal{L} be an algebra. A set $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ is said to be β -algebrable if there exists an algebra \mathcal{B} so that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq A \cup \{0\}$ and $\text{card}(Z) = \beta$, where β is cardinal number and Z is a minimal system of generators of \mathcal{B} . Here, by $Z = \{z_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a minimal system of generators of \mathcal{B} , we mean that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}(Z)$ is the algebra generated by Z , and for every $\alpha_0 \in \Lambda$, $z_{\alpha_0} \notin \mathcal{A}(Z \setminus \{z_{\alpha_0}\})$. We also say that A is algebrable if A is β -algebrable for β -infinite.

We study the following classes of functions:

- Perfectly everywhere surjective (\mathcal{PES}), strongly everywhere surjective (\mathcal{SES}) and everywhere discontinuous Darboux (\mathcal{EDD}) functions;
- Everywhere discontinuous functions that have finitely many values (\mathcal{EDF}) and everywhere discontinuous compact to compact functions (\mathcal{EDC});
- Functions that are continuous in fixed closed set C .

We study the following classes of functions:

- Perfectly everywhere surjective (\mathcal{PES}), strongly everywhere surjective (\mathcal{SES}) and everywhere discontinuous Darboux (\mathcal{EDD}) functions;
- Everywhere discontinuous functions that have finitely many values (\mathcal{EDF}) and everywhere discontinuous compact to compact functions (\mathcal{EDC});
- Functions that are continuous in fixed closed set C .

We study the following classes of functions:

- Perfectly everywhere surjective (\mathcal{PES}), strongly everywhere surjective (\mathcal{SES}) and everywhere discontinuous Darboux (\mathcal{EDD}) functions;
- Everywhere discontinuous functions that have finitely many values (\mathcal{EDF}) and everywhere discontinuous compact to compact functions (\mathcal{EDC});
- Functions that are continuous in fixed closed set C .

We study the following classes of functions:

- Perfectly everywhere surjective (\mathcal{PES}), strongly everywhere surjective (\mathcal{SES}) and everywhere discontinuous Darboux (\mathcal{EDD}) functions;
- Everywhere discontinuous functions that have finitely many values (\mathcal{EDF}) and everywhere discontinuous compact to compact functions (\mathcal{EDC});
- Functions that are continuous in fixed closed set C .

Independent family of sets

Let \mathcal{B} be a family of subsets of a set X . We say that the family \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{B} -independent iff

$$A_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cap \dots \cap A_n^{\varepsilon_n} \in \mathcal{B}$$

for any distinct $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$, any $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $A^0 = X \setminus A$ and $A^1 = A$.

There is an independent family of 2^κ many subsets of 2^κ .

Let $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a decomposition of \mathbb{R} into disjoint Bernstein sets.

Let $\{N_\xi : \xi < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\}$ be an independent family in \mathfrak{c} such that for every $\xi_1 < \dots < \xi_n < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ and for any $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ the set $N_{\xi_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cap \dots \cap N_{\xi_n}^{\varepsilon_n}$ is nonempty and has cardinality \mathfrak{c} .

Independent family of sets

Let \mathcal{B} be a family of subsets of a set X . We say that the family \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{B} -independent iff

$$A_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cap \dots \cap A_n^{\varepsilon_n} \in \mathcal{B}$$

for any distinct $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$, any $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $A^0 = X \setminus A$ and $A^1 = A$.

There is an independent family of 2^κ many subsets of 2^κ .

Let $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a decomposition of \mathbb{R} into disjoint Bernstein sets.

Let $\{N_\xi : \xi < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\}$ be an independent family in \mathfrak{c} such that for every $\xi_1 < \dots < \xi_n < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ and for any $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ the set $N_{\xi_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cap \dots \cap N_{\xi_n}^{\varepsilon_n}$ is nonempty and has cardinality \mathfrak{c} .

Independent family of sets

Let \mathcal{B} be a family of subsets of a set X . We say that the family \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{B} -independent iff

$$A_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cap \dots \cap A_n^{\varepsilon_n} \in \mathcal{B}$$

for any distinct $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$, any $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $A^0 = X \setminus A$ and $A^1 = A$.

There is an independent family of 2^κ many subsets of κ .

Let $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a decomposition of \mathbb{R} into disjoint Bernstein sets.

Let $\{N_\xi : \xi < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\}$ be an independent family in \mathfrak{c} such that for every $\xi_1 < \dots < \xi_n < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ and for any $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ the set $N_{\xi_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cap \dots \cap N_{\xi_n}^{\varepsilon_n}$ is nonempty and has cardinality \mathfrak{c} .

Independent family of sets

Let \mathcal{B} be a family of subsets of a set X . We say that the family \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{B} -independent iff

$$A_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cap \dots \cap A_n^{\varepsilon_n} \in \mathcal{B}$$

for any distinct $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$, any $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $A^0 = X \setminus A$ and $A^1 = A$.

There is an independent family of 2^κ many subsets of 2^κ .

Let $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a decomposition of \mathbb{R} into disjoint Bernstein sets.

Let $\{N_\xi : \xi < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\}$ be an independent family in \mathfrak{c} such that for every $\xi_1 < \dots < \xi_n < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ and for any $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ the set $N_{\xi_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cap \dots \cap N_{\xi_n}^{\varepsilon_n}$ is nonempty and has cardinality \mathfrak{c} .

Independent family of Bernstein sets of cardinality 2^c

For $\xi < 2^c$ put

$$B^\xi = \bigcup_{\alpha \in N_\xi} B_\alpha.$$

Then every set B^ξ is Bernstein. Note that for every $\xi_1 < \dots < \xi_n < 2^c$ and any $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$ the set

$$(B^{\xi_1})^{\varepsilon_1} \cap \dots \cap (B^{\xi_n})^{\varepsilon_n} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in N_{\xi_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cap \dots \cap N_{\xi_n}^{\varepsilon_n}} B_\alpha$$

is a Bernstein. That means $\{B^\xi : \xi < 2^c\}$ is the independent family of Bernstein sets.

Let for $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$, $g_\alpha : B_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (or \mathbb{R}) be a non-zero function. Let us put

$$f_\xi(x) = \begin{cases} g_\alpha(x), & \text{when } x \in B_\alpha \text{ and } \alpha \in N_\xi \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the family $\{f_\xi : \xi < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\}$ is linearly independent.

Remark

Let P be any non-zero polynomial without constant term and consider the function $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$. Let

$$P_s(x) = P(\varepsilon_1 \cdot x, \dots, \varepsilon_n \cdot x), s = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$$

Let us observe here that the function $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})|_{B_\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in N_{\xi_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cap \dots \cap N_{\xi_n}^{\varepsilon_n}$ is of the form

$$P(\varepsilon_1 \cdot g_\alpha, \dots, \varepsilon_n \cdot g_\alpha) = P_s(g_\alpha)$$

Remark

Then we have two possibilities.

- (i) Either at least one of the functions $P_s(x)$ for $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$ is a non-zero polynomial of one variable. If P_s is non-zero, where $s = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$, then the function $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is non-zero on the Bernstein set of the form

$$(B^{\xi_1})^{\varepsilon_1} \cap (B^{\xi_2})^{\varepsilon_2} \cap \dots \cap (B^{\xi_n})^{\varepsilon_n}.$$

- (ii) Or every function of a type $P_s(x)$ is a zero function, and then $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is zero function.

Span the algebra by the functions $\{f_\xi : \xi < 2^c\}$ and we get an algebra of 2^c many generators.

Remark

Then we have two possibilities.

- (i) Either at least one of the functions $P_s(x)$ for $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$ is a non-zero polynomial of one variable. If P_s is non-zero, where $s = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$, then the function $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is non-zero on the Bernstein set of the form

$$(B^{\xi_1})^{\varepsilon_1} \cap (B^{\xi_2})^{\varepsilon_2} \cap \dots \cap (B^{\xi_n})^{\varepsilon_n}.$$

- (ii) Or every function of a type $P_s(x)$ is a zero function, and then $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is zero function.

Span the algebra by the functions $\{f_\xi : \xi < 2^c\}$ and we get an algebra of 2^c many generators.

Remark

Then we have two possibilities.

- (i) Either at least one of the functions $P_s(x)$ for $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$ is a non-zero polynomial of one variable. If P_s is non-zero, where $s = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$, then the function $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is non-zero on the Bernstein set of the form

$$(B^{\xi_1})^{\varepsilon_1} \cap (B^{\xi_2})^{\varepsilon_2} \cap \dots \cap (B^{\xi_n})^{\varepsilon_n}.$$

- (ii) Or every function of a type $P_s(x)$ is a zero function, and then $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is zero function.

Span the algebra by the functions $\{f_\xi : \xi < 2^c\}$ and we get an algebra of 2^c many generators.

Remark

Then we have two possibilities.

- (i) Either at least one of the functions $P_s(x)$ for $s \in \{0, 1\}^n$ is a non-zero polynomial of one variable. If P_s is non-zero, where $s = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$, then the function $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is non-zero on the Bernstein set of the form

$$(B^{\xi_1})^{\varepsilon_1} \cap (B^{\xi_2})^{\varepsilon_2} \cap \dots \cap (B^{\xi_n})^{\varepsilon_n}.$$

- (ii) Or every function of a type $P_s(x)$ is a zero function, and then $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is zero function.

Span the algebra by the functions $\{f_\xi : \xi < 2^c\}$ and we get an algebra of 2^c many generators.

\mathbb{K} is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . The function $f : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is called:

- perfectly everywhere surjective ($\mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{K})$) iff for every perfect set $P \subseteq \mathbb{K}$, $f(P) = \mathbb{K}$;
- strongly everywhere surjective ($\mathcal{SES}(\mathbb{K})$) iff it takes every real or complex value c times on any interval.

The real function is an everywhere discontinuous Darboux function ($\mathcal{EDD}(\mathbb{R})$) iff it is nowhere continuous and maps connected sets to connected sets.

Proposition

Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ be a Bernstein set. There exist a function $f \in \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{K})$ that is 0 on the set B^0 .

\mathbb{K} is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . The function $f : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is called:

- perfectly everywhere surjective ($\mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{K})$) iff for every perfect set $P \subseteq \mathbb{K}$, $f(P) = \mathbb{K}$;
- strongly everywhere surjective ($\mathcal{SES}(\mathbb{K})$) iff it takes every real or complex value c times on any interval.

The real function is an everywhere discontinuous Darboux function ($\mathcal{EDD}(\mathbb{R})$) iff it is nowhere continuous and maps connected sets to connected sets.

Proposition

Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ be a Bernstein set. There exist a function $f \in \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{K})$ that is 0 on the set B^0 .

\mathbb{K} is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . The function $f : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is called:

- perfectly everywhere surjective ($\mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{K})$) iff for every perfect set $P \subseteq \mathbb{K}$, $f(P) = \mathbb{K}$;
- strongly everywhere surjective ($\mathcal{SES}(\mathbb{K})$) iff it takes every real or complex value c times on any interval.

The real function is an everywhere discontinuous Darboux function ($\mathcal{EDD}(\mathbb{R})$) iff it is nowhere continuous and maps connected sets to connected sets.

Proposition

Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ be a Bernstein set. There exist a function $f \in \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{K})$ that is 0 on the set B^0 .

\mathbb{K} is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . The function $f : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is called:

- perfectly everywhere surjective ($\mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{K})$) iff for every perfect set $P \subseteq \mathbb{K}$, $f(P) = \mathbb{K}$;
- strongly everywhere surjective ($\mathcal{SES}(\mathbb{K})$) iff it takes every real or complex value c times on any interval.

The real function is an everywhere discontinuous Darboux function ($\mathcal{EDD}(\mathbb{R})$) iff it is nowhere continuous and maps connected sets to connected sets.

Proposition

Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ be a Bernstein set. There exist a function $f \in \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{K})$ that is 0 on the set B^0 .

\mathbb{K} is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . The function $f : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is called:

- perfectly everywhere surjective ($\mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{K})$) iff for every perfect set $P \subseteq \mathbb{K}$, $f(P) = \mathbb{K}$;
- strongly everywhere surjective ($\mathcal{SES}(\mathbb{K})$) iff it takes every real or complex value c times on any interval.

The real function is an everywhere discontinuous Darboux function ($\mathcal{EDD}(\mathbb{R})$) iff it is nowhere continuous and maps connected sets to connected sets.

Proposition

Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ be a Bernstein set. There exist a function $f \in \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{K})$ that is 0 on the set B^0 .

proof (Sketch)

Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ be a Bernstein set and $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ an enumeration of all perfect sets in \mathbb{K} and $\mathbb{K} = \{y_\beta : \beta < \mathfrak{c}\}$.

Then for every $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ cardinality of $B_\alpha = P_\alpha \cap B$ is continuum.

Enumerate a product $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \times \{y_\beta : \beta < \mathfrak{c}\}$ as $\{A_\gamma : \gamma < \mathfrak{c}\}$, where $A_\gamma = (B_\gamma, y_\gamma)$.

Choose $x_0 \in B_0$ and put $f(x_0) = y_0$.

Assume that for some $\zeta < \mathfrak{c}$ the points $\{x_\eta : \eta < \zeta\}$ were chosen satisfying $x_\eta \in B_\eta \setminus \{x_\xi : \xi < \zeta\}$ for every $\eta < \zeta$ with $f(x_\eta) = y_\eta$ for every $\eta < \zeta$.

Put $X = \{x_\eta : \eta < \zeta\}$ then $|X| < \mathfrak{c}$. So there exists a point $x_\zeta \in B_\zeta \setminus X$ and define $f(x_\zeta) = y_\zeta$. By putting $f(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{x_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ we are done.

proof (Sketch)

Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ be a Bernstein set and $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ an enumeration of all perfect sets in \mathbb{K} and $\mathbb{K} = \{y_\beta : \beta < \mathfrak{c}\}$.

Then for every $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ cardinality of $B_\alpha = P_\alpha \cap B$ is continuum.

Enumerate a product $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \times \{y_\beta : \beta < \mathfrak{c}\}$ as $\{A_\gamma : \gamma < \mathfrak{c}\}$, where $A_\gamma = (B_\gamma, y_\gamma)$.

Choose $x_0 \in B_0$ and put $f(x_0) = y_0$.

Assume that for some $\zeta < \mathfrak{c}$ the points $\{x_\eta : \eta < \zeta\}$ were chosen satisfying $x_\eta \in B_\eta \setminus \{x_\xi : \xi < \zeta\}$ for every $\eta < \zeta$ with $f(x_\eta) = y_\eta$ for every $\eta < \zeta$.

Put $X = \{x_\eta : \eta < \zeta\}$ then $|X| < \mathfrak{c}$. So there exists a point $x_\zeta \in B_\zeta \setminus X$ and define $f(x_\zeta) = y_\zeta$. By putting $f(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{x_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ we are done.

proof (Sketch)

Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ be a Bernstein set and $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ an enumeration of all perfect sets in \mathbb{K} and $\mathbb{K} = \{y_\beta : \beta < \mathfrak{c}\}$.

Then for every $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ cardinality of $B_\alpha = P_\alpha \cap B$ is continuum.

Enumerate a product $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \times \{y_\beta : \beta < \mathfrak{c}\}$ as $\{A_\gamma : \gamma < \mathfrak{c}\}$, where $A_\gamma = (B_\gamma, y_\gamma)$.

Choose $x_0 \in B_0$ and put $f(x_0) = y_0$.

Assume that for some $\zeta < \mathfrak{c}$ the points $\{x_\eta : \eta < \zeta\}$ were chosen satisfying $x_\eta \in B_\eta \setminus \{x_\xi : \xi < \zeta\}$ for every $\eta < \zeta$ with $f(x_\eta) = y_\eta$ for every $\eta < \zeta$.

Put $X = \{x_\eta : \eta < \zeta\}$ then $|X| < \mathfrak{c}$. So there exists a point $x_\zeta \in B_\zeta \setminus X$ and define $f(x_\zeta) = y_\zeta$. By putting $f(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{x_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ we are done.

proof (Sketch)

Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ be a Bernstein set and $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ an enumeration of all perfect sets in \mathbb{K} and $\mathbb{K} = \{y_\beta : \beta < \mathfrak{c}\}$.

Then for every $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ cardinality of $B_\alpha = P_\alpha \cap B$ is continuum.

Enumerate a product $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \times \{y_\beta : \beta < \mathfrak{c}\}$ as $\{A_\gamma : \gamma < \mathfrak{c}\}$, where $A_\gamma = (B_\gamma, y_\gamma)$.

Choose $x_0 \in B_0$ and put $f(x_0) = y_0$.

Assume that for some $\zeta < \mathfrak{c}$ the points $\{x_\eta : \eta < \zeta\}$ were chosen satisfying $x_\eta \in B_\eta \setminus \{x_\xi : \xi < \zeta\}$ for every $\eta < \zeta$ with $f(x_\eta) = y_\eta$ for every $\eta < \zeta$.

Put $X = \{x_\eta : \eta < \zeta\}$ then $|X| < \mathfrak{c}$. So there exists a point $x_\zeta \in B_\zeta \setminus X$ and define $f(x_\zeta) = y_\zeta$. By putting $f(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{x_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ we are done.

proof (Sketch)

Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ be a Bernstein set and $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ an enumeration of all perfect sets in \mathbb{K} and $\mathbb{K} = \{y_\beta : \beta < \mathfrak{c}\}$.

Then for every $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ cardinality of $B_\alpha = P_\alpha \cap B$ is continuum.

Enumerate a product $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \times \{y_\beta : \beta < \mathfrak{c}\}$ as $\{A_\gamma : \gamma < \mathfrak{c}\}$, where $A_\gamma = (B_\gamma, y_\gamma)$.

Choose $x_0 \in B_0$ and put $f(x_0) = y_0$.

Assume that for some $\zeta < \mathfrak{c}$ the points $\{x_\eta : \eta < \zeta\}$ were chosen satisfying $x_\eta \in B_\eta \setminus \{x_\xi : \xi < \zeta\}$ for every $\eta < \zeta$ with $f(x_\eta) = y_\eta$ for every $\eta < \zeta$.

Put $X = \{x_\eta : \eta < \zeta\}$ then $|X| < \mathfrak{c}$. So there exists a point $x_\zeta \in B_\zeta \setminus X$ and define $f(x_\zeta) = y_\zeta$. By putting $f(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{x_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ we are done.

proof (Sketch)

Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ be a Bernstein set and $\{P_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ an enumeration of all perfect sets in \mathbb{K} and $\mathbb{K} = \{y_\beta : \beta < \mathfrak{c}\}$.

Then for every $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ cardinality of $B_\alpha = P_\alpha \cap B$ is continuum.

Enumerate a product $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \times \{y_\beta : \beta < \mathfrak{c}\}$ as $\{A_\gamma : \gamma < \mathfrak{c}\}$, where $A_\gamma = (B_\gamma, y_\gamma)$.

Choose $x_0 \in B_0$ and put $f(x_0) = y_0$.

Assume that for some $\zeta < \mathfrak{c}$ the points $\{x_\eta : \eta < \zeta\}$ were chosen satisfying $x_\eta \in B_\eta \setminus \{x_\xi : \xi < \zeta\}$ for every $\eta < \zeta$ with $f(x_\eta) = y_\eta$ for every $\eta < \zeta$.

Put $X = \{x_\eta : \eta < \zeta\}$ then $|X| < \mathfrak{c}$. So there exists a point $x_\zeta \in B_\zeta \setminus X$ and define $f(x_\zeta) = y_\zeta$. By putting $f(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{x_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ we are done.

The following theorems hold and the proof is using a family of independent Bernstein sets.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{SES}(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{EDD}(\mathbb{R})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

The following theorems hold and the proof is using a family of independent Bernstein sets.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{SES}(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{EDD}(\mathbb{R})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

The following theorems hold and the proof is using a family of independent Bernstein sets.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{SES}(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{EDD}(\mathbb{R})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

The following theorems hold and the proof is using a family of independent Bernstein sets.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{SES}(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{EDD}(\mathbb{R})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

$\mathcal{EDF}(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all nowhere continuous real functions with $|f(\mathbb{R})| < \omega$.

$\mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all nowhere continuous compact-to-compact functions.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{EDF}(\mathbb{R})$ is 2^c -algebrable but it is not strongly 1-algebrable.

Corollary

The set $\mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

$\mathcal{EDF}(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all nowhere continuous real functions with $|f(\mathbb{R})| < \omega$.

$\mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all nowhere continuous compact-to-compact functions.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{EDF}(\mathbb{R})$ is 2^c -algebrable but it is not strongly 1-algebrable.

Corollary

The set $\mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

$\mathcal{EDF}(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all nowhere continuous real functions with $|f(\mathbb{R})| < \omega$.

$\mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of all nowhere continuous compact-to-compact functions.

Theorem

The set $\mathcal{EDF}(\mathbb{R})$ is 2^c -algebrable but it is not strongly 1-algebrable.

Corollary

The set $\mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ is 2^c -algebrable.

Let $C \subsetneq \mathbb{R}$ be a fixed closed subset of \mathbb{R} . We consider functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are continuous only in the points of C .

Theorem

The set of all functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are continuous only in the points of C is 2^c -algebrable.

Let $C \subsetneq \mathbb{R}$ be a fixed closed subset of \mathbb{R} . We consider functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are continuous only in the points of C .

Theorem

The set of all functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are continuous only in the points of C is 2^c -algebrable.

proof (Sketch)

Let $[1, 2] = \{r_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ and

$g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $g(x) = d(x, C)$. Then g is zero only on the set C .

Put $g_\alpha(x) = r_\alpha \cdot g(x)$ and f_ξ as in the general method.

If each function $P_s(x)$ is zero then $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is zero function.

If $P_{s_0}(x)$ is non-zero for some $s_0 \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Then $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is continuous in any point of C and suppose that is continuous in a point $x_0 \notin C$.

proof (Sketch)

Let $[1, 2] = \{r_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ and

$g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $g(x) = d(x, C)$. Then g is zero only on the set C .

Put $g_\alpha(x) = r_\alpha \cdot g(x)$ and f_ξ as in the general method.

If each function $P_s(x)$ is zero then $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is zero function.
If $P_{s_0}(x)$ is non-zero for some $s_0 \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Then $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is continuous in any point of C and suppose that is continuous in a point $x_0 \notin C$.

proof (Sketch)

Let $[1, 2] = \{r_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ and

$g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $g(x) = d(x, C)$. Then g is zero only on the set C .

Put $g_\alpha(x) = r_\alpha \cdot g(x)$ and f_ξ as in the general method.

If each function $P_s(x)$ is zero then $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is zero function.

If $P_{s_0}(x)$ is non-zero for some $s_0 \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Then $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is continuous in any point of C and suppose that is continuous in a point $x_0 \notin C$.

proof (Sketch)

Let $[1, 2] = \{r_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ and

$g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $g(x) = d(x, C)$. Then g is zero only on the set C .

Put $g_\alpha(x) = r_\alpha \cdot g(x)$ and f_ξ as in the general method.

If each function $P_s(x)$ is zero then $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is zero function.

If $P_{s_0}(x)$ is non-zero for some $s_0 \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Then $P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is continuous in any point of C and suppose that is continuous in a point $x_0 \notin C$.

proof continued

$P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is zero on the Bernstein set

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in N_{\xi_1}^0 \cap N_{\xi_2}^0 \cap \dots \cap N_{\xi_n}^0} B_\alpha.$$

For every $\beta \in N_{\xi_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cap N_{\xi_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \cap \dots \cap N_{\xi_n}^{\varepsilon_n}$ there exist a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq B_\beta$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x_0$. Hence by the continuity of polynomial of one variable we get that $P_{s_0}(g_\beta(x_0)) = 0$ for any such β .

Since for $\alpha \neq \beta$ we have that

$g_\alpha(x_0) = r_\alpha \cdot g(x_0) \neq r_\beta \cdot g(x_0) = g_\beta(x_0)$ so $P_{s_0}(g_\beta(x_0))$ as a polynomial of one variable β , that has infinitely many zeros, is zero function - contradiction.

proof continued

$P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is zero on the Bernstein set

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in N_{\xi_1}^0 \cap N_{\xi_2}^0 \cap \dots \cap N_{\xi_n}^0} B_\alpha.$$

For every $\beta \in N_{\xi_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cap N_{\xi_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \cap \dots \cap N_{\xi_n}^{\varepsilon_n}$ there exist a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq B_\beta$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x_0$. Hence by the continuity of polynomial of one variable we get that $P_{s_0}(g_\beta(x_0)) = 0$ for any such β .

Since for $\alpha \neq \beta$ we have that

$g_\alpha(x_0) = r_\alpha \cdot g(x_0) \neq r_\beta \cdot g(x_0) = g_\beta(x_0)$ so $P_{s_0}(g_\beta(x_0))$ as a polynomial of one variable β , that has infinitely many zeros, is zero function - contradiction.

proof continued

$P(f_{\xi_1}, \dots, f_{\xi_n})$ is zero on the Bernstein set

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in N_{\xi_1}^0 \cap N_{\xi_2}^0 \cap \dots \cap N_{\xi_n}^0} B_\alpha.$$

For every $\beta \in N_{\xi_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cap N_{\xi_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \cap \dots \cap N_{\xi_n}^{\varepsilon_n}$ there exist a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq B_\beta$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x_0$. Hence by the continuity of polynomial of one variable we get that $P_{s_0}(g_\beta(x_0)) = 0$ for any such β .

Since for $\alpha \neq \beta$ we have that

$g_\alpha(x_0) = r_\alpha \cdot g(x_0) \neq r_\beta \cdot g(x_0) = g_\beta(x_0)$ so $P_{s_0}(g_\beta(x_0))$ as a polynomial of one variable β , that has infinitely many zeros, is zero function - contradiction.

Question 1

Is the set $\mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C})$ strongly 2^c -algebrable? (answered 3 days ago)

Question 2

Is there a function $f \in \mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ that has infinitely many values on each interval?

Question 3

Is the set $\mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ strongly 1-algebrable (strongly c -algebrable, strongly 2^c -algebrable)?

Question 1

Is the set $\mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C})$ strongly 2^c -algebrable? (answered 3 days ago)

Question 2

Is there a function $f \in \mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ that has infinitely many values on each interval?

Question 3

Is the set $\mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ strongly 1-algebrable (strongly c -algebrable, strongly 2^c -algebrable)?

Question 1

Is the set $\mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C})$ strongly 2^c -algebrable? (answered 3 days ago)

Question 2

Is there a function $f \in \mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ that has infinitely many values on each interval?

Question 3

Is the set $\mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ strongly 1-algebrable (strongly c -algebrable, strongly 2^c -algebrable)?

Question 1

Is the set $\mathcal{PES}(\mathbb{C})$ strongly 2^c -algebrable? (answered 3 days ago)

Question 2

Is there a function $f \in \mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ that has infinitely many values on each interval?

Question 3

Is the set $\mathcal{EDC}(\mathbb{R})$ strongly 1-algebrable (strongly c -algebrable, strongly 2^c -algebrable)?

-  R.M. Aron, J.A. Conejero, A. Peris, J.B. Seoane-Sepulvéda, Uncountably generated algebras of everywhere surjective functions, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 17 (2010), 1-5
-  R.M. Aron, V.I. Gurariy, J.B. Seoane-Sepulvéda, Lineability and spaceability of sets of functions on \mathbb{R} , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), no. 3, 795-803
-  R.M. Aron, J.B. Seoane-Sepulvéda, Algebrability of the set of everywhere surjective functions on \mathbb{C} , Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 14 (2007), no. 1, 25-31
-  B. Balcar, F. Franěk, Independent families in complete Boolean algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 274 (1982), no. 2, 607-618

Thank you for your attention :)