

# Rigid Collapse

Monroe Eskew

KGRC

Winter School in Abstract Analysis  
January 30, 2018

A mathematical structure is *rigid* if it has no automorphisms besides the identity map.

Theorem (Woodin, Larson, after Foreman-Magidor-Shelah)

*MM implies that the boolean algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/NS$  is rigid.*

Idea: MM implies  $NS_{\omega_1}$  is *saturated*, and thus forcing with  $\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)/NS$  generates an elementary embedding  $j : V \rightarrow M \subseteq V[G]$ , where  $M^\omega \cap V[G] \subseteq M$ .

The forcing codes information into the manipulation of sufficiently absolute properties, which correlate to the details of the embedding, so that only one embedding can exist.

## Prior results

### Theorem (Cody-E.)

*If GCH holds and there is a saturated ideal on  $\kappa = \mu^+$ , where  $\mu$  is regular, then there is a  $\mu$ -closed,  $\kappa$ -c.c. forcing extension satisfying  $2^\mu = \kappa^+$  and an analogue of MA, where the generated ideal is rigid and saturated.*

### Theorem (Cody-E.)

*If  $\kappa$  is almost-huge and  $\mu < \kappa$  is regular and uncountable, then there is a  $\mu$ -distributive forcing extension satisfying  $GCH + \kappa = \mu^+ +$  “There is a rigid saturated ideal on  $\kappa$ .”*

Using the same technique as above (coding into stationarity on  $\mu$ ), we showed:

### Theorem (Cody-E.)

*The existence of a rigid precipitous ideal on  $\omega_2$  is equiconsistent with a measurable cardinal.*

# Main result

## Theorem (E.)

*It is consistent relative to a huge cardinal to have GCH + “Every successor cardinal carries a rigid saturated ideal.”*

More generally:

## Theorem (E.)

*Suppose  $\kappa$  is a Mahlo cardinal and  $\mu < \kappa$  is regular. Then there is a  $\mu$ -directed-closed,  $\kappa$ -c.c. partial order  $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \kappa) \subseteq V_\kappa$  forcing  $\kappa = \mu^+$ , and whenever  $G \subseteq \text{RigCol}(\mu, \kappa)$  is generic over  $V$ , then in  $V[G]$ ,  $G$  is the unique filter which is  $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \kappa)$ -generic over  $V$ .*

# Splitting— a $\Sigma_1$ property!

Suppose  $V \subseteq W$  are models of set theory.

$$\text{Spl}(\mu, \kappa, V) : (\exists A \in [\kappa]^\kappa) (\forall x \in [\kappa]^\mu \cap V) (\forall \alpha < \mu) (\exists y, z \in [x]^{<\mu} \cap V) \\ \min\{\text{ot}(y), \text{ot}(z)\} \geq \alpha, y \subseteq A, \text{ and } z \cap A = \emptyset.$$

## Lemma

Suppose  $\mu < \kappa$  are regular. Then  $\text{Col}(\mu, <\kappa)$  forces  $\text{Spl}(\mu, \kappa)$ .

## Lemma

Suppose  $\nu < \mu < \kappa$  are regular and  $\alpha^{<\nu} < \kappa$  for all  $\alpha < \kappa$ . Then:

- 1  $\Vdash_{\text{Col}(\nu, <\kappa)} \neg \text{Spl}(\mu, \kappa)$ .
- 2  $\Vdash_{\text{Col}(\mu, <\kappa)} \neg \text{Spl}(\nu, \kappa)$ .

## Lemma

Suppose  $\kappa$  is Mahlo. Let  $X \subseteq \kappa$  be a set of regular cardinals such that for some regular  $\mu < \kappa$ ,  $\mu^+ \notin X$ . Then the partial order

$$\mathbb{P} = \prod_{\alpha \in X}^E \text{Col}(\alpha, < \kappa)$$

is  $\kappa$ -c.c. and forces  $\neg \text{Spl}(\mu^+, \kappa)$ .

Proof sketch:

$$\mathbb{P} \cong \prod_{\alpha \in [0, \mu] \cap X}^E \text{Col}(\alpha, < \kappa) \times \prod_{\alpha \in [\mu^{++}, \kappa) \cap X}^E \text{Col}(\alpha, < \kappa) := \mathbb{P}_0 \times \mathbb{P}_1$$

# Skipping coordinates

$\mathbb{P}_1$  is  $\mu^{++}$ -closed and  $\kappa$ -c.c. Let  $G_1 \subseteq \mathbb{P}_1$  be generic and work in  $V[G_1]$ .

Suppose  $q \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_0}^{V[G_1]} \dot{A} \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ . When possible, let  $p_\alpha \leq q$  be such that  $p_\alpha \Vdash \alpha \in \dot{A}$ . Let  $\langle \alpha_i : i < \kappa \rangle$  enumerate the set of  $\alpha$  for which  $p_\alpha$  is defined.

Since the set of ordinals below  $\kappa$  which were regular in  $V$  remains stationary in  $V[G_1]$ , we can find a stationary  $S \subseteq \kappa$  such that  $\{p_{\alpha_i} : i \in S\}$  forms a  $\Delta$ -system with root  $r \leq q$ .

For every  $z \in [S]^\mu$  and every  $s \leq r$ ,  $s \not\Vdash \{\alpha_i : i \in z\} \cap \dot{A} = \emptyset$ , since  $|s| < \mu$ .

This shows that  $\mathbb{P}_0$  forces  $\neg \text{Spl}(\mu^+, \kappa, V[G_1])$  over  $V[G_1]$ . Since  $([\kappa]^{\mu^+})^V = ([\kappa]^{\mu^+})^{V[G_1]}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$  forces  $\neg \text{Spl}(\mu^+, \kappa, V)$ .  $\square$

# Construction of $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \kappa)$

Suppose  $\kappa$  is Mahlo and  $\mu < \kappa$  is regular. Let

$$\mathbb{P} = \prod_{\alpha \in [\mu, \kappa) \cap \text{Reg}}^E \text{Col}(\alpha, < \kappa).$$

$\mathbb{P}$  can be viewed as a set of partial functions  $p : \kappa^3 \rightarrow \kappa$ . A generic for any suborder of  $\mathbb{P}$  is determined by a subset of  $\kappa$  via the Gödel ordering on  $\kappa^4$ .

$$A_0 = \{\alpha \in [\mu, \kappa) : \alpha = \mu, \text{ or } \alpha \text{ is inaccessible, or } \alpha = \beta^{+n} \\ \text{for some singular cardinal } \beta \text{ of cofinality } > \mu \\ \text{and some finite } n > 0\} \times \kappa \times \kappa.$$

For  $n > 0$ , let  $A_n$  be the set

$$\{\alpha \in [\mu, \kappa) : \alpha = \beta^{+n+1}, \text{ for some singular cardinal } \beta \text{ of cofinality } \mu\} \times \kappa \times \kappa.$$

For  $n < \omega$ , let  $\mathbb{Q}_n = \mathbb{P} \upharpoonright A_n$ . Note that  $\mathbb{P} \upharpoonright \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n \cong \prod_{n < \omega} \mathbb{Q}_n$ .

# Construction of $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \kappa)$

Let  $\langle \alpha_i : i < \kappa \rangle$  enumerate the singular cardinals of cofinality  $\mu$  in  $(\mu, \kappa)$ . Suppose  $G_0 \subseteq \mathbb{P}_0$  is generic over  $V$ . Let  $X_0$  be the subset of  $\kappa$  that codes  $G_0$ . In  $V[G_0]$ , we define a partial order  $\mathbb{P}_1$  and a projection  $\pi_1 : \mathbb{Q}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_1$ . For  $p \in \mathbb{Q}_1$ , let

$$\pi_1(p)(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{cases} p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) & \text{if } \alpha = \alpha_i^{++}, \text{ where } i \in X_0, \text{ and} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$\mathbb{P}_1$  is simply the range of  $\pi_1$ .

- $\pi_1$  is a projection.
- If  $i \notin X_0$ , then  $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_1}^{V[G_0]} \neg \text{Spl}(\alpha_i^{++}, \kappa, V)$ .
- Whenever  $G_0 * G_1$  is  $\mathbb{P}_0 * \mathbb{P}_1$ -generic over  $V$ , and  $G'_0 * G'_1 \in V[G_0 * G_1]$  is also  $\mathbb{P}_0 * \mathbb{P}_1$ -generic over  $V$ , then  $G_0 = G'_0$ .

# Construction of $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \kappa)$

Now we simply continue this process  $\omega$  many times. Suppose that we have sequences  $\langle \mathbb{P}_j : j \leq n \rangle$ ,  $\langle \pi_j : j \leq n \rangle$ , and  $\langle X_j : j \leq n \rangle$  such that for  $1 \leq m \leq n$ ,

- 1  $\mathbb{P}_m$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{Q}_m$  defined in the extension by  $\mathbb{P}_0 * \cdots * \mathbb{P}_{m-1}$ .
- 2  $X_m$  is a  $(\mathbb{P}_0 * \cdots * \mathbb{P}_m)$ -name for the subset of  $\kappa$  which codes the generic  $G_m$  for  $\mathbb{P}_m$ .
- 3 It is forced by  $\mathbb{P}_0 * \cdots * \mathbb{P}_{m-1}$  that  $\pi_m : \mathbb{Q}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_m$  is the projection defined by restriction to  $\{\alpha_i^{+m+1} : i \in X_{m-1}\} \times \kappa \times \kappa$ .

We extend these properties to a sequence of length  $n + 1$ .

The elements of  $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \kappa)$  are just the elements of  $\mathbb{P} \upharpoonright \bigcup_{n < \omega} A_n$ , but their ordering is different. We put  $p \leq_{\text{RigCol}(\mu, \kappa)} q$  when for each  $n$ ,  $\langle p \upharpoonright A_0, \pi_1(p \upharpoonright A_1), \dots, \pi_n(p \upharpoonright A_n) \rangle \leq \langle q \upharpoonright A_0, \pi_1(q \upharpoonright A_1), \dots, \pi_n(q \upharpoonright A_n) \rangle$  in  $\mathbb{P}_0 * \cdots * \mathbb{P}_n$ .

Suppose  $\mu < \kappa < \delta$  are regular with  $\kappa$  Mahlo. There are projections:

- From  $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \delta)$  to  $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \kappa)$ .
- From  $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \delta)$  to  $\text{RigCol}(\kappa, \delta)$ .
- By an argument of Shioya, from  $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \delta)$  to  $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \kappa) * \text{RigCol}(\kappa, \delta)$ .

# Saturated rigid ideals

Suppose  $j : V \rightarrow M$  is an almost-huge embedding with  $\text{crit}(j) = \kappa$ ,  $j(\kappa) = \delta$  Mahlo,  $\mu < \kappa$  regular.

Let  $G * H \subseteq \text{RigCol}(\mu, \kappa) * \text{RigCol}(\kappa, \delta)$  be generic. If we force with  $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \delta)/(G * H)$ , then we can extend the embedding to

$$\hat{j} : V[G * H] \rightarrow M[\hat{G} * \hat{H}].$$

In  $V[G * H]$  there is a normal ideal  $I$  on  $\kappa = \mu^+$  such that  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/I \cong \text{RigCol}(\mu, \delta)/(G * H)$ .

$\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/I$  is rigid, because otherwise we would have a  $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \delta)$ -extension  $V[\hat{G}']$  in which there is a generic  $\hat{G}' \neq \hat{G}$ .

# Successors of singulars

Suppose  $\mu$  is indestructibly supercompact and  $\kappa > \mu$  is Mahlo.  $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \kappa)$  preserves the measurability of  $\mu$ .

## Lemma

Let  $X \subseteq \kappa$  be a set of regular cardinals such that for some regular  $\nu \in (\mu, \kappa)$ ,  $\nu^+ \notin X$ . Let

$$\mathbb{P} = \prod_{\alpha \in X}^E \text{Col}(\alpha, < \kappa).$$

Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be Prikry forcing at  $\mu$  after  $\mathbb{P}$ . Then  $\mathbb{P} * \dot{\mathbb{Q}}$  forces  $\neg \text{Spl}(\nu^+, \kappa)$ .

# Successors of singulars

## Theorem (Foreman)

If  $I$  is a precipitous ideal on  $\kappa$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\kappa$ -c.c., then

$$\mathbb{P} * \mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\bar{I} \cong \mathcal{P}(\kappa)/I * j(\mathbb{P}).$$

Suppose  $\mu < \kappa < \delta$  are as before, with  $\mu$  indestructible. Let  $G * H * K \subseteq \text{RigCol}(\mu, \kappa) * \text{RigCol}(\kappa, \delta) * \dot{\mathbb{Q}}$  be generic.

Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\mu$ -centered, it preserves the saturated ideal on  $\kappa$ . We have

$$\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\bar{I} \cong (\text{RigCol}(\mu, \delta) * j(\mathbb{Q})) / (G * H * K).$$

A nontrivial automorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(\kappa)/\bar{I}$  would give an  $\text{RigCol}(\mu, \delta) * j(\mathbb{Q})$ -extension  $V[\hat{G} * \hat{K}]$  with a different generic  $\hat{G}' * \hat{K}'$ , with *the same Prikry sequence* associated to  $\hat{K}$  and  $\hat{K}'$ .

As before,  $\hat{G} = \hat{G}'$ . But then  $\hat{K} = \hat{K}'$ . Contradiction.

## Further results and questions

Using Radin forcing with interleaved collapses, we can get a model of ZFC + GCH where every successor cardinal carries a rigid saturated ideal. This requires some preservation lemmas about the failure of splitting.

We can also get, for any prescribed successor cardinal  $\kappa$ , a model of GCH where  $\mathcal{P}(A)/\text{NS}_\kappa$  is rigid and saturated for some stationary  $A \subseteq \kappa$ .

Questions:

- 1 Can we get this globally?
- 2 Are there other applications of RigCol?
- 3 (Karagila) Suppose  $\kappa$  is inaccessible, and  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\kappa$ -c.c. of size  $\kappa$  forcing  $\kappa = \omega_1$ , and  $\mathbb{P}$  forces unique generics. Is  $\kappa$  Mahlo?

Thank you for your attention!